

Date: 03/14/05

JFK ASSASSINATION SYSTEM
IDENTIFICATION FORM

AGENCY INFORMATION

AGENCY : CIA
RECORD NUMBER : 104-10332-10024
RECORD SERIES : JFK
AGENCY FILE NUMBER : PROJFILES-DECLASS STDS

DOCUMENT INFORMATION

AGENCY ORIGINATOR : CIA
FROM :
TO :
TITLE : ARRB-CIA ISSUES: COVER
DATE : 09/19/1994
PAGES : ~~16~~ 15

SUBJECTS : COVER
ISSUES
JFK ASSASSINATION
UNIT INDEX

DOCUMENT TYPE : PAPER
CLASSIFICATION : UNCLASSIFIED
RESTRICTIONS : 1A 1B
CURRENT STATUS : RELEASED IN PART PUBLIC - RELEASED WITH DELETIONS
DATE OF LAST REVIEW : 04/01/03
COMMENTS : JFK-M-17 : F25 : 2000.02.24.12:40:58:717035 : UNIT
INDEX: ~~55~~ PGS. DIF.

53

Released under the John F. Kennedy
Assassination Records Collection Act of
1992 (44 USC 2107 Note). Case#:NW
65360 Date: 11-17-2022

[R] - ITEM IS RESTRICTED 104-10332-10024

OGC-94-52916
19 September 1994

MEMORANDUM FOR: David P. Holmes
Deputy General Counsel

FROM: Robert J. Eatinger, Jr.
Assistant General Counsel
Litigation Division, OGC

SUBJECT: DCI Sources and Methods Authority With Respect
to JFK Assassination Records

1. Per your request, I have attached a copy of the President John F. Kennedy Assassination Records Collection Act of 1992 (ARCA), Pub. L. 102-526, 106 Stat. 3443-3458, reprinted at 44 U.S.C. § 2107 note. For your convenience, I have highlighted the pertinent provisions that will aid in responding to an inquiry regarding the statute's effect on the DCI's statutory authority to protect intelligence sources and methods.

2. The clear language and intent of the law is to supersede statutes that prohibit disclosure of information, except for some irrelevant subject areas, such as tax records. The statute provides that "it shall take precedence over any other law (except section 6103 of the Internal Revenue Code), judicial decision construing such law, or common law doctrine that would otherwise prohibit" the disclosure of information subject to the Act. ARCA § 11(a). This language, taken with the provisions discussed below which limit the intelligence sources and methods that may be protected and set a strict procedural scheme by which information is to be reviewed under the ARCA, effectively supersedes the DCI's National Security Act authority with respect to intelligence sources and methods information subject to the ARCA.

3. Section 6 of the ARCA provides the grounds for which the release of information may be "postponed." The statute contemplates that all information will eventually be released. Indeed, it specifies that all information will be made available to the public no later than 25 years after the passage of the ARCA (which occurred in October 1992) unless the president certifies that continued postponement is necessary. ARCA § 4(g)(2)(D). With respect to intelligence-related information, ARCA allows postponement if:

"(1) the threat to ... intelligence operations ... is of such gravity that it outweighs the public interest, and such public disclosure would reveal--

SUBJECT: DCI Sources and Methods Authority With Respect to JFK Assassination Records

(A) an intelligence agent whose identity currently requires protection;

(B) an intelligence source or method which is currently utilized, or reasonably expected to be utilized, by the United States Government and which has not been officially disclosed, the disclosure of which would interfere with the conduct of intelligence activities; or

(C) any other matter currently relating to ... intelligence operations ... the disclosure of which would demonstrably impair the national security of the United States."


ARCA § 6(1) (Emphasis added.)

4. The originating agency is to make the first review to identify information that meets the standards for postponement. ARCA § 4(c)(2)(D)(i). For CIA, this effort is being undertaken by the Historical Review Group, in consultation with the Directorate of Operations and other appropriate Agency components. Information the originating agencies identify for postponement must be transmitted to the Review Board. ARCA § 4(c)(2)(E). The Review Board "shall consider and render decisions on a determination by a Government office to seek to postpone the disclosure of assassination records." ARCA § 7(i)(1). Specifically, the "Review Board shall consider and render decisions on ... whether an assassination record or particular information in a record qualifies for postponement of disclosure under the Act." ARCA § 7(i)(2)(B).

