

Box 30
Fldr. 10
Doc. 3

SECRET

TAB B: CIA Perf. on the Inquiry

2. SSC report, to considerable extent describes AM/ASH operation inaccurately. "The Report assigns it characteristics that it did not have during the period preceding the assas. of JFK, in order to support the SSC view ^{that it} should have been reported to the WC

c. question of aircraft flights on 11/22 & 11/27/62 to Havana see "Unidentified Air Passengers" ~~file~~ folder

Travel to & fr USSR 1959-1962

11/26/63 cable DIR 85133, 26. 11. 63 sent to (Paris, Rome, Madrid, ¹⁴⁻⁶ Copenhagen) [Oslo] [Helsinki] Brussels, The Hague, London & Ottawa) - requesting any scrap info which bears on JFK's assas.

replies on 11/27/63:

- 19-1 (HELS) 2299 (IN 68739), ~~29~~ 11/27/63
- (ROME) 1235 (IN 68802) "
- (OTTA) 1275 (IN 69005) "
- 19-6 (COPE) 3469 (IN 69011) "
- (LOND) 6097 (IN 69100) "
- (OTTA) 1277 (IN 69233) " 11111938
- 19-4 (OSLO) 4490 (IN 69290) "
- (MADR) 9789 (IN 69328) 11/28/63
- (BRUS) 8739 (IN 69352) 11/29/63
- (ROME) 1258 (IN 70111) "
- (PARI) 1776 (IN 74234) 12/5/63
- (PARI) 1833 (IN 77148) 12/10/63
- (PARI) 1845 (IN 77397) **SECRET** "

RETURN TO CIA
Background Use Only
Do Not Reproduce

④
4/9A

SECRET

(LOND) 6097 (IN 69100) 11/27/63

4 (London) reported that British journalist claimed that when imprisoned in Cuba in 1959 - Santos (Happunite); lives in luxury in jail, Santos visited frequently by wife Annu. Garrett Ruby

(OTTA) 1277 (IN 69233) 11/27/63

(Ottawa) reported delight of Cuban embassy staff over JFK death & instructions from govt to cease looking happy in public 19-4

(OSLO) 4490 (IN 69290) 11/27/63

14-4 (Oslo) reported Soviets shocked - blame soon on extreme right wing

Other than above, initial response produced no other info

11/29/63 DIR 85973 query to (Hague) Frankfurt on Oswald travel back USSR

12/2/63 DIR 86077, query to (Berlin)

(Frankfurt, Bonn) & (The Hague)

(Bonn) 5579 (FN 73338) 12/4/63

(HAGU) 5116 (FN 73378) "

(MUNI) 8316 (IN 75065) 12/6/63

(STUT) 5545 (FN 76758) 12/9/63

(ESNT) 1400 12/9/63

11111939

above reporters produced details about Oswald's travel from USSR thru Germany & Netherlands enroute to US in June 1962

SECRET

2/92

SECRET

5 No evidence found through these queries
& related queries tying the S.O. to Oswald's
assass. of JFK

II Oswald Mexico Visit Sept Oct 1963

Cable to Mex Cy on 11/28/63 DIR 85655,

"We have by no means excluded the
possibility that other as yet unknown
persons may have been involved or
even that other powers may have
played a role. Please continue all your
coverage of Soviet and Cuban installations
and [your liaison w/ Mexicans]"

6 DIR 86064 30.11.63 11/30/63

Please follow all leads & tips - Question
of Oswald acted alone not fully resolved

DIR 88680 12/12/63

Continue watch of Soviets & Cubans for complaints,
propagandizing about the case. "FYI only,
Soviet Intel in India had letter sent
to [U.S. Government] leaders demanding
full investigation of case."

(HMMW-12193), 17.12.16 12/17/63 ¹¹¹¹¹⁹⁴⁰

7 HQ forwarded dispatch to Mex Cy station
congratulating them on job well done

"Your (HIFWUOY) data, the statements of
Selva DUBAN, and your analyses were
major factors in the quick clarification
of the case, blanketing out the really
ominous spectre of foreign backing"

(1/90)

III Gen'l Collection Requirements

7 DIR 84608, 22, 11, 63
 all CIA stations abroad get cable from HQ saying look sharp for any unusual intelligence developments. - Be quick alert while new Pres. Take over the reins"

8 "Were there relevant or significant information on the subject of JFK assass + Oswald, it would have been reported ~~in~~ either in response to the expression of general interest, or spontaneously, if such information was known to Agency sources."

