

JFK ASSASSINATION SYSTEM
IDENTIFICATION FORM

AGENCY INFORMATION

AGENCY : SSCIA
RECORD NUMBER : 157-10014-10017
RECORDS SERIES : HEARINGS
AGENCY FILE NUMBER : 05-H-01

DOCUMENT INFORMATION

ORIGINATOR : SSCSGO
FROM :
TO :
TITLE :
DATE : 02/11/76
PAGES : 106
SUBJECTS : AMLASH

CIA

CASTRO, FIDEL

DOCUMENT TYPE : TRANSCRIPT
CLASSIFICATION : UNCLASSIFIED
RESTRICTIONS : 1A, 1B
CURRENT STATUS : RELEASED WITH DELETIONS
DATE OF LAST REVIEW : 05/12/98
OPENING CRITERIA :
COMMENTS : Box 2

Released under the John F. Kennedy
Assassination Records Collection Act of
1992 (44 USC 2107 Note). Case#:NW
65360 Date: 11-17-2022

[R] - ITEM IS RESTRICTED

~~TOP SECRET~~

ORIGINAL
Vol.
1 of 3

NATIONAL SECURITY INFORMATION
Unauthorized Disclosure Subject
to Criminal Sanctions

The United States Senate

R2574

Report of Proceedings

Hearing held before

Senate Select Committee to Study Governmental
Operations with Respect to Intelligence Activities

7600010774

Wednesday, February 11, 1976

Washington, D. C.

(Stenotype Tape and Waste turned over
to the Committee for destruction)

WARD & PAUL
410 FIRST STREET, S. E.
WASHINGTON, D. C. 20003

(202) 544-8000

~~TOP SECRET~~

~~TOP SECRET~~

A

Phone (Area 202) 544-6000

7600010775

WARD & PAUL

410 First Street, S.E., Washington, D.C. 20003

- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5
- 6
- 7
- 8
- 9
- 10
- 11
- 12
- 13
- 14
- 15
- 16
- 17
- 18
- 19
- 20
- 21
- 22
- 23
- 24
- 25

C O N T E N T S

TESTIMONY OF:

PAGE

AMLASH Case Officer

3

E X H I B I T S

AMLASH Case Officer Exhibit No. 1

70

~~TOP SECRET~~

Phone (Area 202) 544-6000

7 6 0 0 0 1 0 7 7 5

WARD & PAUL

410 First Street, S.E., Washington, D.C. 20003

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25

EXECUTIVE SESSION

- - -

Wednesday, February 11, 1976


- - -

United States Senate,
Select Committee to Study Governmental
Operations with Respect to
Intelligence Activities,
Washington, D. C.

The Committee met, pursuant to notice, at 10:20 o'clock
am., in Room 608, Carroll Arms Hotel, the Honorable Richard
S. Schweiker presiding.

Present: Senator Schweiker (presiding).

Staff: Paul Wallach, Ed Greissing, Jim Johnston, Dan Dwyer
and Frederick Baron, Professional Staff Members.



~~TOP SECRET~~

2

PROCEEDINGS

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25

Phone (Area 202) 544-6000

7600010777

WARD & PAUL

410 First Street, S. E., Washington, D.C. 20003

Senator Schweiker. Will you stand and raise your right hand.

Do you solemnly swear the testimony you are about to give is the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth, so help you God?

AMLASH Case Officer. I do.

Mr. Johnston. First of all, sir, we'll start with some procedural matters. I'm not going to ask you to state your name for the record because we have agreed before beginning here today that you would testify under an alias so as not to jeopardize your ongoing activities.

Is that the way you understand our agreement?

~~TOP SECRET~~

Phone (Area 202) 544-6000

76000410770

WARD & PAUL

410 First Street, S.E., Washington, D.C. 20003

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25

TESTIMONY OF AMLASH CASE OFFICER

AMLASH Case Officer. Yes.

Mr. Johnston. Is that satisfactory to you?

AMLASH Case Officer. Yes.

Mr. Johnston. Now, you understand that at any time we refer to your participation or your authorship of a document or receipt of a document, we are prepared to substantiate the reference that we are making, and we are not trying to avoid proving the fact that it is indeed you that were involved in the incident. It is simply because we were not able to use your name.

AMLASH Case Officer. That's right.

Mr. Johnston. Now, you've previously testified before this Committee, and I assume you've had a copy of the Committee rules, and we have another copy available in case you want to refer to them.

And are you appearing here voluntarily today without a counsel?

AMLASH Case Officer. Yes, I am.

Mr. Johnston. Do you understand that at any point during this examination you are free to stop answering any questions and request the advice of Counsel?

AMLASH Case Officer. I do.

Mr. Johnston. And do you understand as well that all your constitutional rights are intact here before the Committee



~~TOP SECRET~~

Phone (Area 202) 544-6000

7 6 0 0 0 1 0 7 7 9

WARD & PAUL

410 First Street, S.E., Washington, D.C. 20003

1 including the Fifth Amendment rights to remain silent, if you
2 choose.

3 AMLASH Case Officer. I do.

4 Mr. Johnston. All right.

5 Let me state that the purpose of this interview is to
6 refine and clarify certain questions about the AMLASH operation.

7 It is my understanding that you were the case officer on
8 the AMLASH operation from the time period, say, of the first
9 of September on through, for all relevant purposes, December '63,
10 and November '64, is that correct?

11 AMLASH Case Officer. That's right.

12 Mr. Johnston. At this time you were a member of what was
13 called the Special Affairs Staff, is that correct?

14 AMLASH Case Officer. That's right.

15 Mr. Johnston. And that's abbreviated SAS.

16 AMLASH Case Officer. Right.

17 Mr. Johnston. Would you describe your position in SAS
18 and your relationship to Mr. Fitzgerald, who was Chief of
19 SAS?

20 AMLASH Case Officer. I was a special assistant to Mr.
21 Fitzgerald, charged with the responsibility of attempting to
22 organize a military coup inside Cuba against Fidel Castro.

23 Mr. Johnston. Did you report directly to Mr. Fitzgerald
24 on your work?

25 AMLASH Case Officer. Yes, I did.

~~TOP SECRET~~

~~TOP SECRET~~

5

1 Mr. Johnston. Was there anyone else knowledgeable in
2 SAS of what you were doing?

3 AMLASH Case Officer. Only the other people who were
4 involved working on the project.

5 Mr. Johnston. What was the relationship between SAS and
6 the Western Hemisphere Division under, at that time, I believe,
7 Mr. J. C. King?

8 AMLASH Case Officer. I don't recall exactly what the
9 organizational relationship was at that time. I do know that
10 we operated on a somewhat -- I wouldn't say autonomous basis
11 from the Division itself, but the chain of command was certainly
12 from Mr. Fitzgerald, not necessarily through the Division
13 Chief, but up to the DDP at that time.

14 Mr. Johnston. Who was Mr. Helms.

15 AMLASH Case Officer. That's right.

16 Mr. Johnston. In other words, there could be direct
17 reporting from Mr. Fitzgerald to Mr. Helms.

18 AMLASH Case Officer. Oh, yes.

19 Mr. Johnston. Is it necessary that Mr. King was knowledgeable
20 of all operations of SAS?

21 AMLASH Case Officer. Not necessarily. I don't know if he
22 was kept fully advised of all the operations or not.

23 Mr. Johnston. Did he know generally what you were doing?

24 AMLASH Case Officer. I presume he did, yes.

25 Mr. Johnston. And how often did he and Mr. Fitzgerald

~~TOP SECRET~~

Phone (Area 202) 544-6000

7600010730

WARD & PAUL

410 First Street, S.E., Washington, D.C. 20003

Phone (Area 202) 544-6000

760010731

WARD & PAUL

410 First Street, S.E., Washington, D.C. 20003

1 meet to coordinate. They do coordination of their work?

2 AMLASH Case Officer. I don't know. I wasn't involved
3 in that part of it.

4 Mr. Johnston. Was SAS targeted directly at Cuba, or
5 were there other countries under its jurisdiction?

6 AMLASH Case Officer. No. SAS was strictly a task force
7 set up to deal with the Cuban problem.

8 Mr. Johnston. And finally on this general matter, who
9 did -- who gave the counterintelligence support for SAS operations?

10 AMLASH Case Officer. We had a Counterintelligence Branch
11 within SAS.

12 Mr. Johnston. Who headed that in the fall of '63?

13 AMLASH Case Officer. I don't recall. There was a change.
14 I don't recall the name.

15 Mr. Johnston. Let me -- as I stated earlier, you of
16 course have testified before, and I have before me the
17 transcript and I'm not proposing really to trap you in any nature
18 with this transcript. However, there are some things that were
19 not asked before that I would like to clarify.

20 First of all, how and why was AMLASH first contacted to
21 set up the September meeting that you attended?

22 AMLASH Case Officer. He had been contacted the year before
23 in Helsinki, and we found out at that time that he was coming
24 out to a sporting event in the Western Hemisphere, so we went
25 down and contacted him.

~~TOP SECRET~~

7

Phone (Area 202) 544-4000

1 Mr. Johnston. In my review of the file -- and I don't think
2 we have the document here today, but my notes indicate there
3 was a cable from Langley to Rome on 19 June 1963, that is in
4 the AMLASH file.

5 AMLASH Case Officer. Would you repeat the question?

6 Mr. Johnston. In my review of the AMLASH file, there is
7 a cable from headquarters to Rome on 19 June 1963 which states
8 that AMWHIP, who was your contact with AMLASH, had sent a letter
9 as part of "an activation effort to reluctant dragon."

10 I ask, do you have any recollection or any knowledge
11 that this was a sign to AMLASH that CIA was back in contact
12 with him or wanted to go back in contact with him?

13 AMLASH Case Officer. I can't comment on that because
14 I didn't get into the operation until September of '63, and
15 this took place before I became involved in that part of the
16 AMLASH operation.

17 Mr. Johnston. So in other words, you're saying you don't
18 really know who made the contact with AMLASH?

19 AMLASH Case Officer. We had contact with AMLASH from the
20 year before. He had been contacted by a CIA case officer in
21 Helsinki.

22 Mr. Johnston. In July and August, 1962.

23 AMLASH Case Officer. Right.

24 Mr. Johnston. Then he returned to Cuba.

25 AMLASH Case Officer. And this was the first time, to

~~TOP SECRET~~

7600010730

WARD & PAUL

410 First Street, S. E., Washington, D. C. 20003

~~TOP SECRET~~

8

1 the best of my knowledge, that he had come out from Cuba
2 since the Helsinki trip.

3 Mr. Johnston. In other words, you're not aware of any
4 prior contact between CIA or an intermediary and AMLASH?

5 AMLASH Case Officer. Not between that period of time.

6 Mr. Johnston. All right. You met with AMLASH in Brazil
7 on September 5th through 9th. I don't mean you specifically,
8 but the general meetings with AMLASH were in that time frame.

9 Is that your recollection?

10 AMLASH Case Officer. That's right.

11 Mr. Johnston. Do you recall seeing a cable, or receiving
12 instructions from headquarters to the effect -- and this is
13 after your series of meetings -- instructions to the effect
14 that headquarters felt that AMLASH appeared hopeless as an
15 intelligence performer, and should be approached as a chief
16 conspirator allowed to recruit his own cohorts. He should
17 be urged to recruit a few trusted friends to assist him
18 initially in FI and ops reporting and then progress to sabotage
19 and more serious matters on a more orderly basis.

20 Do you recall seeing something to that effect after your
21 Brazil meetings with him?

22 AMLASH Case Officer. I don't specifically recall the cable
23 itself, but certainly that would be in line with the thinking
24 at that time.

25 If I may state, he was not considered a controlled asset,

~~TOP SECRET~~

Phone (Area 202) 544-6000

76000010783

WARD & PAUL

410 First Street, S.E., Washington, D.C. 20003

~~TOP SECRET~~

Phone (Area 202) 544-6000

76000010734

WARD & PAUL

IB
(NSA)

410 First Street, S.E., Washington, D.C. 20003

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25

shall we say, and it was somebody with whom we felt that we could collaborate in organizing the internal group.

Mr. Johnston. But my summary of the cable would indicate that on September 9th, or after your meetings in Brazil, you really didn't think of him as a gatherer of information, but as someone who wanted to go on to other things, as a conspirator of some kind.

AMLASH Case Officer. That's right.

Mr. Johnston. The file also has a document in it, the AMLASH file, dated 16 September, marked OFPA 72775. I don't really know what an OFPA is.

AMLASH Case Officer. It's a dispatch.

Mr. Johnston. In any event, it reports a transcript of the conversation, and to the best of my ability to understand the document, it's a conversation of an intercept in the [redacted]

[redacted] The document says "Mary: I don't want to imagine things, but this thing of" AMLASH -- uses his real name. I have sanitized this -- "Moreno. It is either a tremendous secret or a top secret matter." And then there is an unreadable portion, and then a word either "treason" or "reason" I'm not sure what is referred to, and then a participant whose name is Betty says, "I believe it is a top secret matter."

My question to you is, did you, in September of '63, know about this intercept?

~~TOP SECRET~~

WSP

Phone (Area 202) 544-6000

7600010785

WARD & PAUL

410 First Street, S.E., Washington, D.C. 20003

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25

AMLASH Case Officer. I don't specifically recall today that I would have known about it. I presume if we had it in file, that I was working with it, that I would have seen it.

Mr. Johnston. Do you have any information about any recollection about something on this order?

AMLASH Case Officer. No.

Mr. Johnston. And assume that at least the portion I quoted seems to reflect on the Cubans' view of AMLASH's activities in Paris.

AMLASH Case Officer. Yes, it may have. As I recall, I think that he was also at that time -- I may be wrong, and we would have to go back to the files to check, but he was rather outspoken to some of his closer friends in the fact that he was no longer happy with the revolution, with Castro.

Mr. Johnston. I don't want to dwell on this, if you don't have a recollection, but this conversation seems to indicate that the employees in the ¹¹Cuban=embassy think that AMLASH is there on a top secret mission.

AMLASH Case Officer. Probably so because of his independent way of comportment. He didn't answer to anybody.

Senator Schweiker. What was his role in government at that point?

AMLASH Case Officer. He was -- excuse me, this must have been '63. He was a personal representative of Castro to the games, first in Brazil, and I don't think he had a specific

VSR

Phone (Area 202) 544-6000

7600010736

WARD & PAUL

410 First Street, S.E., Washington, D.C. 20003

1 post in '63, as I recall. He had been Deputy Minister of
2 Interior. He had been a military attache in Spain. I don't think
3 he had a specific post at that time.

4 Excuse me, if I may add just one more point. Before that he
5 had been President of the Student Federation at the University
6 of Havana. He may still have been in that post, or about the
7 time that he was getting out of it, and this is, I think, where
8 really the trouble between this particular fellow and the Castro
9 brothers more or less came to ahead.

10 Mr. Johnston. On the 19th of September, Jim Wave sent a
11 message to headquarters basically warning, giving a warning,
12 making the statement that there was an anti-Communist group in
13 Cuba, and the name AMLASH is part of that group, and it concluded
14 by saying that Fidel is allegedly aware of both the anti-Communist
15 group and a Communist group, and that he acts as a moderator
16 between them in order to maintain cohesion in the government of
17 Cuba.

18 Do you recall receiving that information?

19 AMLASH Case Officer. I don't recall specifically receiving
20 that information, but I do recall that at that time Fidel
21 Castro himself was talking about -- was concerned about a
22 Communist takeover of the revolution, and he did meet with the
23 subject and some other friends of his in order to prevent this.