5. If the Review Board determines to order the disclosure of information that the originating agency felt met the criteria for postponement, it "shall notify the head of the originating body of its determination and publish a copy of the determination in the Federal Register within 14 days after the determination is made." ARCA § 9(c)(4)(A). If the information contained in an assassination record is "obtained or developed solely within the executive branch, the President shall have the sole and nondelegable authority to require the disclosure or postponement of ... the information under the standards set forth in Section 6." ARCA § 9(d)(1) (emphasis added). The President's decision must be certified to the Review Board within 30 days of the Review Boards determination. Id. Records postponed by the President must be re-reviewed every 5 years. ARCA § 9(d)(2).

SUBJECT: DCI Sources and Methods Authority With Respect to JFK
Assassination Records

6. I would be happy to discuss this further if you so
desire. You might also want to contact John Pereira (x30373)
since he has met with some or all of the Review Board members.


Robert J. EATINGER, Jr.

Attachment

SUBJECT: DCI Sources and Methods Authority w/Respect
to JFK Assassination Records

OGC-94-52916

DCI/OGC/RJEatinger:76105 (19 Sept 94)

Original - Addressee (w/attach) (hand delivered)
1 - OGC Registry (w/attach)
1 - Lit File _____
1 - RBB (OGC/ILD)
1 - C/HRG (J.Pereira-404 Ames)
1 - RJE - Soft File (w/attach)
1 - RJE - Signer
1 - PDP
1 - KK -fyi
1 - PAS-fyi



Assassination Records Review Board
600 E Street NW • 2nd Floor • Washington, DC 20530
(202) 724-0088 • Fax: (202) 724-0457

March 1, 1996

Mr. John Pereira
Director
Historical Review Group
Center for the Study of Intelligence
Central Intelligence Agency
Washington, D.C. 20505

CIA HAS NO OBJECTION TO
DECLASSIFICATION AND/OR
RELEASE OF CIA INFORMATION
IN THIS DOCUMENT

Dear John:

A few months ago we made a request to the Department of Defense for, *inter alia*,

"Any available MOUs or MOAs defining command responsibilities and lines of authority, responsibility and accountability when individuals of the Central Intelligence Agency serve under 'military cover,' i.e., are employed and operate for the CIA while wearing the uniform of the armed services. (Applicable period of interest here is 1956-64.)"

This letter is our official notification to you that we have made the above-stated request. We understand that the Agency was advised of this request by the Department of Defense at the time the request was made. We do, however, apologize for our inadvertent error in not having provided you with a contemporaneous notification.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in cursive script, appearing to read "D. Marwell".

David G. Marwell
Executive Director



Assassination Records Review Board
 600 E Street NW • 2nd Floor • Washington, DC 20530
 (202) 724-0088 • Fax: (202) 724-0457

12-23-97 AUG 10 11

December 18, 1997

The Honorable George Tenet
 Director of Central Intelligence
 The Central Intelligence Agency
 Washington, D.C. 20505

CIA HAS NO OBJECTION TO
 DECLASSIFICATION AND/OR
 RELEASE OF CIA INFORMATION
 IN THIS DOCUMENT

Dear Director Tenet:

In accordance with the President John F. Kennedy Assassination Records Collection Act of 1992, I am writing to inform you that the Assassination Records Review Board has made additional formal determinations concerning the public disclosure of CIA records at its meeting on December 15, 1997.

Enclosed is a list of the determinations that the Review Board has made. These decisions were premised on several factors, including the historical interest in the documents and the absence of evidence that the release of the information would cause harm to the United States or to any individual.

You have seven days to appeal these decisions to the President. You are invited to send your representative to the Review Board to examine our copies of the documents for which determinations have been made and to discuss the determinations with my staff.

Sincerely,


 T. Jeremy Gunn
 Executive Director

Enclosure

cc: Mr. John Pereira



**Assassination Records Review Board
Review Guidelines
for Information Relating to Official Cover**

This document sets forth the review guidelines for use by the Assassination Records Review Board ("Board" or "ARRB") and the Central Intelligence Agency ("CIA" or "Agency") for public release of certain information concerning the identity, scope, details, and specific mechanisms of official cover (hereafter "official cover details"). These guidelines have been officially adopted by the Board to serve the public interest in making the complete story of the JFK assassination available while protecting from official disclosure information which would be harmful to the national security and is properly classified under Executive Order 12958.