If one believes that there was a conspiracy, w/ Oswald involved, one must accept the likelihood that his fellow conspirators would not have shared their knowledge beyond the narrow circle of those directly involved. Conversely, if there were no conspiracy, these obvious

9 ~~the~~ would be nothing to report in the first place. The absence of concrete reporting seems to prove, regardless of which is the case, as the basis for the apparent SSC view that no collection effort was undertaken."

comment in HQ dispatch to Mex City Sta on 12/17/63 - (17444 w/12193, 17.12.63 re limited reporting from other stations "... this partly dictated by the facts of Lee Oswald's life..."

SECRET

in contrast to SSC report, citing extensive U.S. intel - ligence network in Cuba, FOR says that

SECRET

9
✱

There was no penetration of CASTRO's inner circle where any info on subject (JFK assassination) would exist,

10 However, assets in Cuba did have reporting requirements

IV. Unpursued Leads

re Cuban airline flights + mystery passenger see file on Gilberto Policarpo LOPEZ

11 7 flight of 11/22 + 11/25 to Cuba from Mexico were passenger flights -
7 flight of 11/27 appears to have been a cargo flight w/ 1 passenger - the man referred to in Book II SSC.

CIA (conducted regular surveillance of Cuban flights), filing cable reports to HQ
[CIA had surveillance team, [as did the Mexicans] which provided photos of passports, + ^{copies} passenger list)

Also have (LIEN VOY telephone tap) against Cuban Embassy (of transcripts of conversations w/ Cuban office + Mexican Airport Control Office.)

11111942

11/22/1963 Flight SECRET

12

Book II of SSC Final Report states CIA could not explain, at time of SSC writing why no record of follow-up on 11/22/63 flights. " In fact, the SSC was advised

1/92

SECRET

12 that the Mexican authorities were asked about the reported flight delay, although there was no recorded response. The current review revealed additional information from the surveillance noted above, which bears directly on the subject.

13 "The report (of 5 hour delay of Cubana flight from Mex City to Havana on 11/22/63) in question was in error, and misled the SSC in its summary of the matter."

The Passengers on the 27 November 1963 Flight in CIA passing report to FBI + FBI not doing followup investigation for WC

15 IGR's list of inaccuracies in SSC report - after position

1) Mex City Sta did not cable HQ that man looked suspicious. There was a 12/5/63 cable MEXI-7253 (IN 74227) which reported the man had crossed at Laredo, Texas on 11/23/63, what registered at hotel in Mex City on 11/25, checked out of hotel on 11/27/63 + was only passenger on Cubana flight that night - good photo of him taken at airport

2) dispatch followed (HMMA-22579, 12/5/63) repeating info + enclosing photograph + containing following: SECRET
"Source states the timing and circumstances surrounding Subject's travel through Mexico and departure for Havana are suspicious"

SECRET

15 also internal memo in ^{Mex Cy} Station -
see Memorandum for the Record, 12/3/63
which stated that the man was
reported to have "looked suspicious"

16 (Monterey Base) Cable 3/19/64
(MNTY) - 0829 (IN 43193) - info
on Cuban American of 11/27/63 flight
had by agent [Mexican federale]

" The following should be noted about
the report: it misspelled the
man's name; it offered a bare state-
ment that he "was involved in the
Kennedy assassination"; it states
that he entered Mexico "on foot"
from Laredo, Texas (according to the
SSC Final Report, the FBI concluded
that he entered by automobile); it
asserts that he stayed at the Cuban
Embassy in Mexico City (while the date
and time of his registration and check-
out at a specific hotel in Mexico
City, where he stayed, were known); it
gave an incorrect number for his passport;
and, it stated that his Mexican tourist
card was issued in Nuevo Laredo, (when
it was known to have been issued in
Tampa, Florida). " 11111944

17 Mex City Sta response to [Monterey
Station] cable contributes to confusion on the
episode. When Mex City Sta received
cable, the Deputy Chief of Station replied

SECRET

SECRET

17 LT MEXI - 8740 (IN 43940) 3/20/64
that the information in (Monterrey)
report "jibe fully w/ that provided
Station by (Mex by source) 4 December
63".

"The mistake of that cable cannot
be explained today, but wrong
it obviously was."

"Implicit criticism of CIA's not
collecting more info. on the man is
not well founded. It had no
real sources w/ access to info
concerning him: when a defector from
Cuba became available I/secret
information he was queried and the
results were provided the authorities."