24 Mr. Johnston. When was this?

25 AMLASH Case Officer. This was in the early '60s sometime.

~~TOP SECRET~~

12

Phone (Area 202) 544-6000

760001070?

WARD & PAUL

410 First Street, S.E., Washington, D.C. 20001

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25

Senator Schweiker. What year were you in?

Mr. Johnston. '63.

AMLASH Case Officer. This was before '63. This must have been in '62.

Mr. Wallach. This is before his trip to the Soviet Union?

Mr. Johnston. Before Castro's trip?

Mr. Wallach. Right.

AMLASH Case Officer. Yes.

MR. Johnston. The question being put is after your meeting in Brazil with AMLASH, Jim Wave cabled headquarters that AMLASH was part of a group of anti-Communist Cuban government leaders and that Castro was aware of that fact.

AMLASH Case Officer. My answer to you is that he certainly was because he had been talking with these people, including AMLASH, a year before. Yes.

Senator Schweiker. Castro was aware that he was anti-Communist?

AMLASH Case Officer. Yes, that he was one of the anti-Communist group.

Senator Schweiker. Why would he be so trusted and be the special representative at that point in time if he was known as anti-Communist?

AMLASH Case Officer. Because of the relationship with Castro. Castro himself had not declared his Communist, more or

~~TOP SECRET~~

~~TOP SECRET~~

13

1 less intentions, as of that time.

2 Senator Schweiker. Wait, this was after the Cuban missile
3 crisis. This was '63, and the Cuban missile crisis was '62.
4 I'm confused here.

5 AMLASH Case Officer. Let's go back to the dates here.

6 Senator Schweiker. The Jim Wave date is September 1963,
7 and he knows at this point, allegedly now, that this AMLASH
8 was a member of an anti-Communist group. I have trouble
9 reconciling that with not pinpointing AMLASH and his possible
10 subversive agent. I think that is what Jim is getting to.

11 AMLASH Case Officer. Again, my answer is I don't know
12 specifically why or what the origin of the '63 J. M. Wave
13 cable was.

14 My answer again to the question is that Castro, from his
15 previous knowledge, going back to '62, did know and had consulted
16 with this group of people of which AMLASH was one, who were the
17 group of Anti-Communist officers in the revolution.

18 Mr. Johnston. So --

19 AMLASH Case Officer. I don't know why in '63 this report
20 would have come out, but it would confirm Castro's knowledge
21 of this group, yes.

22 Mr. Johnston. Let me move on into October. There was a
23 meeting with AMLASH.

24 Senator Schweiker. How long did he tolerate anti-Communist
25 officers in high positions in his government? I've got to

~~TOP SECRET~~

Phone (Area 202) 544-6000

7600010730

WARD & PAUL

410 First Street, S.E., Washington, D.C. 20003

~~TOP SECRET~~

14

1 believe there's some cutoff here. I realize the uniqueness and
2 broadness of his support earlier, but it would just seem to me
3 that at some point he really had to weed out, not necessarily
4 weed out or be suspect of anti-Communist officers who had too
5 high decision making in his government, would he not, or am I
6 missing something?

7 AMLASH Case Officer. No, not really, because out of this
8 group that was mentioned, and with whom he himself discussed
9 the need in the early '60s to keep, say, the Communists out,
10 some of those officers are still in his government today.

11 Now, they may have changed, or Castro may have changed, but
12 he didn't purge all this group of officers. Maybe he won them
13 over, and if they are still there, evidently he has some
14 confidence in them.

15 Mr. Johnston. According to the file, there was a meeting on
16 October 5th, apparently, in Paris, and I'm not sure that you were
17 the case officer at that meeting, and the cable from Paris
18 sets the tone of that meeting as AMLASH apparently was trying
19 to get things off his chest in talking to you.

20 Were you the case officer at that meeting?

21 AMLASH Case Officer. I was the case officer during that
22 period of time.

23 Go into a little of the detail because we did talk about
24 these problems.

25 Mr. Johnston. There appeared from the file two meetings

~~TOP SECRET~~

Phone (Area 202) 544-6000

76000010709

WARD & PAUL

410 First Street, S.E., Washington, D.C. 20003

~~TOP SECRET~~

15

1 in early October 1963, the first meeting on or about October
2 5th that AMLASH comes in and says I want to get things off my
3 chest, and seems to express great dissatisfaction with the
4 CIA's position.

5 Then there's a second meeting on October 13th or so where
6 he specifically asks to meet with Robert Kennedy, and you
7 previously testified about that second meeting.

8 AMLASH Case Officer. Yes.

9 Mr. Johnston. Now, the file indicates there was an
10 earlier meeting on October 5th, and AMLASH was complaining
11 apparently about the low level espionage matters that had been
12 discussed in Brazil, and implying that he had a more valuable
13 role to play, and the file says the case officer provided the
14 necessary assurances that his feelings were not in fact
15 true, and that his case was receiving the consideration at
16 the highest levels.

17 With this problem which had undoubtedly been bothering
18 AMLASH off his chest, a much more relaxed AMLASH departed,
19 stating his desire to return to Cuba to undertake the big
20 job.

21 Do you recall that meeting?

22 AMLASH Case Officer. I think you are getting those two
23 meetings mixed up. In other words, the later one, yes. I
24 was there and talking to him in the second meeting. In the
25 first meeting I don't recall precisely, but there could have

~~TOP SECRET~~

Phone (Area 202) 544-6000

760010700

WARD & PAUL

410 First Street, S.E., Washington, D.C. 20003

Phone (Area 202) 544-6000

7600010701

WARD & PAUL

410 First Street, S.E., Washington, D.C. 20003

1 been a meeting with a contact in Paris, in other words, not a
2 case officer, but the contact we had in Paris. I don't remember
3 who that was, one of the officers there.

4 Mr. Johnston. In addition to you he was in contact with --
5 AMLASH Case Officer. Only for purposes of contact. I mean,
6 only to make contact with me, not to carry on the case. But
7 he knew that the other officer was a friend of mine, and he
8 was in touch with him, and when he came to Paris he would get
9 in touch with him. So I would be notified.

10 Mr. Johnston. I think you have used his name in the
11 prior transcript, ⁰³ ~~Fred Stent~~, the case officer?

12 AMLASH Case Officer. Yes, because he was the one who
13 furnished the quarters where we had the meetings.

14 Mr. Johnston. In furnishing the quarters, did you have a
15 taping system going as to the discussions that would take place?

16 AMLASH Case Officer. No, I don't believe we taped any of
17 those meetings?

18 Mr. Johnston. Is it procedure to tape meetings with
19 Agents?

20 AMLASH Case Officer. Sometimes. It is not a standard
21 procedure. Sometimes it is not physically possible.

22 Mr. Johnston. Let me go back into this meeting of October
23 5th, which you don't recall that you were at this meeting, is
24 that correct?

25 AMLASH Case Officer. There probably could have been the



~~TOP SECRET~~

17

end
begin

Photo ID
2021 544-6000

7 6 0 0 1 0 7 7 2

WARD & PAUL

410 First Street, S.E., Washington, D.C. 20003

1 meeting when he first arrived in Paris, and the meeting that he
2 had -- I don't recall specifically.

3 I do recall, if I may, I do recall that he said he was
4 unhappy because of the requirements, the intelligence require-
5 ments that we had levied on him in Brazil, and it is true that
6 his position was at that time, even in Brazil, and later in
7 Paris, that he was not disposed to cooperate just to furnish
8 intelligence. In other words, he felt that he wanted to do
9 something about the removal of Castro but was not just prepared
10 to keep us advised as to what was going on. He recognized that
11 this was certainly part of a needed operation, but he did not
12 consider himself just as a provider of information.

13 Mr. Johnston. Let me go off the record for a minute
14 because I want to use a code name.

15 (Discussion off the record.)

16 Mr. Johnston. Having mentioned the code name that is
17 used in this cable, you now recall you were at the 5 October
18 meeting?

19 AMLASH Case Officer. Yes.

20 Mr. Johnston. And the cable, you can look at it, indicates
21 that AMLASH was in a confessional mood.

22 My question to you is, after recalling that meeting, was
23 it basically one where he was expressing his concern about
24 the CIA role for him?

25 Mr. Johnston. He was expressing his concern for what?

~~TOP SECRET~~

~~TOP SECRET~~

18

1 Mr. Johnston. The CIA's plans for using him.

2 AMLASH Case Officer. Yes. He was concerned as to how and
3 in what way he was to cooperate with CIA. He was also -- and
4 we must underline this, right from the beginning, dubious
5 as to our resolve to really follow through on initiating a
6 coup against Castro.

7 Mr. Johnston. Is the cable correct in stating that he was
8 assured that his case was receiving consideration at the
9 highest levels?

10 AMLASH Case Officer. Yes.

11 Mr. Johnston. Was the word "highest level" used, or was
12 a name used?

13 AMLASH Case Officer. Highest levels, but at that stage
14 of the game he knew he was talking to CIA, so highest levels
15 in CIA is what was meant at that particular time.

16 Mr. Johnston. That's the word you used?

17 You didn't say Director McCone?

18 AMLASH Case Officer. I did not use names, no.

19 Mr. Johnston. On October 5th, you reassured him that his
20 consideration, that his case was receiving consideration at
21 least at the highest levels of CIA, and the cable reflects
22 that when he left that meeting he seemed much more relaxed about
23 the case.

24 Is that an accurate portrayal of the meeting?

25 AMLASH Case Officer. That's right.

~~TOP SECRET~~

Phone (Area 202) 544-6000

7600010773

WARD & PAUL

410 First Street, S.E., Washington, D.C. 20003

~~TOP SECRET~~

19

1 Mr. Johnston. As I mentioned, there was another meeting
2 on or about October 13th, where, as I understand it, AMLASH
3 made his demand or his request to meet with Robert Kennedy, and
4 he made that request to meet with Kennedy by name.

5 Is that correct?

6 AMLASH Case Officer. Yes, he did.

7 Well, he said somebody such as, somebody high in the
8 administration, again, to receive the assurances that we were
9 prepared to -- that we were serious about developing and
10 organizing a coup.

11 Mr. Johnston. After that meeting, you were recalled to
12 Langley for discussions, is that correct?

13 AMLASH Case Officer. I was traveling back and forth
14 between Paris and Langley, yes. I don't recall if I was
15 recalled at that particular time, but I would go there -- I
16 was TDY.

17 Mr. Johnston. I want to set the stage. There is a
18 message from the Director, 75683, to London, directing you to
19 return to headquarters for discussion of all facets of the
20 case.

21 This is after you reported that AMLASH wants to meet
22 with someone like Robert Kennedy.

23 Do you recall that flow of events?

24 AMLASH Case Officer. Yes.

25 Mr. Johnston. What discussions did you have at Langley,

~~TOP SECRET~~

Phone (Area 202) 544-6000

7600010774

WARD & PAUL

410 First Street, S.E., Washington, D.C. 20003

~~TOP SECRET~~

20

Phone (Area 202) 544-6000

1 and I don't want to go through your previous testimony again,
2 but basically are we correct in understanding that out of the
3 discussions at Langley came a decision to go to Paris with
4 Mr. Fitzgerald representing himself as a personal representative
5 of Robert Kennedy?

6 AMLASH Case Officer. That's right.

7 Mr. Johnston. Then you met on October 29, according to
8 the file, with AMLASH, Mr. Fitzgerald, in Paris.

9 Is that correct?

10 AMLASH Case Officer. Excuse me. Can I go off the
11 record?

12 (Discussion off the record.)

13 Mr. Wallach. I think you stated that AMLASH said that he
14 would like to meet with one of the U.S. leaders such as
15 Robert Kennedy?

16 AMLASH Case Officer. A high representative in the U.S.
17 Government.

18 Mr. Wallach. Did he mention any other names that you
19 recall?

20 AMLASH Case Officer. No, not that I recall.

21 Mr. Wallach. Just Robert Kennedy?

22 AMLASH Case Officer. Such as Robert Kennedy.

23 Mr. Wallach. Did AMLASH, to the best of your knowledge,
24 from what he told you, know about any prior assassination
25 attempts that had been run by the U.S.?

~~TOP SECRET~~

7600010725

WARD & PAUL

410 First Street, S.E., Washington, D.C. 20003

~~TOP SECRET~~

21

1 AMLASH Case Officer. No.

2 Mr. Wallach. Did he have any reason to believe that Robert
3 Kennedy had been involved with any of the sabotage operations
4 as contrasted with any assassination attempts?

5 AMLASH Case Officer. If he knew, he never mentioned it to
6 me. We never discussed that.

7 Mr. Wallach. In other words, you didn't say to him,
8 how did you know that Robert Kennedy -- or why did you pick
9 Robert Kennedy.

10 AMLASH Case Officer. No, not at all. I mean, it's a
11 perfectly, I think, logical name to have been mentioned at
12 that time, because by him, since he was the President's brother
13 and since he was the Attorney General, he wanted somebody high
14 in the Government; at one time he had mentioned the President,
15 you know, I want to talk to the President.

16 Mr. Wallach. It seems strange to me he didn't pick the
17 Secretary of State or someone like that.

18 AMLASH Case Officer. No.

19 Mr. Wallach. What I'm trying to get at --

20 AMLASH Case Officer. He wanted somebody directly to the
21 President. In other words, he wanted the assurances
22 from the highest authority, again, from the President of
23 the United States.

24 Mr. Wallach. What I'm trying to get at is we, from our
25 examination of the alleged assassination attempts, have also

~~TOP SECRET~~

Phone (Area 202) 544-6000

7600010796

WARD & PAUL

410 First Street, S.E., Washington, D.C. 20003

~~TOP SECRET~~

22

1 gone into the sabotage attempts, MONGOOSE, etc, and found out
2 that Bobby Kennedy did play a role in the sabotage attempts.

3 What I'm trying to get from you is your understanding of
4 whether or not AMLASH, from his Cuban side, knew that the
5 Kennedy's had played that role, and would necessarily say I want
6 to talk to Robert Kennedy for that reason.

7 AMLASH Case Officer. No. He never mentioned it, not at
8 all in any way.

9 Mr. Johnston. Let me finally go to the October 29th meeting,
10 and before we went on the record this morning, you were
11 explaining to us how you characterized the AMLASH operation.

12 Would you repeat in summary form what you told us before
13 we went on the record?

14 AMLASH Case Officer. The operation was never conceived,
15 certainly, carried out during the period I was associated with
16 it, but was never conceived as an assassination plot. It was
17 conceived as a coup in order to organize a military group
18 within Cuba to overthrow Castro.

19 Mr. Johnston. Did you have the understanding that if
20 necessary AMLASH was proposing to assassinate the Castro
21 brothers?

22 AMLASH Case Officer. Assassination was not a subject of
23 discussion that came up at every meeting or contact that we
24 had with AMLASH. It was so stated by him that he felt that
25 the only way to initiate a coup in Cuba was to direct the

~~TOP SECRET~~

Phone (Area 202) 544-6000

7600010707

WARD & PAUL

410 First Street, S.E., Washington, D.C. 20003

~~TOP SECRET~~

23

1 first blow at the leadership.

2 Since we were not willing to discuss specifically eliminating
3 Castro, this was never discussed in terms of the operation.
4 We certainly had no doubt that in his mind this was the only
5 way to go about it.

6 Mr. Johnston. And you dealt with him on that basis?

7 AMLASH Case Officer. Trying to temporize this, and if
8 possible we were not looking for a bloody coup at that time,
9 planning a bloody coup inside Cuba, but we were trying to
10 certainly get him to think in terms of much more than just the
11 elimination of the leadership.

