

Date _____

ROUTING AND TRANSMITTAL SLIP

TO: (Name, office symbol, room number, building, Agency/Post)	Initials	Date
1. SA/DDCI		
2.		
3.		
4.		
5.		

Action	File	Note and Return
Approval	For Clearance	Per Conversation
As Requested	For Correction	Prepare Reply
Circulate	For Your Information	See Me
Comment	Investigate	Signature
Coordination	Justify	

REMARKS

DO NOT use this form as a RECORD of approvals, concurrences, disposals, clearances, and similar actions

FROM: (Name, org. symbol, Agency/Post)	Room No.—Bldg.
<i>Alc</i>	Phone No.

~~CONFIDENTIAL~~

Executive Registry

79-739

OLC 79-0113/24
20 March 1979

MEMORANDUM FOR THE RECORD

SUBJECT: Discussions with G. Robert Blakey, Chief Counsel and
Director, HSCA

1. Messrs. William Sturbitts, DDO/LA; Dale Sumption, DDO/SE; Julian Fox, CI Staff; Jack Sullivan, OS; Russ Holmes, and I met with Mr. Blakey this morning to discuss substantive issues in the final HSCA Committee Report.

2. I don't think we made many points although Mr. Blakey acknowledged our presentation in some instances. It is clear that Mr. Blakey intends to cite the testimony of former employee Wilcott about what he did in Japan (we had discussed this previously and achieved some compromises from a security point of view, but clearly did not prevail in obtaining deletions about Wilcott's distribution of funding operations in Japan; there is no mention of Tokyo Station). We also appeared to have no success in persuading Mr. Blakey to delete a summary of what the Cubans told the Committee about CIA photographic surveillance in Mexico City in 1963.

3. We have already received and commented on two drafts of sections of the report that have been received after we had been told that we have seen all the drafts. We now have yet an additional two drafts, with yet another on the way. It is hoped that these will affect the Agency but marginally and that the reviews can be completed without a major effort. If there are no more than these three last sections, this phase should be over, so far as CIA is concerned.

4. We discussed with Mr. Blakey whether the Agency should make a comment on the Nosenko paper. The paper is a sort of rambling, on-one-hand-but-on-the-other-hand type of discussion. It may prove useful for the Agency to write a memorandum to the Committee summarizing what it considers to be the key issues on the subject.

5. Blakey described his production problem. He will have page proofs of the report printed at GPO. He states that he has met every request of ours on security matters and considers the drafts to be unclassified. They will be handled in that way by GPO. When he receives

EXECUTIVE REGISTRY

FILE # Spec Int. #8

~~CONFIDENTIAL~~

13190

CLASSIFIED BY 211-KWH/h.1985

EXTENDED BY

CONFIDENTIAL

the proofs, he will review and edit them. Around 15 April we can expect to receive the formal submission for Agency approval or expression of security reservations within the agreed five-day period.

6. I, personally, expect my work in the current phase to end with the comments which we will submit on these last three draft sections of the report. I am not sure when my contract expires, but I think that it must be about the time that the five-day countdown commences. I would wish to prepare final comments on the final report for such use as the Agency may care to make of them. This should not take long to do. Mr. Holmes and I should be through with our work on everything but the last bit either the end of this week or early next week.

S. D. Breckinridge
S. D. Breckinridge
Principal Coordinator, HSCA

cc: DDO
DDA
SA/DDCI
LC
GC
C/SE
C/CI
C/LA
D/OS

Distribution:
Orig - OLC/Subj
1 - OLC/Chrono
1 - Russ Holmes
OLC/SDB/ksn

MAR 21 2 55 PM '79
E R

CONFIDENTIAL