TAB G CIA Operations against CUBA

4 "While CIA produced considerable
material for the investigation (see Tab E)
that more was not reported is a meaning-
ful indication what was known (then
by those actually involved (in Cuban
operations & supply of info to W.C.), as
distinguished from what might be hypo-
thesized at a later date. To contend
to the contrary - which ^{11/11/945} has been
suggested by some - would require a
unanimous conspiracy of many American
citizens, employees of CIA, many of
whom knew aspects of even the most
closely guarded activities." SECRET

SECRET

7 Operation AMTRUNK see Folder No. 7 -
Operations to Splet
CASTRO regime; (AMTRU
WK)
operation)

(Nestor Antonio Moreno Lopez)
(Enrique Cayardo Robles)

Cuban spies - developed operational
concept to overthrow
the CASTRO gov - known
as the Leonardo Plan

8 (Moreno) assoc in Miami
Jorge Agbunzyc Volsky
Cuban citizen of polish origin
married Cuban national after WWII
reportedly imprisoned following B.P. gov to
reportedly avoid Castroite

Leonardo Plan was discussed w/ Ad Szule
Szule claimed he got contacts - it was
through his intercession that Leonardo
Plan gained gov-level support & approval
↓ Richard Goodwin was Szule contact at Wo Ho
Volsky & Szule met Robert Hurwitt
1. State Dept refused to discuss the
plan - he presented the idea to CIA
CIA assigned to Man Salas &
became known as AMTRUNK

→ 4/17/63 - Szule tells Hurwitt that Miami Station gave
Volsky resp to decide if operation to proceed not
consistent w/ CIA interests
9 early Sept 1963 - AMTRUNK had 3 intelligence
sources in CUBA

- 1) [Miguel A. Diaz ⁰⁵ Salgue]
- 2) [Ramon Guis ⁰⁵ Hector Robello]
- 3) [Moreno ⁰⁵ Rogeo Basulto]

[Guis] was reportedly close to AMLA5H/1

SECRET

4/19

SECRET

10 Oct '63 - decision made to separate (Moreno⁰⁵) from operational details of AMTRUNK because of indiscretion + poor security practice

By Nov 1963 - some persons successfully recruited in Cuba but no progress in estab. of any capabilities for action

11 Szulc + Volsky considered to be highly suspect - re security considerations

12 also Jose Ricardo RABEL Nunez Castro had Rabel set up Cuban

13 Maurice Corp - held job until 1960 when apptd Chief of Rural Housing, defected to US in 1962 - [recruited by JMWAVE Sta & used in AMTRUNK activities] - returned to Cuba on his own in 1965. Was arrested and sentenced to 30 years in prison but set free in July or August of 1967.

"There were accusations that RABEL was a Cuban agent as early as July 1963. The accusations were never proven!"

14 FGR Days @ very little planning + concrete progress on AMTRUNK during FFK's life

11111947

SECRET

1/97

SECRET

New Considerations on the Syndicate Operation

14

15

"While Onta (Juan) was reported in early 1961 as being used in CIA-syndicate attempt against Castro, CIA had no direct relationship w/ him until he left Cuba, at which time he was used as a source of info. on the Cuban leadership."

16

17

It is pertinent to note that in addition to Sturgis's reported role in Castro got re gamblers, Onta's availability for assassin attempt was understood to be due his last payoffs ~~to~~ he had received from gamblers contacts. "One can deduce that Sturgis and Onta could have known one another because of their connections with the gambling activities as well as having contacts w/ the men heading the gambling orgs."

18

received 19 CIA support

Roselli tells CIA he knows Cuban exile leader ^{7 Dem. Revolu. Front} Varona already was involved in independent operations w/ the criminal syndicate when first approached prior to the Bay of Pigs in March 1961 to carry out the Castro assassination

41111948

20

As late as 6/10/64 - it was reported that Miami gangster elements were ^{offered} \$150,000 to assassinate Castro - this could explain why Varona so available when approached by Roselli

SECRET

SECRET

20

In '67 IER, notes that case officer ~~XXXXXXXX~~
~~XXXXXXXX~~ said that the program reactivated
 in 4/62 & had been shut down following B.P.
 in 4/61 - he felt that something was going
 on in interim. "It is possible that CIA
 simply found itself involved in providing
 additional resources for independent opera-
 tions that the syndicate already had
 under way" ... "In a sense ~~the~~ CIA may
 have been peppy-banking on the syndicate
 and in addition to its material contribution,
 was also supplying an aura of official
 sanction."