12 Mr. Johnston. And to broaden it.

13 AMLASH Case Officer. To broaden it into a coup. Our
14 interest was the coup, not the specifics of how he got it
15 started.

16 Mr. Johnston. You were concerned about whether his
17 plans were realistic, weren't you?

18 AMLASH Case Officer. Very definitely, yes.

19 Senator Schweiker. Did you personally evaluate AMLASH
20 as fully capable of leading a coup and being able to implement
21 it and carry it out if support were given?

22 How did you evaluate AMLASH?

23 As I understand from the dialogue here, and also from
24 reading a summary of these, at first the operations talk was
25 fairly low level sabotage, which he out of hand rejected, and

~~TOP SECRET~~

Phone (Area 202) 544-4000

76000410700

WARD & PAUL

410 First Street, S.E., Washington, D.C. 20003

~~TOP SECRET~~

28

1 he pictured himself as a leader of a top level effort on the
2 condition that it had assurances of government support and top
3 level support here.

4 Now, I guess my question to you is, how, as case officer,
5 did you evaluate his capability? Did you evaluate him as
6 someone who could lead a coup and who would, that our government
7 could put trust in, faith in in terms of his ability to carry it
8 out or not?

9 AMLASH Case Officer. At that time we did not evaluate
10 him as the leader of a coup. He was not our candidate to be
11 the next ruler of Cuba. He was only evaluated in our dealings
12 with him on the basis of what he and his group could contribute
13 to a coup inside Cuba.

14 So I don't recall at any time that he was selected or that
15 anybody ever talked of him as the next leader in Cuba.

16 Mr. Baron. Wasn't there some thought that he would be a
17 good rallying point for strong leaders of a new government in
18 Cuba, that he had an ability to attract good people to work with
19 him?

20 AMLASH Case Officer. He had proven this because he had
21 been one of the two leaders, or the two top leaders of the
22 Directorado Revolucionario, which is the Revolutionary Directorate
23 which is a group not part of the 26th of July Movement, but a
24 separate group, which is -- he was one of the leaders, and
25 became a military commander of that group, separate from the 26

~~TOP SECRET~~

Phone (Area 202) 544-6000

7600010799

WARD & PAUL

410 First Street, S.E., Washington, D.C. 20003

~~TOP SECRET~~

26

Phone (Area 202) 544-6000

7600010801

WARD & PAUL

410 First Street, S.E., Washington, D.C. 20003

1 if you not necessarily prepared that document, because it is a
2 sanitized document, but whether you prepared a document
3 that that apparently came from, the memorandum for record of
4 November 19th.

5 AMLASH Case Officer. Yes, I recall.

6 Mr. Johnston. You prepared that document?

7 AMLASH Case Officer. I believe I prepared the memorandum
8 from which that extract was made, yes.

9 Mr. Johnston. When did you prepare that?

10 AMLASH Case Officer. It had to be late October sometime.

11 Mr. Johnston. November 19th is the date.

12 AMLASH Case Officer. Early November, certainly after
13 my October meeting with him in Paris, and before going back,
14 19 November, that's probably it.

15 Mr. Johnston. Is that the date you prepared it?

16 AMLASH Case Officer. That's the date I prepared it. I
17 don't remember when I returned from Paris after the October-
18 meetings.

19 Mr. Johnston. You prepared a memorandum for the record
20 on the contact before you went to the meeting in Paris on
21 November 22nd?

22 AMLASH Case Officer. Oh, yes.

23 Mr. Johnston. Part of that document, Paragraph 3, states
24 that you would show AMLASH a copy of the President's speech in
25 Miami.

~~TOP SECRET~~

~~TOP SECRET~~

27

1 AMLASH Case Officer. No. I didn't show him a copy of the
2 speech at all.

3 Mr. Johnston. Let me show you Paragraph 3 of that.

4 They were talking about leading up to the November 22nd
5 meeting with AMLASH.

end t. lb

7 6 0 0 0 1 0 8 7 2

WARD & PAUL

410 First Street, S.E., Washington, D.C. 20003

6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25

~~TOP SECRET~~

~~TOP SECRET~~

28

HARRIS GSH
Sen. Sel. CIA
2/11/66
Tape

Phone (Area 202) 545-0306

7600010803

WARD & PAUL

410 First Street, S.E., Washington, D.C. 20003

1 AMLASH Case Officer. It may have been planned to show
2 him a copy of the speech, but as I recall it was not shown him.
3 I talked to him about it, but I don't recall having shown him
4 the speech. But it was probably clear that I could have taken
5 a copy of that speech to him and shown it to him.

6 Mr. Johnston. Paragraph 4 of that document states---
7 would you just read it?

8 AMLASH Case Officer. "Chief SA has requested written
9 reports on AMLASH operation be kept to a minimum."

10 Mr. Johnston. And that's Desmond Fitzgerald, is that
11 correct?

12 AMLASH Case Officer. That's correct.

13 Mr. Johnston. Did Mr. Fitzgerald tell you that on
14 November 19th?

15 AMLASH Case Officer. It might not have been the first
16 time he mentioned this because -- in other words, on sensitive
17 operations it was always a case of trying to limit the number
18 of people aware of sensitive operations and this certainly is
19 considered in that category.

20 He reiterated, I presume, since I put it in the memo at
21 that time that the written communications would be minimized.

22 Mr. Johnston. And this is a memorandum for record.

23 AMLASH Case Officer. Remember also this is primarily the
24 cables because I had to communicate with headquarters and the
25 station in Paris. I didn't have my independent communications.

~~TOP SECRET~~

~~TOP SECRET~~

29

gsh 2

Phone (Area 202) 544-4000

7600010874

WARD & PAUL

410 First Street, S.E., Washington, D.C. 20003

1 Mr. Johnston. Where were you on November 19th?

2 AMLASH Case Officer. At headquarters. He told me this
3 personally.

4 Mr. Johnston. Okay. Is it normal to prepare a memorandum
5 for the record about plans for contact of an agent?

6 AMLASH Case Officer. Normally, yes.

7 Mr. Johnston. So there's nothing unusual in your preparing
8 this memorandum for record. Is that your testimony?

9 AMLASH Case Officer. No, there wasn't anything unusual
10 about it. That's the usual thing unless advised to the contrary.

11 Mr. Johnston. The last time you testified before the
12 Committee you mentioned on November 22nd you had a pen-like
13 device with a hypodermic needle on it. This memorandum for
14 record of November 19th does not mention that.

15 Is there some reason that it doesn't?

16 AMLASH Case Officer. No, I don't remember why it would
17 not have been mentioned. I don't recall when I left for Paris.
18 I may have left for Paris on the 21st and --

19 Mr. Johnston. I think that's correct.

20 AMLASH Case Officer. I don't know if the decision had
21 been made that we would even show him something like this
22 that time.

23 Mr. Johnston. You're talking about the 19th?

24 AMLASH Case Officer. About the 19th, when I wrote the
25 memorandum.

~~TOP SECRET~~

~~TOP SECRET~~

30

AMLASH 3
Phone (Area 202) 544-6000

760010805

WARD & PAUL

410 First Street, S.E., Washington, D.C. 20003

1 Mr. Johnston. When you left for Paris my understanding
2 is that you carried the device.

3 AMLASH Case Officer. That's right, I carried it with me.

4 Mr. Johnston. And you had approval to show it to him?

5 AMLASH Case Officer. I had approval to show it to him.

6 Mr. Johnston. The file indicates that AMLASH was contacted
7 on November 20th, I believe, in order to set up the November
8 22nd meeting and he was telephoned by, I assume, somebody in
9 Paris.

10 Did you arrange that or were you knowledgeable of that
11 fact?

12 AMLASH Case Officer. Yes. I was going to go there to
13 see him. We would have made arrangements to set the meeting up.

14 Mr. Johnston. The cable reporting the telephone call on
15 November 20th states that somebody apparently was in the room
16 with AMLASH when he was telephoned, and that therefore there
17 had to be a telephone conversation by the CIA agent calling
18 him and the CIA agent --

19 Pardon me. AMLASH asked if the meeting would be of interest
20 to him, and the CIA agent said, I don't know if it's going to
21 be of interest to you but it's the meeting you requested. And
22 I ask you your understanding of what was meant by the meeting
23 that you requested?

24 AMLASH Case Officer. Probably to give him an answer on
25 the caches that he wanted inside Cuba, and I understand that was

~~TOP SECRET~~

~~TOP SECRET~~

31

sh
Phone (Area 202) 544-6000

1 the primary point which had not been, he had not been informed
2 of this at the previous meeting with Fitzgerald, so were we
3 going to give him that material? In other words, it was given
4 to him later in the caches, was that material going to be given
5 to him?

6 Mr. Johnston. What about the pen device?

7 AMLASH Case Officer. The pen device was something again,
8 it could have been part of that package. I don't think he was
9 specifically asking about the pen device because we had been
10 so reluctant to even discuss something like this with him and
11 he knew it that he was primarily concerned with the caches.

12 Mr. Johnston. Is it correct to say that at the October
13 29th meeting AMLASH was happy with the U.S. policy but asking
14 for equipment to do the job?

15 AMLASH Case Officer. To initiate the coup.

16 In other words, he didn't want a massive amount of
17 equipment but he said we do need some equipment to get the
18 thing started.

19 Mr. Johnston. And that that was in his mind on November
20 20th.

21 AMLASH Case Officer. When he made the call?

22 Mr. Johnston. When the call was made to him and he was
23 told that the November 22nd meeting was the one that he had
24 requested.

25 AMLASH Case Officer. Probably so.

WARD & PAUL

7600010806

410 First Street, S.E., Washington, D.C. 20003

~~TOP SECRET~~

Phone (Area 202) 544-4000

76000010807

WARD & PAUL

410 First Street, S.E., Washington, D.C. 20003

1 Mr. Johnston. And that AMLASH would have understood that
2 that was what you were going to give him, some or all of the
3 things discussed at the October 29th meeting.

4 AMLASH Case Officer. To see what could be given to him,
5 not if it was or anything like this because Paris didn't know
6 what we were prepared to tell him at the 22 November meeting.

7 Mr. Johnston. As you said before, you were at Langley
8 on the 20th. There's a cable indicating you left Washington
9 arriving in Paris on the morning of November 22nd.

10 Is that your recollection?

11 AMLASH Case Officer. Yes.

12 Mr. Johnston. Did anyone go with you from Washington
13 to Paris?

14 AMLASH Case Officer. No.

15 Mr. Johnston. Mr. Fitzgerald was where at the time?

16 AMLASH Case Officer. At Langley. He was present at the
17 meeting, at the late October meeting, not at the 22 November
18 meeting.

19 Mr. Johnston. Okay. Let's go then to the November 22nd
20 meeting. You previously testified as you recall it was late
21 afternoon or evening because it was dark when you came out.

22 AMLASH Case Officer. That's right.

23 Mr. Johnston. Where was it held? What kind of place
24 was it held?

25 AMLASH Case Officer. I don't recall that we used a safe



~~TOP SECRET~~

33

Phone (Area 202) 541-4000

7600010873

WARD & PAUL

410 First Street, S.E., Washington, D.C. 20003

1 house or in the study of ~~Red Stent's~~ home.

2 Mr. Johnston. Who was there at the meeting? You and
3 AMLASH.

4 AMLASH Case Officer. The October meeting?

5 Mr. Johnston. I'm sorry, November 22nd meeting.

6 AMLASH Case Officer. AMLASH and myself.

7 Mr. Johnston. Nobody else was there?

8 AMLASH Case Officer. If it was ~~Red Stent's~~⁰³ home, it
9 may have been in another part of the house but he was not at
10 the meeting.

11 Mr. Johnston. Did you have that meeting taped?

12 AMLASH Case Officer. No, not that I recall.

13 Mr. Johnston. If you did have it taped, where would the
14 tape be today?

15 AMLASH Case Officer. In the file.

16 Mr. Johnston. In this file?

17 AMLASH Case Officer. Yes. I don't recall that that
18 meeting was taped. I don't think it was possible to tape it.

19 Mr. Johnston. Who was knowledgeable of that meeting
20 outside yourself and AMLASH? Generally, were the people at
21 the Paris station aware of it?

22 AMLASH Case Officer. Not generally, no. It could have
23 been the Chief of Station, naturally, and ~~Red Stent's~~⁰³.

24 Mr. Johnston. At a meeting like that did you have some
25 kind of back-up support, counter-surveillance, any kind of

~~TOP SECRET~~

~~TOP SECRET~~

~~TOP SECRET~~

34

gsh
Phone (Area 202) 244-6000

7600090800
WARD & PAUL

410 First Street, S.E., Washington, D.C. 20003

1 back-up support so that you could be assured that you were not
2 under surveillance at the meeting?

3 AMLASH Case Officer. No, I took the necessary precautions
4 in going to the meeting to assure myself. I didn't have somebody
5 else following me to tell me if I had any surveillance on me.

6 Mr. Johnston. What about AMLASH?

7 AMLASH Case Officer. We don't know. I don't know if
8 he was counter-surveilled.

9 Senator Schweiker. Could AMLASH have been a double agent?

10 AMLASH Case Officer. That's a good question. I can only
11 give you an opinion. I didn't think so then and even with
12 everything that has happened today, I don't think so today.

13 Again, that is strictly my opinion.

14 Senator Schweiker. In a nutshell, and I realize this is
15 a very difficult, complex area, why don't you think so?

16 AMLASH Case Officer. Because of the power play that
17 was taking place in Cuba at that time between certainly the
18 26th of July people and Castro trying to consolidate his
19 position, this was the early '60s now, around his people and
20 his movement, the fact that we had information from several
21 sources that he was disillusioned with the revolution.

22 Senator Schweiker. He, meaning AMLASH?

23 AMLASH Case Officer. He, AMLASH, was disillusioned.

24 Senator Schweiker. And he wasn't in the 26th of July?

25 AMLASH Case Officer. He was not in the 26th of July.

~~TOP SECRET~~

gsh 9

Phone (Area 202) 544-8000

7600010810

WARD & PAUL

410 First Street, S.E., Washington, D. C. 20003

1 He was DR. So he was not a member of Castro's July 26th movement.
 2 He was one of the other revolutionary groups which had student
 3 support primarily and urban support and compared to Castro's
 4 rural so-called support.

5 So there was a struggle going on there. In other words,
 6 this was not an imaginary type of thing. The background that
 7 we could check did not, to the best of my recollection at any
 8 time lead us to believe in our relation with him at that time
 9 that he was playing a double game.

10 The other reason that I felt that way at the time was that
 11 we had to persuade him to return to Cuba to stay. He was
 12 ready to defect, to leave. He didn't want to come to the
 13 United States with all the rest of the Cubans who were here
 14 because he had stayed on, he felt, too long with the revolution.
 15 But he was ready to leave Cuba and to defect. He was fed up
 16 with the way life was. A lot of the travel that he did was
 17 that he would take any opportunity to get off of the island.
 18 He had told us, me personally, that and this was also confirmed
 19 by other sources.

20 Senator Schweiker. Wouldn't one of your reasons also
 21 be that he is in jail now?

22 AMLASH Case Officer. That would be a very good reason.
 23 I think I mentioned that the last time, certainly when I was
 24 talking about this. If he was taken back --

25 Senator Schweiker. Are we absolutely certain he's in

gsh 10

Phone (Area 202) 546-6000

7600010811

WARD & PAUL

410 First Street, S.E., Washington, D.C. 20003

1 jail now??

2 AMLASH Case Officer. We checked that I believe the last
3 time, I think the last report we had was what, a couple of
4 years ago?

