DP"OHAL FORM 600, 10 447 1762 LOITICH GJA GEN, REG, NO. 27

UNITED STATES GOVENMENT

Lemorandum

TO

Mr. C. D. DeLoach

DATE: March 7, 1970

FROM

W. C. Sullivan

SUBJECT:

RELATIONSHIPS WITH CIA

ESTABLISHMENT OF BUREAU LIAISON

JEKNOW WITH DUTCH INTERNAL SECURITY

SERVICE (BVD) - 1960

DECLASSIFY ON: 25X

Item number 25 in the material submitted to the Director (5) by SA Sam Papich in his memorandum of 3/5/70, discusses a trip to Holland by Legal Attache (Legat), PLACE in 1960 to explore arrangements for liaison with appropriate Dutch authorities. It is given as an instance CIA could cite as an FBI failure to coordinate with them in line with National Security Council Directives.

NAME reportedly raised questions, indicating FBI should first reach agreement with CIA, which he said had previously handled all relations with Dutch authorities (S) Papich says CIA Director, Allen Dulles, later expressed disappointment that we did not contact CIA beforehand but that an agreement satisfactory to all concerned was eventually worked out. Papich also says that in late 1959 we gave consideration to establishing a Legat in Denmark but did not inform CIA. of our intentions.

In contemplation of the stationing of a Legat in Denmark, Bulet of 12/7/59 instructed Legat, PLACE, to broaden liaison contacts in Scandinavian countries and told LEGAT, PLACE to make exploratory contacts with appropriate authorities in Holland (5) for the same purpose. Since we had told State by letter of 3/10/55 that we would handle requests for investigations and name checks for the Dutch only when received through formal State channels, we advised State of our intention to make exploratory contacts with Yegarding regular lizison arrangements, and State the Dutch approved. State sent a letter to the U.S. Embassy in Welland 65 on 12/17/59, advising of the Bureau's intention, but it apparently did not get to NAME · prior to Legat's trip to Holland. (3)

x(1)(16)

On 1/4/60 Legat, PLACE, called the BVD from PLACE ext)(B) _arranged to call on them on 1/7/60. The BVD reported the call to who told STATE DEPARTHENT On 1/7/60 the Director received a letter of 1/5/60 from NAME in which he said he was disturbed about the manner in which he had learned of the Legat's proposed visit. offering to assist the Bureau, MAMIS spoke of the long standing contractual and financial arrangements CIA had with BVD and suggested the Director and Allen Dulles discuss the matter if

Permanent Bureau liaison with BYD was planned. NATIONAL SECURITY INFORMATION (6) JEKNOB) Unauthorized Disclosure

Subject to Criminal Sanctions

122221

Memorandum to Mr. C. D. DeLoach RE: RELATIONSHIPS WITH CIA ESTABLISHMENT OF BUREAU LIAISON WITH DUTCH INTERNAL SECURITY (NO) SERVICE (BVD) - 1960

NAME On 1/7/60, Legat met with CIA · NAME prior to visiting EVD. We explained that he was to explore the possibility of direct cordect with (S) EVD concerning exchange of information bearing on U.S. interrel security matters. He said he would not be operational and that the contemplated liaison could not reasonably cause interference with the existing CIA arrangement. While NAME expressed misgivings that the Dutch might be confused, no request was made to refrain from contacting (BVD) The CIA representative said he had requested his headquarters for comment on larning of the proposed visit of Legat but had not received a reply. Legat later briefed NAME, on the result his visit to BYD, who were friendly but deferred a final on the results of commitment, referring to the existing "American arrangement."

for his offer to assist, and said Bureau interests in Scandinavian countries and Molland were under discussion with Allen Dulles. WAME was also assured our proposed contacts with the Dutch were purely liaison in nature; that while we would keep CIA advised of items of interest to it in connection with its responsibilities abroad, it was not believed necessary to go beyond the U.S. Intelligence Board Directive of 12/8/59 in coordinating with CIA matters taken up with the Dutch that Directive says CIA shall be responsible for coordination of all U.S. liaison which concerns clandestine intelligence activities or which involve foreign clandestine services. Paragraph 10, however, says the Directive does not apply to any liaison relationship concerned with U.S. internal security functions, or with criminal or disciplinary matters which are not directly related to foreign espionage or clandestine counterintelligence.

On 1/13/60 Papich explained to Allen Dulles and NAME
the reasons for our contacts in Scandinavian countries and
(S) Holland exploring possible establishment of a Legat in Denmark.
When Papich challenged them to cite any Bureau failure to comply
with the Directive for coordination of U.S. lisison activities
abroad, NAME immediately stated there were no such instances.
In answer to specific invitation by Papich to air any complaints
or problems, Dulles stated that meither he nor his representatives
had any complaints; that he was personally unhappy about not
being contacted in the beginning; but that he and CILL could give
all possible assistance. (Dulles did assist by writing a personal
letter to NAME which resulted in a joint FBI-BVD CIA
meeting on 4/8/60, at which direct FBI-BVD liaison was agreed upon).

SCORET

- 2 -

Memorandum to Mr. C. D. Deloach RELATIONSHIPS WITH CIA ESTABLISHMENT OF BUREAU LIAISON WITH DUTCH INTERNAL SECURITY JAKUYB) SERVICE (BVD) - 1960

On memorandum NAME to NAME of 1/14/60, concerning the 1/13/60 meeting of Papich, Dulles and NAME Director noted: "1. Well handled by Papich. 2. All of the turmoil developing in this situation could have been avoided if we had properly contacted Dulles and also followed through with State. H."

RECOMMENDED ACTION:

None. We do not believe, in light of the facts set forth, that CIA will make an issue of this matter.