21

Considerations:

1. ^{Possible} Indep crim syndicate operated
 Castro prior to CIA involvement in
 late 1960. ~~to~~ May have continued
 after B.P 4/61 shutdown & be ongoing
 in some form when reactivated by CIA
 in 4/62

2. Frank Sturges may have had
 contact w/ crim syndicate. Credits
 have been used by syndicate in its
 activities.

22

3. Sturges prob'ly knew Orta.
 However, Sturges not in Cuba when Orta
 given assassin role. But
 Sturges may have fabricated his
 story for Miami group

25

Antonio Verrano - never CIA ¹¹¹¹¹⁹⁴⁹ ~~temp~~
 but was connected w/ ALPHA-66, Cuban
 exile movement. He proposed Castro assassination
 on 3 occasions ^{to CIA} 12/60, 7/62, 4/66 & was
 rebuffed each time.

SECRET

SECRET

Agent Messages in 61 re Castro assass

26

5 agent messages made reference to plan to kill Castro or proposing same. 3 related to same operation, 2 were separate proposals. No indication that they resulted from separate CIA initiative. LA Division prepared summary of messages forwarded to Review Staff on 8/8/75. Records of Review Staff do not show how this paper was handled. Subject not covered in Church Committee interim report.

27

B message: relates same plan (1st) 3/27/61 sent by agency asset (AMBRONC/S) re-proposed sabotage of electric company in Hawaii - coordinate w/ attempt to kill Castro at public appearance (as in accordance w/ Gen'l Plan)

(2nd) 3/29/61 - (AMBRONC/S) sends 2nd message plan scheduled for 4/9/61 message answered on 3/30 agreeing to plan - addresser gen'l issue but didn't comment on proposal to kill Castro

(3rd) 4/5/61 - presumably from (AMBRONC/S) 11111950 persons he had been directed to contact only had arms for 50 men. Said to carry out 4/9/61 plan would make it impossible to maintain clandestine org. in Cuba

"your military aid to deusew. If it does not come it that date we are lost. No indication message answered"

SECRET

SECRET

The 4 agents named in plan

28

① (AMBRONC/5) - a) 201 file opened on 8/15/60

POA issued 12/18/61

[O.A.] issued 1/31/62

debriefing on Nov 1960 -

indicates that he had contact w/ plotters against CASTRO &

claimed to have attempted to

make ~~such~~ ^{similar} plans. Infiltrated

on 12/9/60 - exfiltrated

(b) infiltrated

on 2/15/61

3/3/61

exfiltrated

6/19/61

Sold mission was to org. resistance

groups

(c) infiltrated

12/17/61

exfiltrated

3/29/62

still same mission

(d) infiltrated

5/2/62

(arrested ⁰⁹ [5/29/62] + executed ⁰⁹ [5/30/62])

reported to never admit was CIA agent

[name not in McHovens' book given by Castro]

29

② (AMCOAX/1) - (AMBRONC/5) asked to contact

him re gen'l plan for 4/61

a) POA - 1/5/61

mission was to org. paramilitary activities in Cuba

infiltrated

2/61

exfiltrated

7/61 following B.P

SECRET

3/90

29

b) reinfilitrated

7/29/61 same mission

arrested

08

(8/17/61) [- serving 30 year term
in Mc Gowan's book]

③ (AMPUG/1) - another (AMBRON) contact
for 4/61 plan

a) recruited in 9/60 + infiltrated then
receives and drops in 12/60

returned to U.S 5/15/61 following B.P.

b) infiltrated

4/29/61 - mission to org resistance
groups + conduct sabotage

09, 08

arrested (7/61 + 30 year term
name in Mc Gowan book)

30

④ (AMPANIC/1) - another (AMBRON) 4/61 contact

a) was a "walk-in" on 4/15/60

POA - 1/30/61

MOC same 8/12/60

mission to org. resistance in
Havana + Pinar del Rio

b) infiltrated 3/3/61 09, 08

arrested (4/23/61 - 30 year term)

in Mc Gowan book

c) was infiltrated on 8/60

infiltrated 11/60

(prior to POA) 11111952

mission was sabotage + assass.

of prominent Cuban communist
members in Castro entourage

reports criticized his family members
in Cuba at that time

31

his case officer says ~~was~~ not authorized
any assass effort would be in (AMPANIC) own initiative

SECRET

TAB D AMLASH OPERATION

1 1977 IGR says actual nature & sign. of AMLASH is much dif. than Book I

Book I suggests that Amlash may have been Castro agent w/ provocation mission that would justify LHO retaliation - or alternative Amlash was a security risk by which details of plotting could have become known to Castro, providing same provocation & whatever the reasoning Amlash should have been reported to W.C.

