

DR 2-66 (1716) ^{inquest}
restrains commercial speech

Moore v. All ~~Headnote B~~

v. Angus or Ales

9325

before 1966, ^{Hemming} submitted an applic; ~~app~~ file, destroyed, but on microfilm

156 in DDO

& Geneva,

Wayne Trigg

50 people to talk to

9334

[Joanne, ¹⁷⁷⁸ Pers

Job # 66-0092, Box 4

Copy # 039091, IP Archives

GA-50

U.S. v Henry et al
76-371-Cr-CA and

FL-76-6085-Cr-JE

OGC 65-0356a

Houston to J. Walter Peoples, DOT

5 Feb 65

copy to WH and OO

OGC 65-0356(b)

5 Feb 65

28 Feb -- called Carrier in
Miami. Told him we
anticipate making a response
in about 2 weeks. He is aware
of his own timing but doesn't
anticipate anything in the next
two weeks.

Reported that Hemming is going
to represent himself.

Privacy Act Reg. P 76-1896

Note that Biggs has denied release of one document which acknowledges that Henning is a source of the Agency. That document (dated 27 Aug 69, from Ch. Pers Sect, Div, OS

Thru Steve Kubin and Edward Dumm, to Chief Contact Div, OS) lists ~~15~~ 15 other report numbers which apparently ~~are~~ result from returning Henning.

(One other the doc's that Houston mentions? in his letters to Yeagley?)

They appear to be -- the dates are right!, and so is the number.

WMS

WMS

See Walt Lloyd on the
Hemming Pine Act release

- 1) WTL said No OGC docs
- 2) DDO turned up copies of the doc's
- 3) DDO passed on copies to us, and
preb didn't give the copies to
Gene Wilson.
- 4) Preb now up to us to correct
the error - otherwise Wilson
won't know.

* 5) ~~As for the same doc's~~
The reports that these docs
refer to ~~the work~~ (reference to
them) were contained in a
doc which Biggs has denied
on the basis it reveals Hemming
as a source.