5 Mr. Baron. I was given the name of a CIA contact in
6 Florida who had been on the Island of Pines at one point and
7 said as far as he knew, although he hadn't been in Cuba for
8 several years, AMLASH was a prisoner, but he wasn't in prison.
9 He was doing some sort of hospital work which was considered
10 part of his rehabilitation program.

11 AMLASH Case Officer. He was a doctor, wasn't he, for
12 the prison?

13 Mr. Baron. Yes.

14 AMLASH Case Officer. He had more freedom because he
15 was being used as a doctor for the prisoner but he still was
16 still on the Isle of Pines.

17 Mr. Baron. It is my understanding that he was working
18 as a doctor even outside the prison and again, this is just
19 hearsay of one contact that I called on the phone.

20 Mr. Wallach. Are you positive that someone just not
21 using his name is there, that he switched over using an alias?
22 You wouldn't have intelligence of that type?

23 AMLASH Case Officer. No.

24 Mr. Wallach. I want to quickly follow-up on something
25 that Jim and the Senator were getting at.

~~TOP SECRET~~

37

gsh 11

Phone (Area 202) 444-6000

1 Why were you not willing to discuss with AMLASH the
2 assassination of Castro?

3 AMLASH Case Officer. We?

4 Mr. Wallach. You have told us --

5 AMLASH Case Officer. We were very reluctant to discuss
6 the subject.

7 Mr. Wallach. Why?

8 AMLASH Case Office. I guess we didn't want to get involved
9 in assassinations.

10 Mr. Wallach. But you had them before this.

11 AMLASH Case Officer. I didn't know this, and certainly
12 in this operation the objective of this operation was not to
13 go in and assassinate Castro but a much bigger type of program.

14 Mr. Wallach. But that was the first step, or at least
15 the potential first step.

16 AMLASH Case Officer. He was convinced that was the only
17 first step. AMLASH. We weren't convinced that it had to be,
18 at that time that it had to be the first step, and that's why
19 we didn't want to discuss and we didn't discuss and we were
20 reluctant to discuss this subject with him.

21 Mr. Wallach. At the late October meeting I think you
22 said before that -- what did you request that led to the giving
23 him of the pen, the hypodermic needle?

24 AMLASH Case Officer. The pen he requested was something
25 with which to protect himself now.

~~TOP SECRET~~

WARD & PAUL
410 First Street, S.E., Washington, D.C. 20003

7600000812

~~TOP SECRET~~

38

sh 12

Phone (Area 202) 544-6000

760010813

WARD & PAUL

410 First Street, S.E., Washington, D.C. 20003

1 Mr. Wallach. A pen with a hypodermic needle would protect
2 himself?

3 AMLASH Case Officer. He put himself in a situation and
4 asked us the question. He said I may be confronted by Castro
5 or by Raoul or by one or the other of Castro's people in a
6 meeting in which I am not armed, and I may be confronted with
7 an accusation of plotting against the regime.

8 And at that time he said this would be before we are
9 ready to move in formenting the coup, and he said, I would like
10 to have something with which I can protect myself at that time.
11 In fact, the words that I think I mentioned here before was,
12 if I fall, I don't want to be the only one going down.

13 So he was seeing himself in a situation of going back into
14 Cuba, of being in a meeting in which he could have been, because,
15 again, of the relationships. Fidel Castro himself or Raoul
16 Castro could confront him in their office, accuse him.

17 Mr. Wallach. Couldn't he use that pen offensively and
18 not defensively?

19 AMLASH Case Officer. Yes, he could have. He was not
20 at that time talking about using it offensively and we weren't
21 discussing the offensive use of that pen.

22 Senator Schweiker. Following up the point that I
23 switched away from as to whether Cuban intelligence might have
24 been trailing him or following him or observing what you were
25 doing with him, we have some knowledge, I gather, from a summary

~~TOP SECRET~~

gsh 13

Phone (Area 202) 544-6000

760001081d

WARD & PAUL

410 First Street, S.E., Washington, D.C. 20003

1 here that AMLASH's one-time Cuban mistress was believed to be
2 working for Cuban intelligence and her brother was known to
3 be Cuban intelligence.

4 If this is correct, wouldn't that pretty well mean that
5 whatever he was doing over there might well be observed by
6 Cuban intelligence even though he might have been a straight
7 single agent in terms of working with us and not a double agent
8 that they may well have known or monitored what in fact he was
9 doing with us?

10 AMLASH Case Officer. This is possible, Senator. We
11 had no indication at the time that they were monitoring his
12 activities. Again, he was at such a level and the people
13 certainly in Paris and the Cubans overseas knew that he was
14 at such a level that we never heard that they were ever given
15 this mission.

16 Senator Schweiker. Have you heard that his Cuban
17 mistress was working with Cuban intelligence?

18 AMLASH Case Officer. Which one of his mistresses,
19 because he's had several, including his sister who worked for
20 the Presidency, his own sister.

21 Mr. Johnston. We extracted that from a file and I'm
22 sorry but in the respect and the need to keep that information
23 compartmented I did not take her name down and I don't think we
24 have the file that indicates that.

25 My recollection is that he had a mistress for a considerable

~~TOP SECRET~~

40

gsh 14

Phone (Area 202) 544-4000

7600000813

WARD & PAUL

410 First Street, S.E., Washington, D.C. 20003

1 period of time who was, who was suspected of being the GI,
2 and certainly her brother was actually known to be an employee
3 of the GI.

4 AMLASH Case Officer. I don't recall the specific case,
5 but let me remind you that he was the Deputy Minister of
6 the Interior, which did have the security services at that time
7 under that ministry. So it wouldn't surprise me that there would
8 be somebody he would know in that ministry.

9 Mr. Johnston. AMLASH was?

10 AMLASH Case Officer. AMLASH was at one time before he
11 was President of the Student Federation.

12 Mr. Johnston. Not in '63?

13 AMLASH Case Officer. No.

14 Senator Schweiker. And Cuban intelligence would have
15 come under him?

16 AMLASH Case Officer. Some of the security apparatus
17 was under the Ministry of Interior, but he was in that job,
18 I don't recall, a very short period of time.

19 Mr. Wallach. If Castro knew about the assassination
20 attempts, you would assume that AMLASH would have known, wouldn't
21 you, from being in that position?

22 AMLASH Case Officer. We don't know if at that time that
23 he knew that -- well, he was saying, in other words, he was on
24 the radio every day saying that the Yankees were making an
25 attempt on his life but that he knew the specifics of operations,

~~TOP SECRET~~

~~TOP SECRET~~

41

gs 15
Phone (Area 202) 444-6000

7600010816

WARD & PAUL

410 First Street, S.E., Washington, D.C. 20003

1 I don't think we have that information, or at least I never
2 have seen it.

3 After the Bay of Pigs every occasion that he had he would
4 accuse the imperialists of mounting another operation against
5 him.

6 Mr. Baron. Just a couple more questions on this point.
7 Did you take any special precautions aside from the standard
8 precautions that you would take in dealing with any foreign
9 contact?

10 Were special precautions taken in dealing with AMLASH
11 to guard against the prospect that he was either a double agent
12 or under surveillance by Cuban intelligence?

13 AMLASH Case Officer. What would you mean by special
14 precautions?

15 Mr. Baron. You would have to tell me more about the
16 techniques that you could use, than I could tell you.

17 AMLASH Case Officer. What I would do is to make sure
18 that I wasn't under surveillance in going to the meeting or
19 at the meeting, and we would certainly check the meeting places
20 to make sure that the meeting places were not under surveillance
21 by them, which would also hopefully would have picked up in
22 the surveillance that he would have had on him coming to the
23 meetings.

24 I don't recall that we ever put him under surveillance
25 in Paris, which would have meant disclosing our interest in him

~~TOP SECRET~~

~~TOP SECRET~~

42

gs 16

Phone (Area 202) 544-6000

7600010817

WARD & PAUL

410 First Street, S.E., Washington, D.C. 20003

1 either to our surveillance team or the French police, or whoever.

2 So we never did that because, again, of our interest in
3 protecting and minimizing the number of people who knew we were
4 in contact with him.

5 Again, I refer you, I think, to what the Senator raised
6 before, that he was arrested and sentenced, and as I recall,
7 I may be wrong but as I recall the contacts that we had with
8 him in Paris never surfaced in that trial. He never, as far
9 as the transcript that we have of the trial, he never reported,
10 he never testified about the contacts that he had with us.

11 It was only afterwards when he was in contact with the exile
12 group.

13 Mr. Baron. So you never took any affirmative action
14 against him or investigated him or treated him in any way that
15 you would treat a person suspected of being a double agent
16 or being closely watched by an intelligence service?

17 AMLASH Case Officer. Not in mounting an investigation
18 against him because in doing that we would have to show our
19 interest in him to other people, so we did not do that.

20 What we could do with myself and with the other knowledge-
21 able people say in Paris, of him, we did do and did everything
22 we possibly could do.

23 In other words, to check where he was and who he was
24 seeing and through the telephone taps and to ensure that when
25 he came to meet us that that meeting was secure,

~~TOP SECRET~~

Phone (Area 202) 444-6009

7600010813

WARD & PAUL

410 First Street, S.E., Washington, D.C. 20003

17 1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25

that we did.

Mr. Johnston. Let me go back to the November 22nd meeting.

As you recall, after you met AMLASH there, did you give him the newspaper article about the Kennedy speech?

AMLASH Case Officer. I don't recall. I may have shown him the article. To the best of my recollection, we talked about the speech and he had, I think, at that time, the article had already appeared in the French newspaper and he had read it.

Mr. Johnston. What did you tell him about the article?

AMLASH Case Officer. Well, he liked the article. It was one in which I think the Administration, as I vaguely recall, was taking a rather positive stand.

Mr. Johnston. Was this Kennedy's speech before the Inter-American Press Association in Miami on November 18th?

AMLASH Case Officer. I believe so.

Mr. Johnston. I have an extract from that.

AMLASH Case Officer. I'm not 100 percent certain, but I think it was.


Mr. Johnston. There's an indication that you told him that Fitzgerald helped to write the speech.

Do you recall doing that?

AMLASH Case Officer. I could have.

Mr. Johnston. Do you recall specifically?

AMLASH Case Officer. Specifically, no, but we did discuss



~~TOP SECRET~~

14

8
End 2, b 2

Phone (Area 202) 444-6000

7600010812

WARD & PAUL

410 First Street, S.E., Washington, D.C. 20003

1 the speech.

3 Mr. Johnston. You have testified a great deal about the
4 poison pen or the pen device and my only question is what is
5 your best recollection about what happened to the pen? Did
6 he take it with him? Did you take it with you when you left
7 the meeting?

8 AMLASH Case Officer. Again, as I mentioned to you the
9 last time, I don't remember specifically if I kept the pen and
10 threw it away or if he kept it.

11 What I do remember was his reaction when I showed him
12 the pen. I can't today tell you for sure that he kept the
13 pen.

14 Mr. Johnston. It seems to me that's rather compromising
15 evidence, if he kept the pen and you recall that. It's also
16 such compromising evidence -- I have difficulty believing you
17 would have thrown it away.

18 AMLASH Case Officer. Yes, because it was compromising
19 evidence. I had carried it one way. I mean I wasn't going to
20 carry it back. It was nothing but a Papermate pen in which
21 a hypodermic needle had been inserted.

22 In other words, it wasn't a \$10,000 piece of equipment
23 or anything like this.

24 Mr. Johnston. I agree, but it's a very compromising
25 piece of evidence to have around.

AMLASH Case Officer. That's right. But what I can't

~~TOP SECRET~~

gsh 19

Phone (Area 202) 544-4000

7600010800

WARD & PAUL

410 9th Street, S.E., Washington, D.C. 20003

1 recall specifically is whether it was broken, thrown away or --
2 Mr. Wallach. Why do you believe he wouldn't have taken
3 it with him?

4 AMLASH Case Officer. He said he wouldn't. I specifically
5 remember this. He says, and then later on he says, I'm not
6 going to take any compromising material back with me.

7 This would have been a piece of compromising material.
8 He did not like the pen. The only point probably that we made
9 with him is that we were willing to consider, because he knew
10 our opposition to something like this, that we were even willing
11 to consider showing him something that -- whether he could
12 again defend himself.


13 He did not think it was a good idea.

14 Senator Schweiker. What kind of weapon or substance
15 could he get that would not compromise him? I'm a little
16 confused. He wanted something to defend himself, but he
17 doesn't want anything that will compromise him.

18 Unless you have a disappearing weapon, what could he
19 possibly get that would not compromise him to take back?

20 AMLASH Case Officer. Well, we were talking also about
21 caches inside Cuba at that time, so it could have been dropped
22 to him inside Cuba. He didn't exactly have to take it back
23 with him.

24 In other words, here again, the whole idea of this was
25 not to give him something there that he would be able to take



gsh 20

Phone (Area 202) 444-6000

7600010821

WARD & PAUL

410 First Street, S.E., Washington, D.C. 20003

1 back with him.

2 Mr. Wallach. Were arrangements made for caches inside
3 Cuba if he was worried about defending himself?

4 AMLASH Case Officer. He had asked for caches inside
5 Cuba.

6 Mr. Johnston. Can you be positive that he did not leave
7 the meeting with the pen in his possession?

8 AMLASH Case Officer. I cannot be positive that he didn't.
9 I'm almost sure that he didn't.

10 Mr. Johnston. Did you ever ask him for the pen back?
11 Do you recall asking him to give you the pen back before the
12 meeting concluded or after the conclusion of the meeting?

13 AMLASH Case Officer. Since I recollect his reaction to
14 it that, you know, it's no good, I just can't logically believe
15 that he would have kept the pen. This is the point. But I
16 don't specifically recall what was done with it except that
17 it was probably destroyed.

18 In other words, you know, broken, throw the needle out
19 of it and break the pen.

20 Mr. Johnston. Do you recall asking him to give you the
21 pen back?

22 AMLASH Case Officer. No, but I don't recall that he
23 took it in the first place, because what I recall is, gee, is
24 that the best that you can come up with type of thing.

25 So he was not in any way enthused about what we had shown

Phone (Area 202) 344-6000

sh 1

7600010823

WARD & PAUL

410 First Street, S.E., Washington, D.C. 20003

1 him.

2 Mr. Johnston. Let me ask you, is it correct you did
3 promise him at this meeting that you would drop rifles with
4 telescopic sights for him in Cuba?

5 AMLASH Case Officer. That's right.

6 Mr. Johnston. Was there any mention of paying him money
7 to support his coup plans?

8 AMLASH Case Officer. He had never really asked for
9 money. In promising him full support for the coup, if money
10 was required I presume that could be conjecture that he could
11 get that also, but he did not specifically ask for money.

12 Mr. Johnston. Was there discussion of his escape in
13 the event he assassinated Castro or killed Castro but did not
14 pull off the coup?

15 AMLASH Case Officer. At the last meeting I don't believe
16 that was discussed.

17 Mr. Johnston. At your prior meeting?

18 AMLASH Case Officer. If it was, it was touched upon,
19 but as I recall, and this is from reading the file afterward,
20 where this was discussed was a year later when he was in contact
21 with the exile group. I think it was with the exile group that
22 he was then concerned about, how do I get out if something
23 goes wrong and we can't pull the coup.

24 But we did not at the 22 November meeting, I don't believe
25 we discussed that. In fact, I'm sure we didn't discuss it.



gsh 22

Phone (Area 202) 544-6000

760010800

WARD & PAUL

410 First Street, S.E., Washington, D.C. 20003

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25

Mr. Johnston. When did the meeting end? About what time?