2 "We believe that neither thesis applies. The character of the relationship between CIA and Amlash/1, prior to Oswald's assassination of President Kennedy was so insubstantial and inconclusive that it provided no basis for Amlash/1 to feel that he had any tangible CIA support for plotting against CASTRO. Whether one is inclined to see Amlash/1 as either a double agent or provocateur, or simply as a man who carelessly revealed what he was doing, there was little for him to report or to leak."

As of Sept '63

5 Comment

11111953

"It is noted at this point that AMLASH/1 was not a recruited agent at that time - nor was he ever for that matter, as Operational Approval was never granted for this purpose. By the end of August 1962 the CIA relationship with AMLASH/1

SECRET

5 had made no real progress, although he was viewed as an operational contact w/ potential. Over a year passed between August 1962 and September 1963 when he was next contacted by CIA. In terms of the relationship that he had w/ the CIA the critical period, for purposes of the paper, is therefore between 5 September and 22 November 1963.

7 9/9/62 HQ cable to AMLAST case officer ~~report~~ ^{approval} AMLAST as chief conspirator allowed to recruit his own cohorts among whom to may find persons susceptible to long distance + covert disciplines - Cable also spells out long range requirement prior to action based on Amblast possible internal organization

8 9/7/63 cable reporting first 63 meetings of Amblast "Amblast still feels there only two ways accomplish change either inside job or invasion he realistic enough realize latter out of question. According AMWHIP, Amblast still awaiting for US reveal plan of action

✓ GR commet - ¹¹¹¹⁹⁵⁴ action reference to "inside job" did not specify Castro as suggested in Book II as p. 4 but directed to more gen'l? of how to bring about change - not w/ specific contemplation of Book II

SECRET

SECRET

10

"The fact remains that whatever views Amblask/1 may have expressed, he had no response from his CIA contacts of any support for his proposals at that time. Whatever may have been the cause for Castro's remarks at that time they could not have stemmed from anything said to Amblask/1 by CIA officers as they proposed nothing and undertook nothing"

10/3/63 - Amblask case officer arrives in Paris to meet w/ Amblask even tho Amblask told Amblask he does not "intend to see your friend again" (State) officer in Paris had been in contact w/ him, 2 of whom participated in meetings that followed

10/11/63 - case officer cable HQ that Amblask believed to have "necessary people and equipment inside Cuba) to accomplish overthrow w/out (U.S.) assistance"

Amblask wanted meeting w/ RFK for moral support assurance. Cable indicates that Amblask determined to attempt operation against Castro w/o w/out (U.S.) support

11111955

Des Fitzgerald planned meeting - D.F. to rep. self as personal rep of RFK + assurance of full U.S. support. SE There is a change of the president got in Cuba

SECRET

11 10/29/63 - Amblak meets w/ Fitzgerald
in Paris. Case officer also at
meeting

12 11/13/63 - Case officer memo summarizes
meeting of D.F. w/ Amblak
U.S. support only after real coup
effected + group involved in position
to request U.S. support.
U.S. won't commit to isolated uprising

B D.F. in 1967 IGR recalled that Amblak
repeatedly requested high powered rifle
w/ telescopic sights + other weapons to
kill Castro from a distance. D.F. stated
he rejected the request.
Case officer memo said nothing of operational
nature discussed at Fitz. meeting

11/14/63 - Contact report of Amblak's meeting
in New York re Amblak reaction
to 10/29 Fitzgerald meeting -
Amblak happy about policy but
not fact that wasn't given
technical assistance for
operational plan.

14 if Amblak doesn't get advice
and materials from U.S. got
technician he will become
fed up + we'll lose progress
made

Comment Book II at p. 1111956
Characterizing
Amblak feelings re Fitz. meeting
as unclear - IGR says this not true
as corroborated by above contact report

SECRET

SECRET

11/19/63 - Fitzg. approves telling Ambush
that he would be given a cache
inside Cuba, to include high
power rifle w/ scope

11/19/63 - Ambush tells CIA officer he plans
return to Cuba

11/20/63 - HQ cables (Paris) to delay
Ambush departure to permit
one more meeting which Ambush
requested
On same day, Ambush agrees
to delay departure of its something inter-
esting. Case officer said couldn't
assure it but it was a meeting
Ambush requested.