1. Information in documents originated by the Congress (most often in the context of personal statements to committees or committee staffs) which sets forth official cover details shall be presumptively released unless it sets forth specific information not generally known to the public or the Agency shall be able to demonstrate that it has taken affirmative action to prevent the disclosure of such information in the past and that release here would cause identifiable damage to the national security.

2. Information in documents originated by the Executive Branch (including those designated by the parties as quasi-executive branch documents which reflect extracts from the records of the Executive Branch) which sets forth official cover details shall be presumptively postponed to the extent that it concerns:

- identification of the official cover provider,
- specific locations used for official cover, and
- identity, scope, details and mechanisms of any particular official cover.

3. Information in such documents which the Executive Branch has taken an affirmative act to officially disclose or allow to be disclosed shall be presumed to be releasable.

4. Moreover, information in such documents which relates to individuals who are considered important to the story of the assassination shall, consistent with past practice, be considered for release on an individual basis with the Agency having a higher standard of proof of damage to the national security.

5. For any information postponed pursuant to these Guidelines, the Agency agrees to provide a summary or substitution which preserves the content and relevance of the postponed information.

Neither these guidelines nor the release of documents pursuant to these guidelines shall be deemed to constitute an official Executive Branch acknowledgment of the identity, scope, details, and specific mechanisms of official cover in other information disclosure law matters.

For the Assassination Records Review Board: _____

Example of proposed summary/substitution

Original:

The [redacted] recalls the following procedures [redacted] for CIA employees, [redacted]. The [redacted] Office would contact the CIA liaison officer, who would [redacted]. The [redacted] would then grant clearance. A record of [redacted] would probably be placed in the individual's [redacted] security file.

Summary/substitution:

(NB: Remaining original words in normal type; substituted language in bracketed bold italics)

[redacted] recalls the following procedures for *[a specific provider of official cover to provide cover]* for CIA employees. *[One office of the official provider of cover]* would request *[certain documents from a second office of the official provider of cover]*. *[That second office]* would contact the CIA liaison officer, who would *[provide requested information]*. *[The second office at the cover provider would take certain administrative steps]*. A record *[of the requested information]* would *[be maintained by the official cover provider]*.

CC:

Sent on 18 February 1998 at 07:25:54 AM

URGENT FAX

**PLEASE HAND DELIVER
to Peggy Grafeld, room 1512**

Peggy,

as I mentioned, the judge and jeremy came to visit our office director yesterday very pleasant ... and everybody said the right thing about "working together."

things continue to move forward quickly.

attached is the ARRB's "review guidelines" received last night and our what will be our hand-written slight suggested modifications ... and ... most important ... our interpretive memo. our lawyers have reviewed and find them legally identical. THE BOTTOM LINE IS THAT THIS AGREEMENT PRESERVES THE SECRET RE OFFICIAL COVER AND THERE WILL BE NO OFFICIAL ACKNOWLEDGEMENT OF ANY SPECIFIC COVER AS A RESULT OF A RELEASE OF EXECUTIVE BRANCH DOCUMENTS BY THE ARRB.

let me know soonest your thoughts (out Friday COB is target)... especially if you want anything included in our memo (the review guidelines belong to them so the thought was suggest as few changes as possible AND put any clarifying language we want in our interpretive memo).

lastly, as for the second "legal" memo for Calder/Kennedy signature, we can also incorporate any changes but my sense is the staff and Board are fairly comfortable with it so it does not appear to be of overarching necessity to make changes.