What time did the meeting end?

AMLASH Case Officer. Approximately 10:00 in the evening.

Mr. Johnston. And we basically discussed while we were off the record the fact that the assassination occurred at 12:30 in Dallas, which we think would have been around 7:30 in Paris, and the meeting was then over at 10:00 o'clock.

When you walked out of the meeting you testified previously that you heard about the assassination.

Is that correct?

AMLASH Case Officer. That's correct.

Mr. Johnston. And that's the first word you heard about the assassination?

AMLASH Case Officer. Yes.

Mr. Johnston. You previously said that AMLASH made a comment about that. Was there any other discussion of the assassination by AMLASH?

AMLASH Case Officer. That was it, because I left him at that time.

Mr. Johnston. I don't understand. If the meeting is either in a house or somewhere else how you would leave together and you would have access to a radio or something to hear the report.

AMLASH Case Officer. If it was in the house, it could



7600010824

Phone (Area 202) 344-4000

WARD & PAUL

410 First Street, S.E., Washington, D.C. 20003

1 have been the occupant of the house who told us. In fact, I
 2 am sure it was the occupant of the house, ~~Red Stent~~, who told
 3 us he had just heard on the radio about the assassination when
 4 we came out of the meeting. We didn't go or come together
 5 to the house. He left on his own and then I left after he left.

6 Mr. Johnston. So in other words, what you're saying is
 7 that you're not walking out of the building but you're in the
 8 house and the meeting is over and ~~Red Stent~~ knows that the
 9 meeting is over and that he tells you.

10 AMLASH Case Officer. We came out of the room, out of
 11 the study in which we were holding the meeting, and when we
 12 came out of that into his living room he told us what he had
 13 just heard on the radio.

14 Mr. Johnston. And then AMLASH made this comment and
 15 walked out of the door?

16 AMLASH Case Officer. AMLASH made this comment and
 17 shortly thereafter I don't recall, we finished our business.
 18 He was -- I don't remember the exact quote. You have it on
 19 the record there, like why does it have to happen to somebody
 20 like that.

21 Mr. Johnston. Did you know who committed the assassination
 22 at this time?

23 AMLASH Case Officer. No.

24 Mr. Johnston. And even with that you don't recall whether
 25 AMLASH walked out the door with the poison pen?

~~TOP SECRET~~



Phone (Area 202) 344-6000

7600010826

WARD & PAUL

410 First Street, S.E., Washington, D.C. 20003

1 that part of it, Senator. Again, only from the record that I
2 read afterwards, I think a couple of drops were made to him.
3 In fact, the next year or something like that.

4 Mr. Johnston. I'm not sure exactly what was left or
5 what he received or what was available.

6 AMLASH Case Officer. And I don't know if he ever picked
7 them up. I don't think we know that.

8 Mr. Wallach. Why did he tell you he wanted the rifles
9 with telescopic sights?

10 AMLASH Case Officer. Well, he wanted grenades and he
11 wanted dynamite too to storm the headquarters later on. We
12 said, well, look, could you expand this somewhat too, and he
13 was talking about, well, yes, and we should probably attack
14 the radio station at the same time. It was a matter of attacking
15 a building, whether it was a radio station or whatever it was,
16 in order to, in his mind, he was probably thinking of attacking
17 the headquarters, Castro's headquarters.

18 Mr. Johnston. Let me refresh your recollection. In
19 your previous testimony with the Select Committee on page 114
20 you stated:

21 "When we came out of that meeting we heard the news
22 of President Kennedy's assassination and I received a cable
23 after that which I thought that this was probably the reason
24 the whole operation was being re-assessed.

25 AMLASH Case Officer. That was strictly my thought at that

gsh 26

Phone (Area 202) 544-6000

7600010827

WARD & PAUL

410 First Street, S.E., Washington, D.C. 20003

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25

time.

Mr. Johnston. And on page 115 of your prior transcript you said, "well, the whole Cuban operation, the way I interpreted it, was being called on."

Did you receive a cable to that effect?

AMLASH Case Officer. It was a very cryptic cable saying, you know, return to Washington, which I was going to do anyway, so this is why --

Mr. Johnston. Did it say to break off contact with AMLASH?

AMLASH Case Officer. I don't recall that it did but it didn't make any difference because headquarters knew that that was the last meeting with him before he was returning to Cuba anyway.

So there was no reason to tell me to break off contact with him. This is what I'm trying to say.

Mr. Johnston. Supposedly the 1967 IG report, and I don't know where they got their information, said that was an OPIM cable.

AMLASH Case Officer. That's Operation Immediate. In other words, it's an immediate cable. That set precedence on the cable.

Mr. Johnston. And what does that mean for transmitting

AMLASH Case Officer. It was transmitted before a routing cable or a priority cable. It's just the precedence --



~~TOP SECRET~~

54

gsh 28

Phone (Area 202) 544-4000

1 there was in any way. It was just, you know, return to Washington,
2 and as I assumed, and I assumed at that time that certainly the
3 whole Cuban operation was going to be re-assessed after what
4 happened to President Kennedy.

5 Mr. Johnston. Now there is in the file a cable reporting
6 from Paris to Washington reporting that you planned to depart
7 Paris that morning of November 23rd and arrive in Washington
8 8:10.

9 Did you in fact take that flight?

10 AMLASH Case Officer. Did you ever find that cable?

11 Mr. Johnston. I saw the cable of your return to
12 Washington.

13 AMLASH Case Officer. If it referred to a cable, that
14 was the one telling me to come back. This is a travel-type
15 of exchange.

16 Mr. Johnston. Did you in fact arrive back in Washington
17 I said 8:10. I'm sorry, it would be 18:10, which would be
18 6:00 Washington time.

19 AMLASH Case Officer. Yes.

20 Mr. Johnston. Where did you go when you arrived in
21 Washington at 6:10 in the evening on November 23rd?

22 AMLASH Case Officer. I don't recall specifically if
23 I stopped by my home, which is en route to the office, whether
24 I went right back to the office before going home

25 Mr. Johnston. But you did go to the office sometime that

7600010822

WARD & PAUL

410 First Street, S.E., Washington, D.C. 20003

~~TOP SECRET~~

~~TOP SECRET~~

55

gsh 29

Phone (Area 202) 544-8000

76000910830

WARD & PAUL

410 First Street, S.E., Washington, D.C. 20003

1 evening?

2 AMLASH Case Officer. I may have.

3 Mr. Johnston. Did you go to the office on the 24th?

4 AMLASH Case Officer. Oh, yes.

5 Mr. Johnston. That was a Sunday?

6 AMLASH Case Officer. It could have been.

7 Mr. Wallach. One question about the cable that we can't
8 find.

9 Did you have any other business in Paris besides AMLASH?

10 AMLASH Case Officer. Not specifically at that time.

11 Mr. Wallach. Was there a date that you intended to
12 return?

13 AMLASH Case Officer. Yes.

14 Mr. Wallach. When was that date officially?

15 AMLASH Case Officer. About the time that I returned.

16 Mr. Wallach. Why would they have sent you a cable
17 telling you to return if you intended to return that day?

18 AMLASH Case Officer. I don't know. This was probably
19 a reaction to what had happened. But again, I want to point
20 out to you that travel cables of this type, even if your plans are
21 such, and the way I was travelling at that time I was not
22 travelling on a ⁹⁹diplomatic passport or anything like that. You
23 don't even need a regular passport to travel France. It was
24 just to keep track and record of our personal travel.

25 In other words, it's our security to make sure that

~~TOP SECRET~~

1823

~~TOP SECRET~~

56

gsh 0

Phone (Area 202) 544-6000

1 that headquarters knows that we are coming back and I sent a
2 cable saying I'm arriving on such and such a date, the same
3 way I sent a cable to Paris telling them I'm arriving on such
4 and such a date.

5 Mr. Johnston. Would you have sent your cable without
6 getting a cable first from them?

7 AMLASH Case Officer. I could have, sure.

8 Mr. Johnston. I'm not sure I understand the purpose
9 of their cable. Were you saying it's a regular thing that they
10 will send extra traffic?

11 AMLASH Case Officer. I can't tell you what the purpose
12 of their cable was except what I'm trying to explain to you
13 is that keeping headquarters informed or headquarters inquiring
14 of you in such circumstances, it's not unusual to get a cable,
15 you know, advise us when you're going to return or please
16 return or us sending a cable.

17 If there was any other reason for sending that cable,
18 I can only conjecture, I don't know, that it was because of
19 what had happened.

20 Mr. Johnston. I'd like the record to show that Senator
21 Schweiker has left and you are aware that without the Senator
22 being here you have the prerogative to stop your testimony.

23 Do you understand that?

24 AMLASH Case Officer. Yes.

25 Mr. Johnston. And that if we proceed, that you can continue

End 29903 B
Begin 29903 3A

410 First Street, S.E., Washington, D.C. 20003

7600010001

WARD & PAUL

~~TOP SECRET~~

gsh 31

Phone (Area 202) 544-6000

7600010032

WARD & PAUL

410 First Street, S.E., Washington, D.C. 20003

1 to stop at any time during the testimony and ask for it to
2 continue when we have a Senator present.

3 Do you understand that?

4 AMLASH Case Officer. Right.

5 Mr. Johnston. Are you willing to continue under the
6 conditions of not having a Senator here?

7 AMLASH Case Officer. Yes, I am.

8 Mr. Johnston. We have you back in Washington at 6:10
9 on November 23rd, and you may or may not have gone to the office
10 that day and you said you definitely did go to the office on
11 November 24th, on Sunday.

12 AMLASH Case Officer. Probably so. Scratch definitely.
13 I'm probably not that definite about it, but I'm sure I touched
14 base with the office as soon as I returned, either telephonically
15 or physically going there.

16 Mr. Johnston. Let me refresh your recollection then and
17 remind you that Oswald was killed on November 24th, Sunday.

18 Does that aid you in recalling where you were at that
19 time?

20 AMLASH Case Officer. No. I mean I was home, certainly.

21 Mr. Johnston. You mean home in Washington?

22 AMLASH Case Officer. In Washington.

23 Mr. Johnston. But you don't know whether you were at
24 the office or at home on that day?

25 AMLASH Case Officer. It depends on the hour that we



~~TOP SECRET~~

58

ash 2

Phone (Area 202) 544-6000

7600010833

WARD & PAUL

410 First Street, S.E., Washington, D.C. 20003

1 may have been working.

2 If you're going back to asking about normal hours that
3 we kept during that period of time, Sunday was just another
4 working day, so you know, it wasn't because it was Sunday that
5 we would not have been in the office.

6 Mr. Johnston. But you can't recall being in the office?

7 AMLASH Case Officer. I don't recall being in the office.

8 When we heard the information that Oswald was involved, I
9 could very well have been home.

10 Mr. Johnston. Do you recall being in the office when
11 you heard that Oswald was shot?

12 AMLASH Case Officer. No.

13 Mr. Johnston. Did you hold, when did you first talk
14 to the chief of the section about your meeting?

15 AMLASH Case Officer. Specifically, I don't recall when.
16 What would have been unusual is for me to have called the office
17 when I got in and whether I went there or not, I don't recall.

18 And then I either talked to Fitzgerald who would have been,
19 he would have been the only person I would have talked to. If
20 he was in on that Sunday and I went in, I would have talked to
21 him on Sunday, and if not, it would have been on Monday.

22 Mr. Johnston. Did you talk to Mr. Helms about the
23 November 22nd meeting?

24 AMLASH Case Officer. No, I did not talk to Helms.

25 Mr. Johnston. Did you talk to Mr. McCone about the

~~TOP SECRET~~

~~TOP SECRET~~

59

gsh 33

Phone (Area 202) 544-6000

7600000834

WARD & PAUL

410 First Street, S.E., Washington, D.C. 20003

1 November 22nd meeting?

2 AMLASH Case Officer. No.

3 Mr. Johnston. Did you talk to anyone in technical
4 services about the pen device or the meeting?

5 AMLASH Case Officer. Not at the time, no.

6 Mr. Johnston. So it was your recollection that the only
7 one you talked to in this time period of November 23rd and
8 November 24th, November 25th about the meeting in Paris is
9 Mr. Fitzgerald?

10 AMLASH Case Officer. To the best of my recollection, yes.

11 Mr. Johnston. And what is your recollection of that
12 discussion?

13 AMLASH Case Officer. The report, as to what had taken
14 place, reporting that he had not thought highly of the device
15 that we had provided him with, that he did not intend to carry
16 it back, that he was pleased with the fact that we had decided
17 that we would put caches down for him inside Cuba, the
18 reaffirmation of U.S. support for his movement inside of Cuba,
19 the fact that he had decided definitely to return and had
20 made his reservations to return, and his dismay and his
21 reaction when he had heard that Kennedy had been assassinated
22 when we got to the meeting.

23 Mr. Johnston. Was there a discussion about any link
24 between AMLASH or your Cuban operations and the Kennedy
25 assassination?

~~TOP SECRET~~

~~TOP SECRET~~

60

Phone (Area 202) 544-6000

7600010835

WARD & PAUL

410 First Street, S.E., Washington, D.C. 20003

1 AMLASH Case Officer. None whatsoever that I can remember
2 Mr. Johnston. No discussion between you and Fitzgerald
3 at all about any connection?

4 AMLASH Case Officer. No, not that I can recall.
5 Mr. Johnston. When you say not that you can recall, are
6 you saying that it's possible but you don't recall it, or are
7 you certain, fairly certain to the best of your recollection?

8 AMLASH Case Officer. I'm fairly certain to the best
9 of my knowledge that there was no connection between the AMLASH
10 in other words, that we discussed it, we discussed the Kennedy
11 assassination. I'm sure we did. I mean who wasn't discussing
12 the Kennedy assassination at that time. That we tied the
13 Kennedy assassination in with AMLASH, I don't recall any kind
14 of conversation of that nature.

15 Mr. Johnston. On November 23rd and November 24th and
16 November 25th?

17 AMLASH Case Officer. Right.

18 Mr. Johnston. All right. Let me point you to one of
19 the documents we wanted to ask you about and it is a contact
20 report dated 25 November, and let me just show that to you.

21 Is that a document -- let me state for the record what
22 it is.

23 It's the original, apparently copy, of the November 25th
24 contact report of the November 22nd meeting.

25 Did you prepare that contact report?

~~TOP SECRET~~

~~TOP SECRET~~

51

gsh 35

Phone (Area 202) 544-6000

7600010835

WARD & PAUL

410 First Street, S.E., Washington, D.C. 20003

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25

AMLASH Case Officer. Yes.

Mr. Johnston. And is that the document you prepared?

AMLASH Case Officer. Yes.

Mr. Johnston. I'm asking you directly is that the very document you prepared? That's a very specific question.

AMLASH Case Officer. Yes.

Mr. Johnston. When did you prepare that?

AMLASH Case Officer. The date is 25 November.

Mr. Johnston. Is it your recollection that that's the date you prepared that?

AMLASH Case Officer. Probably so.

Mr. Johnston. Do you have a recollection of doing it on that date?

AMLASH Case Officer. Not specifically on the 25th, but if the document is dated the 25th, I presume that's the day that I did the memorandum.

Mr. Johnston. Now how did you prepare it? Did you dictate it to somebody who then typed it up, or did you yourself personally prepare it? And in asking you that question, is there any way to tell from the document how it was prepared?

AMLASH Case Officer. No, the secretary probably typed it. I mean I didn't type it myself.

Mr. Johnston. You did not type it yourself.