16

IFR ^{copy} this is highly speculative
evidence of indication that Ambush
might receive specific support
he requested

11/22/63 - Case officer in Paris meets
w/ Ambush in afternoon

conclusion

11. ... there is every indication ~~11-19-57~~ that
during President Kennedy's life
Ambush/I had no basis for believing
that he had CIA support for
much of anything. Were he a
provocateur reporting to Castro,
or if he was merely careless and
leaked what he knew, he had no
factual basis for leaking a reporting
on any actual CIA plot directed against
CASTRO

Tab E - Materials Forwarded to W.C

Tab F - Mex Cy Coverage of Oswald's Visit

Intel. Sources on Oswald's Visit to Mex Cy in '63

umbrella type project

1. Unilateral Coverage

- 2 IPSTICK - multi-line phone taps
- 3 photo sites, mobile surveillance team + mail intercept operation

LIFEAT - telephone taps - by ⁰⁸ local tel. co. ~~emp.~~ ^{emple} - handled by cons office
 Mex + Mex - Amer recruited agents

3 photographic site subcrypts under IPSTICK

managed by a Mex agent

- LIMITED - fixed site directly of private Soviet Embassy
- KILYRIC - alternate photo base same street as Limited First gate
- LICALLA - South side of Soviet Compound backdoor - get good TD photos of Soviet personnel

2

closed down when Station received word that photo of the "unidentified man" was being released by W.C

Film collected 3 times/week from Limited and Kilyric sites
 Recalled film processed on outside by the agent like the above

3

mobile surveillance done by ^{11/11/65} 2 American staff officers - had team of 6 recruited agents - team could be activated by radio from Limited site whenever someone of interest left the ~~station~~ Soviet Embassy

SECRET

1/90

SECRET

3 ²⁴ [unilateral] mail intercept; Libright -
handled by American case officer

²⁴ [Lisson] Coverage
unreliable measure as was the nature
of [Mexican services] at the time

4 Telephone tap ²⁴ [w/ Mexicans] called Libroy
run by [Mex office] - 30 lines on the
at one time listening post. In 61 Station chief
became project case officer on LIENVOY

Oswald Coverage

[Mex office] asked for telephone numbers of
Soviet Cuban + Satellite Embassies
[to do ²⁴ Libroy tap] - we disconnected
on [unilateral] lines toward discovery
[Mex office] connected 5 Cuban lines, 5 Soviet
lines, 3 Czech lines, 2 Polish lines,
and 1 Yugoslav line - recorded,
transcribed

plus monitor modest amount of
Station chief of interesting conversations
time lag of a day or two

5 Boris Yarasoff did Russian translation
translations ran about a week behind
date of conversation. All transcripts
made in Spanish or English since this
is all Stachey could read + because
he screened these transcripts for operational
leads

SECRET 1111966

9/9A

SECRET

- 6 Ann Goodpasture ^{sent} ~~screened~~ all photographs as soon as station learned that Lee Oswald had phoned Soviet Embassy
- 8 Oswald came to attention of listening post operators from tap of Soviet line. It was picked up & taken to B. Tarasoff for translation because the caller was trying to speak in Russian. There was some delay because station personnel wanted to review the photographs concurrently w/ the typed transcript.

Tab G

Selected Newspaper Stories w/ Comment
(G 5) - Comments on Ronald Kessler's Wash Post article of 11/26/76 re CIA w/ held details of Oswald call

2 memo - J. Lee Rankin 3/24/64 fr PPP Helms
Subject: CIA Disclosure of Info on LITO
date 10/10/63

2) Mem for Rankin from Helms 1/31/64
re Infr developed by CIA on
LITO activities in Mex 9/28-10/3/63

3) Letter to Helms 2/10/64 from Rankin

4) memo for Rankin 2/19/64 from Helms - re Infr on LITO Mex Activities
9/28/ - 10/3/63

SECRET

1111967

SECRET

Tab # + w/ CIA comments

1. Jack Anderson column 5/6/77 alleges CIA activity in Dallas in 1963
2. " " " " 11/20/77 alleging CIA told to false Oswald story
3. Norman Kempster story 1/1/77 alleging CIA w/ held date on Oswald
4. Clare Booth Luce involvement w/ Cuban exile
5. Ronald Kessler story 11/26/76 alleging CIA w/ held details of Oswald telephone calls, w/ report on handling of documents
6. John Gasko story 11/13/76 alleges Oswald told Cubans of plans to kill Kennedy
7. Tabloid Midnight story 8/2/76 regarding CIA & Castro
8. Wash Post story 10/1/76 re CIA consideration of possibly interviewing LHO in 1960

1111968