thanks,

Lee Strickland
Voice: (703) 613-1289

**URGENT FAX
PLEASE HAND DELIVER
to Peggy Grafeld, room 1512**

DRAFT DRAFT DRAFT SPECIAL COLLECTIONS
 February 20, 1998
 RELEASE IN FULL

ARRB Staff Guidelines for Review of Records Involving Official Cover

1. Substitute language or a summary will be used for all postponements. The substitutions will preserve the context and relevance of the information. (E.g., "official cover" or "location" or "details of cover arrangements.")
2. *For Congressional documents.* Cover information will be released unless the information explains details of the scope of official cover or important details about the mechanisms of official cover that is not generally known to the public. Information shall not be released if the Executive Branch shall be able to demonstrate that it has taken affirmative action to prevent the disclosure of such information in the past and that release here would cause identifiable damage to national security.
3. *For Executive Branch documents and "derivative" documents.* Information generally will be released except:
 - a. the substitute language "official cover" will be used for the actual official cover;
 - b. substitute language will be used in lieu of the details of official cover or the specific locations of cover facilities;
 - c. the cover status of certain high-profile individuals will be released when disclosure has previously been permitted by affirmative official acts of the Executive Branch of the US government;
 - d. cover status of other individuals will be disclosed only to the extent that they are important to the assassination story and they will be handled in a way similar to other key issues on a case-by-case basis; and
 - e. information disclosing that a cover arrangement was *not* employed shall be released unless the information reveals or would reasonably imply the existence of otherwise postponable information.
4. The Review Board shall continue to retain the final authority to make "formal determinations" within the meaning of the JFK Act. Although these Guidelines describe the approach the Board intends to follow, whenever a document presents an issue that is not resolvable under these guidelines or to the extent that a document presents a difficult issue under these guidelines, the issue shall be brought to the Review Board's attention for its formal determination.



Washington, D.C. 20505

CIA SPECIAL COLLECTIONS
RELEASE IN FULL
2000

DRAFT

3 April 1998

T. Jeremy Gunn, Esquire
Executive Director
Assassination Records Review Board
600 E Street, NW
Suite 207
Washington, D.C. 20530

Dear Mr. Gunn:

Re: Release or Postponement of "Official Cover" Information

This letter is intended to confirm our understanding of the recent "review guidelines" promulgated by the Assassination Records Review Board ("Board" or "ARRB") for public release of certain information concerning the identity, scope, details, and specific mechanisms of official cover (hereafter "official cover details"). This understanding is based on the prefatory negotiations between our respective staffs and reflects the agreement of all concerned agencies and departments within the Executive Branch. Please note that we have included a classified addendum which presents examples of some of the following understandings.

1. It is the intent of the staff of the Board and the federal agencies that these guidelines should serve the public interest by making the complete story of the JFK assassination available while protecting from official disclosure information which would be harmful to the national security and is properly classified under Executive Order 12958.

2. Information in documents originated by the Congress (most often in the context of personal statements to committees or committee staffs) which sets forth official cover details shall be presumptively released unless it sets forth specific information not generally known to the public or the Executive

Branch is able to demonstrate that it has taken affirmative action to prevent the disclosure of similar or identical information in another context in the past and that release here would cause identifiable damage to the national security.

T. Jeremy Gunn, Esquire

3. Information in documents originated by the Executive Branch (including those designated by the parties as quasi-executive branch documents which reflect extracts from the records of the Executive Branch) which sets forth official cover details shall be presumptively postponed to the extent that it concerns:

- identity or identification of the official cover provider,
- specific locations used for official cover, and
- scope, details and mechanisms of any particular official cover.

4. Information in such documents which the Executive Branch has taken an affirmative act to officially disclose or allow to be disclosed shall be presumed to be releasable; however, in no event shall extrinsic evidence by inference or deductive reasoning be deemed to qualify for this provision.

5. Further, information in such documents which relates to individuals who are considered important to the story of the assassination shall, consistent with past practice, be considered for release on an individual basis with the Executive Branch having a higher standard of proof of damage to the national security.

6. For any information postponed pursuant to the review guidelines, the concerned Executive Branch agency or department agrees to provide an unclassified summary or substitution which preserves the general content and relevance of the postponed information.

T. Jeremy Gunn, Esquire

7. It is the intent of the Executive Branch that neither the review guidelines nor the release of documents pursuant to these guidelines shall be deemed to constitute an official Executive Branch acknowledgment of the identity, scope, details, and specific mechanisms of official cover in other information disclosure law matters.

8. It is the understanding of the Executive Branch that no release under the authority of the JFK Act shall be deemed to constitute official executive acknowledgment in other information disclosure law matters unrelated to the Act.

Your acknowledgment of receipt and concurrence below would be greatly appreciated.