Is there any way to tell from the document? There are no initials on it or anything that would indicate that it was

~~TOP SECRET~~

gsh 36
Phone (Area 202) 544-4000

760010837

WARD & PAUL

410 First Street, S.E., Washington, D.C. 20003

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25

dictated and typed?

AMLASH Case Officer. No. What are you asking me?

Mr. Johnston. I'm just asking you if you're the one that prepared that or how it got prepared?

AMLASH Case Officer. Yes, it's a contact report of my meeting with AMLASH.

Mr. Johnston. And you prepared that document?

AMLASH Case Officer. That's right.

Mr. Johnston. But you did not type it?

AMLASH Case Officer. I did not type it, so I could have dictated it to a secretary or wrote it out longhand and gave it to her and she typed it.

Mr. Johnston. What about the fact that on November 19th in your memorandum for record you indicated that Fitzgerald wanted written reports to be kept to a minimum?

With those instructions why did you prepare a contract report?


AMLASH Case Officer. Because I probably contacted Fitzgerald about it and he said prepare the one on this meeting.

Mr. Johnston. Do you recall that?

AMLASH Case Officer. I don't recall that but that would be a normal thing.

Mr. Johnston. That he would have in effect --

AMLASH Case Officer. I briefed him on this, and he said, let's have a memorandum, a contact report on that.



sh 7

Phone Area 202/1544-4000

760010833

WARD & PAUL

410 First Street, S.E., Washington, D.C. 20003

1 In other words, when he said "minimize the written
 2 communications on the operation, he didn't say we won't have any
 3 other communications on any other memos on it or any further
 4 memorandums on it.

5 So what would happen if you put things within the dates
 6 here, I had briefed him on this and then it was by agreement
 7 or maybe by his order that he told me to write up a contact report.

8 Mr. Johnston. Have you had an opportunity to examine
 9 that report either before you came here? I'm not sure we've
 10 given you enough opportunity to look at it closely while here.
 11 Maybe you could take that time right now to look at the document.

12 AMLASH Case Officer. Yes.

13 Mr. Johnston. Look at page 3 of the document. Compare
 14 page 2 and page 3. Page 3 is in a much different type than
 15 page 2.


16 Do you notice that? It's much fainter.

17 AMLASH Case Officer. Yes, it's fainter, and I don't
 18 know if the type looks like it's the same but it's fainter.

19 Mr. Johnston. Do you have any explanation for that?

20 AMLASH Case Officer. No.

21 Mr. Johnston. Was page 3 what you prepared on November 21st?
 22 AMLASH Officer. I didn't personally prepare it again, so
 23 I could have given the secretary my long hand report, something
 24 could have happened, and then I finished it and she put it on
 25 a second page. That is possible. I don't have any explanation



~~TOP SECRET~~

64

gsh 38

Phone (Area 202) 544-6000

7600010839

WARD & PAUL

410 First Street, S.E., Washington, D.C. 20003

1 why it's lighter than the other one. The type appears to be the
2 same.

3 Mr. Johnston. Are you saying that the way you prepared it
4 it's possible that the second page and third page were prepared
5 on different days?

6 AMLASH Case Officer. No, it could have been at different
7 times of the day. If I had written out the first part of the
8 contact report and I hadn't finished it, the secretary could
9 very well have started to type it, and then when I finished it
10 I would have given her the last page to type because it was all
11 on the 25th.

12 Mr. Johnston. Are you saying --

13 AMLASH Case Officer. Because there's no date on the
14 second page.

15 Mr. Johnston. You're stating that your recollection is
16 that that document was typed on one day by one person.

17 AMLASH Case Officer. Yes, probably so. That's the
18 usual case. I have no reason to doubt why it would have been
19 done any differently.

20 Mr. Johnston. What about the information on page 3?
21 Is that the substance, in fact? Is that exactly what you wrote
22 on November 25th about the contact?

23 AMLASH Case Officer. Yes, and in fact I draw your
24 attention to the part on the secret writing material because
25 we were very concerned as to how we would continue to communicate

~~TOP SECRET~~

~~TOP SECRET~~

65

Graph 19

Phone (Area 202) 544-6000

7600010810

WARD & PAUL

410 First Street, S.E., Washington, D.C. 20003

1 with him inside Cuba and this was one of the subjects that
2 were discussed at the last meeting, communications. So that
3 is not a new subject matter. That is definitely part of the
4 subject matter that was discussed at the last meeting, which
5 was communications with him.

6 Mr. Johnston. And it's your testimony that this report
7 as it exists in that file is exactly the report that you prepared
8 on November 25th?

9 AMLASH Case Officer. Yes..

10 Mr. Johnston. You'll note that the report does not
11 mention the pen device or AMLASH's reaction to your giving it
12 to him.

13 AMLASH Case Officer. That's right.

14 Mr. Johnston. You left that out of your original report.
15 You did not make a written report on --

16 AMLASH Case Officer. I did not make a written report
17 on that.

18 Mr. Johnston. Why was that?

19 AMLASH Case Officer. Probably because Fitzgerald said
20 not to.

21 Mr. Johnston. Do you recall Fitzgerald saying not to?

22 AMLASH Case Officer. I don't recall it, but that's
23 certainly what I'd have to assume.

24 In other words, don't include that part of it.

25 Mr. Johnston. Should it have gone in there in a normal

~~TOP SECRET~~

gsh:40

Phone (Area 202) 544-6000

760010811

WARD & PAUL

410 First Street, S.E., Washington, D.C. 20003

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25

report?

AMLASH Case Officer. In a normal report, yes. But this certainly was a rather, again, sensitive subject that we were talking about. He did not accept it and I don't think that at that time it was felt that anything else should be said about it.

Mr. Johnston. Why is that?

AMLASH Case Officer. I don't know why. Again, because of the sensitivity, I presume, of the subject.

Mr. Johnston. And again, is it your recollection that Fitzgerald, is it your testimony that Fitzgerald told you not to put a reference to the pen device in the report?

AMLASH Case Officer. I don't recall if he specifically told me not to put it in or if when I gave him the report he was satisfied that it was not in.

Mr. Baron. Why would a reference to the pen device be any more sensitive than references to arms caches or suggestions of assassinations or all the other kinds of things that were already in the file?

AMLASH Case Officer. Because again, in conjunction with this particular operation it was not an assassination operation. It was a coup operation. This was one of the aberrations of the operation which we got involved in strictly to provide bona fides to the man that we were dealing with and we were concerned for his personal security.

~~TOP SECRET~~

67

1 In other words, it was not part of the operational plan
2 to provide him with any such devices for the purposes of
3 assassinating Castro. The rifles and the grenades and all of
4 this was something that was necessary to start a coup.

5 In other words, it was not directed at one particular
6 person.

7 I think this was probably the thinking at that time and
8 why such points did not get into it because this was not part
9 of the AMLASH plan of operation.

10 Mr. Baron. For the sake of the accuracy of the records
11 and the protection of the people who were involved at the CIA,
12 wouldn't even the tender of such a device to AMLASH for whatever
13 purposes normally be put into the records?

14 AMLASH Case Officer. Not in a contact report because in
15 a contact report this goes into the regular file. Whether
16 Fitzgerald would have made a note of this some place else I
17 don't know, but in the regular contact report which goes into
18 the regular file -- in other words, say the secretary would
19 know about it and this could have been the other part of it.

20 In other words, to reduce the number of people, to contain
21 the number of people.

22 Mr. Baron. Do you recall anything in your discussions
23 with Fitzgerald that led you to believe that one of the
24 factors that was in his mind in assessing the sensitivity of
25 this tender of a poison pen device was the day on which it

~~TOP SECRET~~

gh 1

Phone (Area 202) 344-4000

760010812

WARD & PAUL

410 First Street, S.E., Washington, D.C. 20003

gsh:42

Phone (Area 202) 544-6000

76000810813

WARD & PAUL

410 First Street, S.E., Washington, D.C. 20003

1 happened as opposed to simply the fact that such a device was
2 offered?

3 AMLASH Case Officer. If it was in his mind, he never
4 stated it to me. I think it was just the overall sensitivity
5 that the Agency had been, has now, and always has had on
6 assassinations.

7 I mean it's not the specific of what had happened that
8 time. Assassinations were not part of the routine business of
9 the Agency.

10 Mr. Johnston. Let me ask you, you have mentioned the
11 secretary who prepared it. Do you recall the name of the
12 secretary who prepared this document?

13 AMLASH Case Officer. No, I don't.

14 Mr. Johnston. Did you have a regular secretary?

15 AMLASH Case Officer. Yes.


16 Mr. Johnston. What was her name?

17 AMLASH Case Officer. She could have prepared it or
18 it could have been Fitzgerald's secretary because, again, of
19 the days, the Sunday.

20 I don't recall the name.

21 Mr. Johnston. You don't recall your secretary's name?

22 AMLASH Case Officer. Well, I don't remember if a girl
23 by the name of Bobbie Hernandez was still with me. She was
24 my secretary during part of that time. She was probably my
25 secretary then.



Phone (Area 202) 544-4000
sh 43

7600010814

WARD & PAUL

410 First Street, S.E., Washington, D.C. 20003

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25

I don't recall Fitzgerald's secretary's name, and she could have typed it, but it would have been one of the two girls Mr. Johnston. Let me show you the report again and on page 1 of the report, I think it's paragraph 2 of that. that discusses the newspaper article, the Kennedy speech. Paragraph two:

"AMLASH stated he was returning to Cuba." The second sentence of that is -- "subject was pleased to read a copy of President Kennedy's 18 November speech in Miami and was even more pleased to hear that Fitzgerald had helped to prepare the President's speech. You the case officer reiterated the assurances given by Fitzgerald of full U.S. support if a real coup against the regime was successful."

AMLASH Case Officer. Yes, that's right.

Mr. Johnston. Does that refresh your recollection about whether or not you gave him the speech?

AMLASH Case Officer. No, because at that time it could have already been printed in the Paris newspaper and he could very well have read it in one of the Parisian newspapers. But again, I could have had a copy of a newspaper clipping of the speech with me.

Mr. Johnston. But in the least does that refresh your recollection that you told AMLASH that Fitzgerald helped prepare the speech?

AMLASH Case Officer. Yes, Fitzgerald told me that we

~~TOP SECRET~~

71

gsh:45

Phone (Area 202) 544-6000

760010816

WARD & PAUL

410 First Street, S.E., Washington, D.C. 20003

1 Mr. Johnston. I point out that in that speech, do you
2 have a recollection of this being the part of the speech or
3 you discussed this aspect of the speech?

4 AMLASH Case Officer. We did not discuss the speech
5 paragraph by paragraph. All we did was a very small passing
6 remark about the speech.

7 He had probably to the best of my recollection already
8 read it in one of the Parisian newspapers, or if I had a news-
9 paper clipping of the speech, he glanced at it while we were
10 there, but I don't recall that. But he was certainly satisfied
11 with the tone of the speech.

12 Mr. Johnston. You must have read the speech before
13 you mentioned it to him. Is this the portion that you had in
14 mind that would satisfy him about the tone of the speech?

15 AMLASH Case Officer. Certainly this sets the tone for
16 the whole speech. The extracts that you have made here sets
17 the tone for the full speech and specifically the one in which
18 once the barrier is removed, we will be ready and anxious
19 to work with the Cuban people in pursuit of those progressive
20 goals which in a few short years stirred the hopes and the
21 sympathy of many people throughout the entire hemisphere.

22 Mr. Johnston. Was it your intent by making reference to
23 the speech in your meeting with AMLASH to show that higher
24 authority was behind what you were doing?

25 AMLASH Case Officer. Yes, precisely.

~~TOP SECRET~~

~~TOP SECRET~~

72

gsh 46

Phone (Area 202) 544-6000

1 Mr. Johnston. And you felt that this speech coincided
2 with what you were telling AMLASH?

3 AMLASH Case Officer. Yes, that the U.S. Government was
4 prepared, the assurances at first that Gerald had given him
5 and I had been giving him that the U.S. Government was willing
6 to support the Cuban people.

7 Mr. Baron. In the excerpt from the speech that we have
8 just shown you it says:

9 "It is important to restate what now divides Cuba from
10 my country and from all the American countries. It is the
11 fact that a small band of conspirators has stripped the Cuban
12 people of their freedom and handed over the independence and
13 sovereignty of the Cuban nation to forces beyond the hemisphere.

14 Then it continues: "This and this alone divides us.
15 As long as this is true, nothing is possible. Without it,
16 everything is possible. Once this barrier is removed, we will
17 be ready and anxious to work with the Cuban people."

18 That's the end of the quote.

19 Is it your understanding that this reference to a small
20 band of conspirators is a reference to the Castro government?

21 AMLASH Case Officer. Precisely.

22 Mr. Baron. And in your report of November 19th, or your
23 memorandum for the record of November 19th, you had mentioned
24 that -- well, I will simply quote from the report. It says:

25 "Show AMLASH One copy of President's Miami speech(remark

410 First Street, S.E., Washington, D.C. 20003

WARD & PAUL

760010817

~~TOP SECRET~~

gsh 47

Phone (Area 202) 544-6000

7600010813

WARD & PAUL

410 First Street, S.W., Washington, D.C. 20003

End 3a, b 3b2

1 to AMLASH One that blank helped with speech).

2 AMLASH Case Officer. Fitzgerald.

3 Mr. Baron. Blank is Fitzgerald.

4 AMLASH Case Officer. Yes. This memorandum was written
5 before I went to Paris, so, in effect, these were my marching
6 orders that I could use showing that the U.S. Government, indeed

7 Mr. Baron. Would support a move against the Castro
8 government.

9 AMLASH Case Officer. Would support the move against
10 Castro.

11 Mr. Baron. And did you know for a fact that Fitzgerald
12 helped with the preparation of the speech?

13 AMLASH Case Officer. Only from what Fitzgerald told
14 me.

15 Mr. Baron. What did Fitzgerald tell you?

16 AMLASH Case Officer. I can't give you a specific quote
17 but I presume that he had had some input into the speech. This,
18 again, wouldn't necessarily be Fitzgerald himself. It could
19 be but it could also be the SAS, the CIA input into the speech,
20 which is normal in Presidential speeches that there are inputs
21 from different agencies.

22 Mr. Baron. Did Fitzgerald say anything to you about
23 preparation of this speech that led you to believe that the
24 President or anyone close to him who had been involved in the
25 preparation of the speech had been made knowledgeable of the



~~TOP SECRET~~

74

gsh 48

Phone (Area 202) 544-6000

7600910819

WARD & PAUL

410 First Street, S.E., Washington, D.C. 20003

1 AMLASH operation?

2 AMLASH Case Officer. No. Fitzgerald never told me
3 specifically that the President had been apprised of this.
4 The general objective, I never had any doubts in my mind that
5 the general objective of organizing a coup against Castro did
6 not have the highest governmental approval. I mean this was
7 never a question, I don't think, in my mind. Again, not
8 specifically assassination because what I mentioned before,
9 I don't think Fitzgerald or Helms or anybody else would
10 specifically have briefed anybody that the AMLASH operation was
11 an assassination plot because it wasn't.

12 Mr. Baron. So you had no basis for believing that the
13 President was informed that a component of the AMLASH operation
14 was assassination?

15 AMLASH Case Officer. No, I have no reason. I don't
16 know. Nobody told me that he had or he hadn't.

17 Mr. Baron. Did Fitzgerald make it clear to you that
18 in providing input to this speech he had the specific purpose
19 in mind of giving a public signal to AMLASH that he would receive
20 support from the highest quarters of the U.S. Government if he
21 would move for a coup?

22 AMLASH Case Officer. Yes, this was my understanding.

23 Mr. Baron. That the speech was to be a specific signal
24 to opponents of Castro inside Cuba as opposed to a general
25 statement of U.S. policy?

~~TOP SECRET~~

~~TOP SECRET~~

75

gsh 49

Phone (Area 202) 344-6000

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25

AMLASH Case Officer. That's right.

Mr. Baron. Can you recall anything about your conversation that produced that understanding?

AMLASH Case Officer. No, just the general conversation of the time and what we were trying to do.

In other words, we were trying to organize a coup and the problems that we had been through specifically with AMLASH in trying to persuade him that the U.S. government was serious about supporting Cubans who were opposed to Castro.

So this statement that appeared in the speech was certainly public notice that this was the case.

Mr. Baron. Did Fitzgerald or anyone else say anything to you that led you to believe that the President had been specifically informed that representations would be made such as the ones on October 29th that Robert Kennedy or other high American officials would stand behind AMLASH?

AMLASH Case Officer. No, he didn't specifically tell me.

Mr. Baron. Did your conversations with Fitzgerald about this speech, his input to this speech, lead you to believe that the President had been specifically informed that a poison pen device was being prepared to be tendered on November 22nd?

AMLASH Case Officer. No.

Mr. Johnston. Let me ask you in a different context now, was there a decision after the assassination to break off further contacts with AMLASH?

~~TOP SECRET~~

410 First Street, S.E., Washington, D.C. 20003

7600010830

WARD & PAUL

~~TOP SECRET~~

76

gsh 50

Phone (Area 202) 544-6000

7 6 0 0 0 1 0 8 5 1

WARD & PAUL

410 First Street, S.E., Washington, D.C. 20003

1 AMLASH Case Officer. Yes, but that decision evolved,
2 it wasn't an automatic decision right after the assassination.
3 In fact, as you recall from my previous testimony we had
4 contact with AMLASH again in late '64 when he came out. I
5 think the only hesitancy at that time was what's the new policy?
6 Does the new President want to continue to, in our attempts
7 to organize a plot against Castro, a coup against Castro --

8 That probably was the hesitancy, not the fact that it
9 was immediately decided to stop contact, which, as I said before,
10 it wasn't, because we saw him again.

11 Mr. Johnston. Was there a discussion at all in November
12 or December 1963 about the relationship between the AMLASH
13 operation and the assassination of President Kennedy?

14 AMLASH Case Officer. Not that I recall.

15 Mr. Johnston. Let me show you, we have in several versions
16 and the one that is most available is this document here, and
17 I have got the document you're looking at is in Volume 12,
18 I believe, of the AMLASH file.

19 AMLASH Case Officer. Yes.

20 Mr. Johnston. And it is a yellow legal size paper.

21 AMLASH Case Officer. Let me correct. It's not a document.
22 It is evidently somebody's type-written notes of probably the
23 recollection of what had happened.

24 Mr. Johnston. Okay, and it's on yellow legal-size paper
25 typed. And I also have a document that I'm sure is in the file

~~TOP SECRET~~



~~TOP SECRET~~

77

gsh 51

Phone (Area 202) 544-4000

7600010832

WARD & PAUL

410 First Street, S.E., Washington, D.C. 20003

1 but we don't have it pointed out. It's the sanitized version
2 of a memorandum for the record dated 29 March, 1965, subject
3 AMLASH, and both of them convey the same subject, the same
4 information. And on the paragraph that has the marking at the
5 left and the language of those documents, those documents say
6 "22 November, '63, Mr. Fitzgerald and Mr. Sanchez assured subject
7 that this Agency would give him everything he needed."

8 Is that the portion you're reading?

9 AMLASH Case Officer. Yes.

10 Mr. Johnston. "(Telescopic sight, silencer, all the
11 money he wanted). Situation changed when Mr. Sanchez and
12 Mr. Fitzgerald left the meeting to discover that President
13 Kennedy had been assassination. Because of this fact, plans
14 of subject changed and it was decided that this Agency could
15 have no part in the assassination of a government leader (including
16 Castro), and it would not aid subject in his attempt.

17 "This included the following: 'We would not furnish
18 the silencer, nor scope, nor any money for direct assassination;
19 furthermore, we would not lift a finger to help subject escape
20 from Cuba should he assassinate Castro.'"

21 Did you prepare this document?

22 AMLASH Case Officer. I did not prepare this document.
23 I was not with the task force with SAS at the time this document
24 was prepared on 29 March, '65. I had already left.

25 Again, the only thing I can state for you here on what

~~TOP SECRET~~

~~TOP SECRET~~

78

Phone (Area 202) 844-0000

gsh 2

1 I knew of the operation, that this was somebody trying to put
2 together, this was a memo from Chief FI probably in the task
3 force trying to put together by this time, 29 March, what had
4 happened. The other document since it's, it's probably a later
5 date, the yellow document was somebody probably again trying to
6 reconstruct what had happened. The facts are not as I knew
7 them at the time.

8 Both paragraphs have condensed an evolution that took
9 place over a period of a year and the decision probably was not
10 made until '65 after I had left the operation, that we were
11 pulling out.

12 But the facts as stated here in those two documents are
13 incorrect, and certainly the timing that they insinuate is
14 wrong.

15 Mr. Johnston. I think we should develop that on the
16 record and if you want to point out what facts are incorrect,
17 why don't you do so?

18 AMLASH Case Officer. "The situation changed when Mr.
19 Sanchez and Mr. Fitzgerald left the meeting to discover that
20 President Kennedy had been assassinated." That's incorrect.

21 Fitzgerald wasn't with me on the 22nd of November meeting.

22 Mr. Johnston. Okay. What about the sentence before
23 that, that Mr. Fitzgerald and Mr. Sanchez assured subject that
24 this Agency would give him everything he needed, with your
25 qualifier that Fitzgerald was not at the meeting?

410 First Street, S.E., Washington, D.C. 20003

WARD & PAUL

7600010833

~~TOP SECRET~~

~~TOP SECRET~~

79

gsh 53

Phone (Area 202) 544-6000

1 AMLASH Case Officer. This was at the meeting in October
2 and not at the November meeting because that is correct,
3 provided you put it in the right context.

4 Mr. Johnston. What about the statement, all the money
5 he wanted?

6 AMLASH Case Officer. Inferred. I mean I don't recall
7 that money was ever discussed with this man at any time. In
8 fact I was asked this question before and I couldn't really
9 recollect when I had given him some money.

10 It turns out that the record shows that I did give him
11 \$350 for his expenses. But money, specifically, again, that
12 is somebody else interpreting. I don't know of any other
13 document or certainly any memorandum for the record that I
14 prepared in which money specifically was mentioned.

15 Mr. Johnston. But would you disagree with the statement?

16 AMLASH Case Officer. Well, the statement as a whole,
17 if you leave out the money, which again, anything that he needs.

18 Mr. Johnston. Well, that's what we want to leave in.
19 the money.

20 AMLASH Case Officer. If he needs the money, I think it
21 was not excluded in the offer. But what I'm saying --

22 Mr. Johnston. This makes a positive statement, him
23 saying that you and Mr. Fitzgerald assured AMLASH that the
24 Agency would give him everything he needed, all the money he
25 wanted.

~~TOP SECRET~~

7600010834

WARD & PAUL

410 First Street, S.E., Washington, D.C. 20003

~~TOP SECRET~~

80

gsh 54

Phone (Area 202) 544-4000

76000010835

WARD & PAUL

410 First Street, S.E., Washington, D.C. 20003

1 And I'm asking you directly either on October 29th, or
2 November 22nd did you make that assurance to him?

3 AMLASH Case Officer. I can't recall that such an issue
4 was made of money because he never asked for money and we
5 specifically didn't have to respond to telling him that we
6 would give him money. In telling him that the support necessary,
7 all of the support necessary for a coup, I think it is implied
8 that he would get whatever he needed, which would mean supplies,
9 but not specifically money, and the reason I state this is that
10 money was never a subject of contention between the Agency and
11 AMLASH. He never asked for money, he never was offered
12 specifically money.

13 Mr. Johnston. Was he assured that he would get all the
14 money he wanted?

15 AMLASH Case Officer. All the support he needed, not
16 the money that he wanted. He never asked for money.

17 Mr. Johnston. I'm not asking you what he asked for.
18 I'm asking you what you told him. In this thing it says
19 you assured him that you would give him all the money that he
20 wanted.

21 Did you give that assurance to him?

22 AMLASH Case Officer. I did not because I did not
23 write this document. And the person who wrote this document
24 that I know of didn't write it from any factual report at any
25 time.

~~TOP SECRET~~

~~TOP SECRET~~

81

9844-4000
Phone (Area 202) 760-0000

55

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

This is not a document. This is somebody's notes in putting together. These are rough notes typed on yellow, legal sized paper of somebody trying to reconstruct what happened here probably two or three years afterwards.

Mr. Johnston. I guess what I'm asking is a yes or no answer. In October or November, 1963, did you or Mr. Fitzgerald, to your knowledge, assure AMLASH that he would receive all the money he wanted?

AMLASH Case Officer. No, and I must qualify that. Unless you want to infer that in giving him the support that he needed he would also receive money. But money specifically, all he wanted, was never a part of the conversations, to the best of my knowledge.

Mr. Johnston. We have talked about the next sentence and it continues.

"Because of this fact plans of subject changed and it was decided the Agency could have no part in the assassination of a government leader, including Castro."

Is that accurate? I'm giving you an opportunity to rebut the document.

AMLASH Case Officer. It's accurate from the point of view --

You have to put it in the right time frame, in the right context. When he was met in late '64, after having visited and lived in Cuba for a year or almost a year, he came back more

~~TOP SECRET~~

410 First Street, S.E., Washington, D.C. 20003

WARD & PAUL

7600010836

~~TOP SECRET~~

82

gsh 56
Phone (Area 202) 544-0000

1 convinced than before that the only way a coup could be launched
2 in Cuba was the elimination of Castro and when he expressed this
3 conviction, not in '63 but in late '64, is when the Agency, and
4 I presume the U.S. Government at that time felt we can't
5 continue that directly involved with AMLASH.

6 Mr. Johnston. And the last sentence of that:

7 "This included the following -- 'We would not furnish
8 the silencer, nor scope, nor any money for direct assassination.
9 Furthermore, we would not lift a finger to help the subject
10 escape from Cuba should he assassinate Castro.'"

11 Was that the substance of the discussion immediately
12 after the assassination of President Kennedy?

13 AMLASH Case Officer. No. A year later in November of
14 '64.

15 Mr. Johnston. And you're saying that this does not
16 describe the fall AMLASH meeting?

17 AMLASH Case Officer. It does not. It is a mixture of
18 what happened over at least a two year period.

19 Mr. Johnston. It perplexes me somewhat that your
20 failure to keep written records would so warp later thinkers
21 as to what was going on. I mean take the March, 1965 document.
22 As of March, 1965 CIA is going on the assumption that you
23 and Fitzgerald met AMLASH in Paris, that you assured him that
24 he would get all the money he wanted and that your plans
25 changed after the meeting because of the Kennedy assassination.

~~TOP SECRET~~

7600010837

WARD & PAUL

410 First Street, S.E., Washington, D.C. 20003

~~TOP SECRET~~

83

gsh 57

Proc. (Arms 202) 544-0000

7600010853

WARD & PAUL

410 First Street, S.E., Washington, D.C. 20003

1 AMLASH Case Officer. I can't sit here and presume to
2 give you an explanation for that as to where the person who
3 prepared that particular memorandum got that information. The
4 file itself indicates, as you are well aware, that Fitzgerald
5 did not travel to Paris in November. He travelled in October.

6 So the person who prepared the 29 March, 1965 memo came
7 up with this particular type of information, I don't know.

8 Mr. Johnston. Who is that memo addressed to again?

9 AMLASH Case Officer. This is to Chief, FI from Chief,
10 Reports.

11 Mr. Johnston. So your only explanation, your explanation
12 for the memo is that it is inaccurate but even if it is
13 inaccurate, it indicates that in March, 1965 these people had
14 it all wrong as to what the AMLASH operation was all about.

15 AMLASH Case Officer. If you want to interpret exactly
16 what they wrote here, yes, it was wrong. Now I'm not saying
17 that it was wrong because it could have been. I don't know.
18 I'm just saying it could have been that they didn't have access
19 to all the restricted files because this operation until it
20 received its recent publicity was kept on a very restricted
21 basis.

22 So the Chief of Reports, I don't even know, well,
23 probably the Chief of Reports in the division or maybe some
24 place, probably did not have access to all of the operational
25 files.

~~TOP SECRET~~

~~TOP SECRET~~

84

gsh 58

Phone (Area 202) 544-4000

7600010839

WARD & PAUL

410 First Street, S.E., Washington, D.C. 20003

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25

Mr. Greissing. You're saying that the information itself is inaccurate only in a chronological way that it is developed?

AMLASH Case Officer. Certainly wrong in its chronological context, definitely because it throws everything into one very small period of time. In reporting or trying to report what took place over a much longer period of time.

Mr. Greissing. But the facts that are there over a period of a year would be true?

AMLASH Case Officer. But not in this context.

Mr. Greissing. But I'm saying over the period of a year from 1 November to the following November when you actually informed AMLASH of the feelings that the Agency had developed at that time, I believe it is the next sentence down.

AMLASH Case Officer. What is wrong here, what is wrong is that after we left that meeting, which I presume they left the meeting, I presume they're talking about, yes, the 22 November, '63 meeting -- this is absolutely wrong, that when we left that meeting to discover that President Kennedy had been assassinated, we had told him, you know, that this was all off.

That is wrong. It was not at that meeting that he was told that. He went back after that meeting with the idea of organizing a coup inside Cuba.

Mr. Greissing. I believe it clarifies that later in the next paragraph. It says that this information was given

~~TOP SECRET~~

~~TOP SECRET~~

85

1 to AMLASH the following November in 1964.

2 AMLASH Case Officer. Yes. So I don't know why we're
3 taking that particular piece of information out of context
4 because in that same memorandum it does state that.

5 Mr. Johnston. Let me move off these documents. The
6 file on AMLASH contains a November 27th report from Paris which
7 indicated a source in the Cuban embassy in Paris.

8 AMLASH Case Officer. Excuse me. November 27th of
9 what year?

10 Mr. Johnston. 1963. A source in the Cuban embassy
11 in Paris was complaining about AMLASH's denigrating the
12 revolution.

13 Did you see that report on November 27th, 1963?

14 AMLASH Case Officer. I probably did. I can't
15 specifically recall that I did.

16 Mr. Johnston. And as I read the report, or my statement
17 to you of its substance, it would suggest to you that AMLASH
18 was known by given diplomatic personnel in Paris to be putting
19 down the Castro revolution.

20 AMLASH Case Officer. It depends on who. In other words,
21 who did he say this to because he did have friends in the
22 different embassies.

23 Mr. Johnston. The Cuban embassy?

24 AMLASH Case Officer. He stayed at the Cuban embassy. He
25 was a Cuban official at that time. So he had friends who were

~~TOP SECRET~~

Phone (Area 202) 544-6000

WARD & PAUL

410 First Street, S.E., Washington, D.C. 20003

~~TOP SECRET~~

86

Phone (Area 202) 944-6000

ash 0

1 of like mind that he was. They were not happy with the way the
2 revolution was moving.