Sincerely yours,

Lee S. Strickland
Chief, Information Review Group

Receipt and general agreement acknowledged
in my capacity as Executive Director,
Assassination Records Review Board:

T. Jeremy Gunn

Date

ACTION:
COPIES
M
INR
L
S/S
LA
WIIS/
dsm



Assassination Records Review Board
600 E Street NW • 2nd Floor • Washington, DC 20530
(202) 724-0088 • Fax: (202) 724-0457

9722779

December 18, 1997

The Honorable Madeleine K. Albright
Secretary of State
2201 C Street, NW
Washington, DC 20520

Dear Secretary Albright:

In accordance with the President John F. Kennedy Assassination Records Collection Act of 1992, I am writing to inform you that the Assassination Records Review Board has made additional formal determinations concerning the public disclosure of State Department records at its meeting on December 15, 1997.

Enclosed is a list of the determinations that the Review Board has made. These decisions were premised on several factors including the historical interest in the documents and the absence of evidence that the release of the information would cause harm to the United States or to any individual.

You have seven days to appeal these decisions to the President. You are invited to send your representative to the Review Board to examine our copies of the documents for which determinations have been made and to discuss the determinations with the Review Board staff.

Sincerely,

T. Jeremy Gunn
Executive Director

Enclosure

cc: Ms. Margaret Grafeld, Director, Office of Information Resources, Management Programs and Services, Department of State



Assassination Records Review Board
600 E Street NW • 2nd Floor • Washington, DC 20530
(202) 724-0088 • Fax: (202) 724-0457

CG
MS
Kt.
Part

December 22, 1997

DEC 22 1997

HAND DELIVERY

Mr. Strobe Talbott
Deputy Secretary of State
Department of State
Washington, D.C. 20520

Dear Mr. Talbott:

I am writing in response to your December 17, 1997 security-classified letter to Assassination Records Review Board Chairman John R. Tunheim. Inasmuch as the Executive Director is the statutorily designated liaison of the Review Board to Federal agencies, I am taking the liberty of responding to you directly.

Your letter identified State Department interests in several records that are scheduled for an upcoming Review Board meeting. Although the Review Board is well aware of the general interest that you describe in your letter, *the Board has not received evidence (or argument) from the State Department regarding the particular records at issue.* Even if the Board were to agree with the general propositions stated in your letter, it is not clear that the opening of the documents at issue would release any information that is not already effectively in the public domain.

The Review Board strongly urges the Department of State to provide evidence that is specific to the documents at issue. I would be pleased to make arrangements for your designated representative to review the specific records so that he or she might provide evidence that would assist the Review Board in its decisions.

Sincerely,

T. Jeremy Gunn
Executive Director

BOARD MEMBERS: John R. Tunheim, Chair • Henry F. Graff • Kermit L. Hall • William L. Joyce • Anna K. Nelson
EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR: T. Jeremy Gunn • DEPUTY DIRECTOR: Thomas E. Samoluk



~~SECRET~~
 CENTRAL INTELLIGENCE AGENCY
 WASHINGTON, D.C. 20505
 EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR

ER 97-5738
 26 November 1997

The Honorable John Raymond Tunheim
 Chairman
 Assassination Records
 Review Board
 600 E. Street N.W.
 Washington, D.C.

Dear Judge Tunheim:

~~(C)~~ I have just received informal word of the decisions the JFK Board made at its 17 November 1997 meeting. Most of the Board's decisions--those relating to the CIA presence in Warrenton, CIA's involvement in various non-governmental organizations, and the details of CIA funding of the Cuban Revolutionary Council--are very welcome. I must, however, urge the Board to reconsider its decision to release documents in a form that would reveal the Agency's use of

~~(C)~~ We stand behind the position that we have presented in writing and in discussions with the Board on previous occasions. I would welcome an opportunity to have a senior CIA representative meet with the Board at your convenience to explain why the Agency considers this information so sensitive and to answer any questions you may have. Meanwhile, I offer the following summary of our fundamental concerns.

~~(S)~~

CL BY: 2217297
 CL REASON: 1.5 (c)
 DECL ON: X1
 DRV FROM: COV 2-87

JFK Act 5 (g) (2) (D)

~~SECRET~~

Judge Tunheim

clearly illustrates the purpose behind the Director's statutory obligation to protect intelligence methods from unnecessary and inappropriate disclosure.

~~(S)~~ [Redacted]

~~(S)~~ [Redacted] cover continues to be an important intelligence method. Its use is undiminished since the 1960s, and it will remain essential for the foreseeable future. I urge the Board to reconsider its recent decision insofar as it concerns the Agency's use of [Redacted]. In this regard, we will be forwarding a more comprehensive memorandum addressing the damage issue prior to the next Board meeting, and we would also like to offer the Board the opportunity for a personal appearance by a senior CIA representative should that be appropriate.

Sincerely,

David W. Carey
David W. Carey

JFK Act 5 (g) (2) (D)

Judge Tunheim

Distribution: ER 97-5738

Orig - Addressee

- 1 - GC
- 1 - D/CSI
- 1 - ADDA
- 1 - ADDO
- 1 - C/DO/OCC
- 1 - Linda Cipriani (OGC)
- 1 - John Pereira (CSI)
- 1 - Barry Harrelson (CSI)
- 1 - EA/Chrono
- 1 - ER

Page Denied

Page Denied

Page Denied

Page Denied

Page Denied

Page Denied

Page Denied

Page Denied

Page Denied

Page Denied

Page Denied

Page Denied

Page Denied

Page Denied

Page Denied

Page Denied

Page Denied

Page Denied

Page Denied

Page Denied

Page Denied

Page Denied

Page Denied

Page Denied

Page Denied

Page Denied

Page Denied

Page Denied

Page Denied

Page Denied

Page Denied

Page Denied

Page Denied

Page Denied

Page Denied

Page Denied

Page Denied

Page Denied

Page Denied

Page Denied

Page Denied

Page Denied

Page Denied

Page Denied

Page Denied

Page Denied

Page Denied

Page Denied

Page Denied

Page Denied

Declaration of Teresa F. Wilcox

I, Teresa F. Wilcox, hereby declare and say as follows:

1. (AIUO) I am the Information Review Officer (IRO) for the Directorate of Science and Technology (DS&T). I was appointed to this position in February 1996, and have held various professional positions within the Central Intelligence Agency since 1985. I am responsible for the review of records maintained by offices in the DS&T, to include the Offices of the Deputy Director for Science and Technology and the Associate Deputy Director for Science and Technology; the Office of Technical Service (OTS); the Office of Technical Collection (OTC); the Clandestine Information Technology Office (CITO); the Foreign Broadcast Information Service (FBIS); the Office of Development and Engineering (OD&E); the Office of Advanced Analytic Tools (AAT); the Office of Advanced Projects (OAP); and the Office of Research and Development (ORD). In addition, I am responsible for searching and reviewing certain records of the former National Photographic Interpretation Center (NPIC).

2. (AIUO) I am aware that the DS&T has searched appropriate records systems for information responsive to all requests the DS&T has received. I have obtained this knowledge through my personal experience, as well my conversations with, and my review of the records of, the former DS&T IRO Anthony R. Frasketi, and the DS&T Information Management Officer, Marsha A. Hubbs.

3. (AIUO) The following is a description of DS&T searches and review of documents undertaken in response to Board taskings the DS&T has received.

CIA-IR-07 Claude Barnes Capehart. The DS&T made deletions to security records located by the Directorate of Administration. The DS&T searched ODDS&T records for Capehart's medical file. The DS&T forwarded the medical file to the Board for its review.

CIA-IR-08 Family Jewels Memorandum. The DS&T reviewed a package of documents forwarded by Barry Harrelson. The DS&T advised the Board it had no objection to ARRB access.

CIA-IR-15 Electronic 'take' from Mexico City Station. This memorandum addresses the portion of the action, "In addition, the Board is still awaiting a response on the present location of Staff D records. Regardless of the outcome of these particular searches, the Review Board continues to seek a full accounting for all surveillance conducted during the relevant time periods."

In conducting a search for the above information, the DS&T located in OTS records a file with the folder title, "Mexico City, Mexico." We forwarded the entire contents of that folder for the period 24 May 1961 to 10 June 1965, with one exception. Three Operational Monthly Reports were forwarded to the Board. The dates covered were 1-30 September 1963; 1-31 October 1963; and 1-30 November 1963. The attachment to Dispatch HMMA 20307 dated 4 December 1962 was not included. It was a poor-quality audio tape in Spanish, between two individuals talking about office procedures. No substantive information was exchanged during this conversation.

The DS&T also conducted a search of OTC records. This office would have inherited the Division D records of the Directorate of Operations (DO). We reviewed old DO Records Retirement Requests; there are no shelflists (folder-inventory) associated with these Records Retirement Requests since the Division D records were recorded initially in the DO system. We forwarded for the Board's review the six Records Retirement Request whose job numbers reflected the years 1959 to 1962. We advised the Board that Records Center records indicated the records' disposition as follows:

1. 59-S-477: Destroyed September 1977.
2. 60-S-182R: Destroyed May 1980.
3. 60-S-402: Transferred to FI/D(DDO), December 1977.
4. 61-S-157R: Four boxes transferred to FI/D (DDO), March 1972; three boxes destroyed, May 1980.
5. 62-S-885: Destroyed (no date given).
6. 62S000986R: Transferred to the Office of SIGINT Operations (DS&T).

These were the only Records Retirement Requests in the possession of OTC that are in the time frame relevant to the JFK assassination. As their descriptions indicate, they do not appear relevant to the request.

The DS&T also conducted a search of CITO records. This DS&T office inherited some files from OTC when CITO was established. We searched its records in the event some Division D records were held by CITO. These searches identified no responsive records.

Searches of OTS, OTC, and CITO records used the following keywords:

surveil*
Russian
USSR
Cuba*
audio*
electron*
embassy
Mexico*

CIA-IR-16 U-2 Files. The DS&T provided the Board a copy of the U-2 shelflist. We also searched for records filed under Richard Bissell's name. We received no follow-on request from the Board.

CIA-IR-16 FBIS-USR-92-112. The DS&T provided to the Board a translation of articles about a KGB file on Oswald.

CIA-IR-17 DS&T Records on Castro Assassination Plots. Records of the ODDS&T, OTC, and OTS were searched for the following keywords: Castro, Oswald, Oswald, assas*, and KDBADGER. In addition, we searched OTS records on the following: Project ZRRIFLE, Mollusca, Cubela, Black Leaf, ballpoint pen, hypodermic syringe, Artime, silencer, gambling, aerosol, cigar, depilatory, wiretapping, Phyllis McGuire, skin diving suit, sea shell, and project AMLASH. As a result of these searches, we provided to the Board a document titled, "Handwriting Analysis - AMLASH-1," dated 7 April 1965.

CIA-IR-25 Zapruder Film. A search was conducted on records of the National Imagery and Mapping Agency (records of the former National Photographic Interpretation Center (NPIC) and Office of Imagery Analysis) using the terms Zapruder, JFK, Commission, and 1963. Hits on year 1963 did not reveal any pertinent information. We located no record of a "William Green" at NPIC in November 1963. We located no log books or indexes regarding the processing or handling of any assassination films. We also reviewed an audiotaped interview with a former NPIC employee, and made minimal deletions to the tape.

CIA-IR-33 Search of FBIS records for any files on Oswald, and request for information on how FBIS-USR92-112 was filed. No past or present files were found. An explanation on how FBIS-USR-92-112 was filed was provided.

Review of three Ford Library Documents (178-10003-10453, 178-10004-10087, 178-10002-10394. Made deletions and deferred to the DO on 178-10002-10394. Made deletions to Summary Report on CIA Investigation of MKNAOMI.

Review of two terms. The DS&T advised that the terms should not be released because of ongoing CIA activity at the location.

Review of Alias Documentation issue (104-10220-10179, -10181, and -10188). Requested that Board postpone release of specific information. A search of OTS records indicated OTS had no records on particular documents in the 1960s and 1970s.

Review of a slug in current use. Requested that the Board postpone its release because the slug is in current use.

Review of Organizational Charts of all Agency directorates for the years 1958-1968 (CIA-1). Requested deletions.

Review of the proposed release of an Agency facility. Provided information about DS&T activities at risk if this facility were acknowledged.

Review of records regarding the release of the name of Jose Marie Mankel. Provided information about the potential chilling effect on current operations.

Review of documents concerning a certain entity in the CIA Sequestered Collection which are Not Believed Relevant (NBR) to the JFK assassination. Four documents had been previously released (one was released in full, and three were sanitized); eight documents had been denied in full. The Board determined that four documents were NBR.

Review of the Board's request to review an index resulting from the CIA's History Staff's review of 61 histories for any connection to the JFK assassination. The DS&T concurred in the Board's review of the index.

4. (U) Based on this information, I have concluded that the DS&T has diligently searched and reviewed all requests for information from the Board that the DS&T has received.

5. (U) I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct.

Executed in Langley, Virginia on this 21st day of August 1998.

T. F. Wilcox

Teresa F. Wilcox