3 Mr. Johnston. So that fact would have been more broadly
4 known say in the Cuban embassy?

5 AMLASH Case Officer. Oh, yes. This was fairly well
6 known.

End 3B

76000410851

WARD & PAUL

410 First Street, S.E., Washington, D.C. 20003

7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25

~~TOP SECRET~~

SHARRIS/smn1

Phone (Area 202) 544-6000

7600910R39

WARD & PAUL

410 First Street, S.E., Washington, D.C. 20003

~~TOP SECRET~~

1 Mr. Johnston. The cable seems to indicate that the source
2 of the information was complaining about AMLASH's denigrating
3 the revolution, and went on to say that she or he wished he
4 or she had a tape recorder to record what AMLASH and another
5 person were saying, suggesting it is not a friendly source to
6 AMLASH.

7 AMLASH Case Officer. Well, that suggests -- and again, I
8 can't give you any definitive explanation for it -- what that
9 suggests is that she overheard AMLASH talking with somebody
10 else of like mind, and she didn't like what she heard, I guess.

11 Mr. Johnston. Let's go now to again what you talked about,
12 any link in your mind or in Fitzgerald's mind in November and
13 December 1963 between what you had been doing with AMLASH and
14 the assassination of President Kennedy?

15 AMLASH Case Officer. None whatsoever, none whatsoever,
16 and no discussion, and again, again, I go back and take you
17 back in time, if you can place yourself back in 1963 and not
18 1976, after all of this has taken place, after we have had
19 all the public theories expressed of the connections, all built
20 on circumstantial evidence of what and who were involved in
21 the Kennedy Assassination. I take you back and try to place
22 yourself back within the context of this operation in 1963
23 when this happened.

24 First of all, I have to preface what I have to say by
25 saying that this was not an assassination plot, so there would

~~TOP SECRET~~

~~TOP SECRET~~

88

1 be no reason why we would be connecting Kennedy's assassination
2 with this assassination plot. This was not an assassination
3 plot, it was not conceived as an assassination plot. As a coup
4 against Fidel Castro, yes. The fact that the U.S. Government
5 was not happy and was supporting people who were opposed to
6 Castro, this was well known. This was in the aftermath, '63, of
7 the Bay of Pigs. So there was really in the context of the
8 time the fact that this was not an assassination plot conceived
9 as an assassination plot, there was really no reason to
10 connect this one particular operation with the tragedy of
11 President Kennedy.

12 Mr. Johnston. Okay.

13 We do know that on the 29th of October Fitzgerald told
14 AMLASH that Robert Kennedy was behind the plot or behind
15 AMLASH's proposal, is that correct?

16 AMLASH Case Officer. What we told him was that the U.S.
17 Government was prepared to support a coup against Fidel
18 Castro.

19 Mr. Johnston. And AMLASH had previously told you that
20 at least one of his plans for a coup would require the assassi-
21 nation of the Castro Brothers.

22 AMLASH Case Officer. He had not exactly put it in those
23 terms, but he certainly felt that the first move would have
24 to be against the leadership, yes. In other words, we never
25 talked to him in terms of assassination, and I want this

~~TOP SECRET~~

Phone (Area 202) 544-6000

7 6 0 0 1 0 8 3 3

WARD & PAUL

410 First Street, S.E., Washington, D.C. 20003

~~TOP SECRET~~

89

1 perfectly clear.

2 Mr. Johnston. And he told you before the 29th meeting that
3 the first step would probably have to be the assassination of
4 the Castros?

5 AMLASH Case Officer. He never told me assassination because
6 he didn't even like to use the word "assassination."

7 Mr. Johnston. What word did he like to use?

8 AMLASH Case Officer. The neutralization, the elimination
9 if you will, of the leadership.

10 The first move in any coup attempt, in order for the
11 other officers to fall in line, would have to be the removal,
12 the removal of Fidel Castro, because of the loyalties that he
13 still had personally with some of the officers.

14 Mr. Johnston. And after he told you that on October
15 29th, you gave him an assurance that Robert Kennedy backed his
16 proposal generally.

17 AMLASH Case Officer. Yes, the U.S. Government and
18 Fitzgerald as the representative of Robert Kennedy, supported
19 a coup against Castro.

20 Mr. Johnston. On the very day of the assassination, you
21 referenced the speech of President Kennedy's talking about
22 an ouster of the current regime in Cuba.

23 AMLASH Case Officer. That's right.

24 Mr. Johnston. Were you aware of the September 7th
25 statement of Fidel Castro's, threatening the lives of U.S.

~~TOP SECRET~~

Phone (Area 202) 544-6000

7600010854

WARD & PAUL

410 First Street, S.E., Washington, D.C. 20003

~~TOP SECRET~~

90

1 leaders, and let me -- I have a poor Xerox copy, but especially
2 a portion of that statement by Fidel Castro on September 7th
3 at a party at the Brazilian embassy in Havana, he talked to a
4 reporter, Daniel Harker of AP and said, among other things,
5 "we are prepared to fight them and answer in kind. The United
6 States leaders should think that if they are aiding terrorist
7 plans to eliminate Cuban leaders, they themselves will not be
8 safe."

9 I would think from your description of the AMLASH operation
10 that AMLASH had a plan to eliminate Cuban leaders, and that
11 it is very possible --

12 AMLASH Case Officer. So did everybody else. So did every
13 other Cuban exile who was plotting against Castro.

14 Mr. Johnston. But this is in the Brazilian Embassy on
15 September 7th, and you started meeting with AMLASH on
16 September 5th in Brazil, and it may be coincidence, but Castro
17 does give a warning about United States leaders aiding terrorist
18 plans to eliminate Cuban leaders, and you were doing that very
19 thing.

20 AMLASH Case Officer. There is probably a coincidence
21 there. I don't recall that I knew of this at that time. I've
22 certainly heard of it since, but I don't see the point that you
23 are trying to make, because if Castro is behind or was behind
24 AMLASH to involve him in the assassination of an American
25 leader, then are you proposing that he would also publicly

~~TOP SECRET~~

Phone (Area 202) 544-6000

WARD & PAUL

410 First Street, S.E., Washington, D.C. 20003

760010815

~~TOP SECRET~~

91

1 in the Brazilian embassy state that this was going to take
2 place?

3 In other words, was he telegraphing this plan that he
4 had?

5 Mr. Johnston. I don't know. I assume not.

6 AMLASH Case Officer. I would find it highly unusual that
7 if he was serious about being involved in something like this,
8 that he would publicly announce his plan, but I may be wrong.
9 That's just my opinion.

10 Mr. Johnston. So we have this Castro speech of September
11 7th, whatever that means, and we have the AMLASH operations
12 we talked about in November and October, and then almost
13 at the very moment of the Kennedy assassination we have your
14 meeting with AMLASH and giving him the technical equipment or
15 the assurances of technical equipment that he had requested at
16 the October 29th meeting.

17 You knew on November 24th, certainly November 25th, that
18 the alleged assassin of President Kennedy was pro-Castro, Lee
19 Harvey Oswald was pro-Castro.

20 AMLASH Case Officer. I don't even know that at this
21 time.

22 Mr. Johnston. You don't know that at this time?

23 AMLASH Case Officer. No, where did you get that?

24 Mr. Johnston. You don't know?

25 AMLASH Case Officer. That he was pro-Castro?

~~TOP SECRET~~

Phone (Area 202) 544-6000

WARD & PAUL

410 First Street, S.E., Washington, D.C. 20003

7600010836

~~TOP SECRET~~

92

6

1 Mr. Baron. Wasn't that a logical deduction from the fact
2 that he was part of the Fair Play for Cuba Committee?

3 AMLASH Case Officer. It could have been, but I haven't
4 seen that.

5 Mr. Greissing. He had come out publicly in interviews
6 stating that he was for Castro and would defend Castro if the
7 U.S. took action.

8 AMLASH Case Officer. Well, probably so, but I never had --

9 Mr. Johnston. And in fact, Oswald had visited Mexico City, and
10 on November 25th, the very day you wrote your contact report,
11 The Mexico City chief of station cabled headquarters and reminded
12 them about the Castro speech threat, and I assume that our informa-
13 tion is that Mr. King was handling the activity in Mexico
14 City.

15 AMLASH Case Officer. Yes.

16 Mr. Johnston. So on November 25th he was aware of the
17 threat, reminded of the threat.

18 I think it is fair to say that Mr. King knew that Oswald
19 had visited the Cuban embassy in Mexico City. He knew that on
20 November 25th.

21 Another CIA document indicates that Chief of Station Wynn
22 Scott -- I don't know whether you knew him -- in Mexico City,
23 on November 25th read a message to the President of Mexico
24 in which he said, Mr. Scott's memo says there are still some
25 important questions concerning Oswald and his visit to Mexico

~~TOP SECRET~~

Phone (Area 202) 544-6000

7600010837

WARD & PAUL

410 First Street, S.E., Washington, D.C. 20003

~~TOP SECRET~~

93

1 City. Was the assassination of President Kennedy planned by
2 Fidel Castro, and were the final details worked out inside the
3 Cuban embassy in Mexico?

4 That's again on November 25th.

5 There's no reason for you to have seen the document.

6 AMLASH Case Office. I don't know that, but again, I'll
7 just question, this was just a question that was asked. I
8 wouldn't report it as fact.

9 Mr. Johnston. No, on November 25th that is a question
10 being raised.

11 Knowing now, looking back at Mr. King, we have the Western
12 Hemisphere Division on November 25th is informed that Castro
13 has made a threat against U.S. leaders, that the chief of
14 station in Mexico City is telling ^{of} ~~the President of Mexico~~
15 that there is a possibility that Castro was behind it,
16 Oswald was known to be pro-Castro, and on November 22nd, you
17 in turn were meeting in Paris, giving a high level Cuban
18 assurances that his coup plot that might include assassination
19 of Castro was backed by the U.S. and that yet you made no
20 connection between those, all those events, and there was no
21 discussion of any connection.

22 AMLASH Case Officer. No, there was no discussion between
23 the -- the connection between the assassination of President
24 Kennedy and the AMLASH operation.

25 Mr. Johnston. Was there an investigation?

~~TOP SECRET~~



Phone (Area 202) 344-6000

WARD & PAUL

410 First Street, S.E., Washington, D.C. 20003

760010830

~~TOP SECRET~~

94

Phone (Area 202) 544-6009

7 5 0 0 1 0 8 3 9

WARD & PAUL

410 First Street, S.E., Washington, D.C. 20003

1 AMLASH Case Officer. Not to my knowledge. I left the
2 operation right after that, so I wouldn't know if there was
3 or wasn't. But the coincidence that you are talking about,
4 if you are connecting or trying now to imply that there was a
5 connection between AMLASH and the fact that it was on the 22nd
6 of November, the same date as President Kennedy's assassination,
7 they must have had a very terrific system of communication.

8 Mr. Johnston. Although you had set up the meeting on the
9 20th of November, is that right?

10 AMLASH Case Officer. Yes, but he didn't know specifically
11 what we were going to say. In fact, the answer that we could
12 have given him could have been reversed.

13 Mr. Johnston. Well, you said he requested it.

14 AMLASH Case Officer. Well, he requested it before he left,
15 but the assurances, you can go back before that. You can go back
16 to the October meeting when the assurances of support were given
17 to him.

18 So I can't -- I find it very difficult to follow what you
19 are trying to imply or to relate AMLASH directly to the
20 assassination on 22 November. This is what I find difficult to
21 understand.

22 Mr. Johnston. Let me show you a copy of a cable, no
23 indication that the cable went to SAS. The cable is Mexico
24 City, 7045, dated 24 November '63 and by way of background,
25 tell you that immediately upon the assassination, the personnel

~~TOP SECRET~~

~~TOP SECRET~~

9

95

1 in Mexico City then started putting the entire Soviet apparatus
2 in Mexico City under surveillance and pulling the records, and I
3 don't want to read this into the record because it uses a
4 true name.

5 Let's go off the record.

6 (Discussion off the record.)

7 Mr. Johnston. Let me read it, except for the true name.

8 It says "Provided below sufficiently definitive summary
9 of local contacts of Ivan Gavrilovich Alferiev." It gives his
10 contacts, it says "23 December 1961 with the Third Secretary of
11 Cuban Cultural Attache," naming her, and arranging a press
12 conference for AMLASH. It's a very tangential relationship
13 with the AMLASH operation, but again, on November 24th, 1963,
14 Mexico City is reporting KGB or Alferiev's contacts, and
15 it includes a contact in '61 with AMLASH.

16 So I have difficulty believing that they are, in hindsight,
17 not related, and that on November 24th or November 25th no
18 one at CIA related the two events.

19 AMLASH Case Officer. What I'm telling you is -- I'm not
20 telling you that no one at CIA relates the two events. You
21 asked me the specific question, did you and Fitzgerald discuss
22 any relationship. My answer to you was that Fitzgerald and I
23 did not specifically discuss that.

24 Mr. Johnston. Do you know of anyone who discussed it?

25 AMLASH Case Officer. No.

~~TOP SECRET~~

Phone (Area 202) 544-6000

760010870

WARD & PAUL

410 First Street, S.E., Washington, D.C. 20003

Phone (Area 202) 544-6000

76000910871

WARD & PAUL

410 First Street, S.E., Washington, D.C. 20003

10

1 Mr. Johnston. Do you know of any investigation that was
 2 conducted?

3 AMLASH Case Officer. No.

4 (A brief recess was taken.)

5 Mr. Johnston. Let me now talk -- and again the groundwork
 6 is that you were unaware of any investigation of a connection
 7 between the two, and you were unaware of any discussion about
 8 a connection between AMLASH and Kennedy's assassination.

9 Is that a correct summary?

10 AMLASH Case Officer. That's correct.

11 Mr. Johnston. I will show you a document. For the record,
 12 this is a document appearing in Volume IV of the AMLASH
 13 file, and the first page of it has AMLASH's name on it, but
 14 I call your attention to the red handwriting in the upper right
 15 hand corner of that, on that cover sheet, and it says, "not to
 16 leave this office, per" blank's "orders."

17 Is that correct?

18 This document has handwriting in the upper writing hand
 19 corner that it is not to leave this office, whatever that is.

20 AMLASH Case Officer. Without specific clearance, without
 21 orders.

22 Mr. Johnston. Do you have any recollection of such orders
 23 being given by any one, yourself, or Mr. Fitzgerald?

24 AMLASH Case Officer. Yes, what we have talked about before,
 25 that Mr. Fitzgerald gave orders to minimize the knowledgeability

~~TOP SECRET~~

97

1 of this particular operation because of its sensitivity.

2 Mr. Johnston. And that is the reason that this instruction
3 was given about the file, this particular file or this
4 particular document?

5 AMLASH Case Officer. Yes. I can't see at this time that
6 anything else would have been thought of at that time.

7 Mr. Johnston. What suddenly required that order to be
8 given?

9 AMLASH Case Officer. Well, nothing specific except that
10 by December of '63, the man with whom we were dealing, AMLASH I,
11 was going back, or was probably back by that time already in
12 Cuba. It was primarily probably I would say as much to protect
13 his security as anything else.

14 Mr. Johnston. So that nobody else -- did this have the
15 effect of blocking knowledge of the AMLASH operation from other
16 people within the Agency?

17 AMLASH Case Officer. Probably from my office down. Certainly
18 not Fitzgerald, not the Director or not anybody else who needed
19 to know about the operation, absolutely not. This was just
20 circulation within other components of SAS. That would not --
21 in other words, a note of this type would only mean that I
22 would be, or whoever would clear the order would be asked
23 before this file was allowed to circulate or was given to
24 anybody.

25 Mr. Johnston. And I don't want to indicate -- my question

~~TOP SECRET~~

Phone (June 2027) 544-6008

WARD & PAUL

410 First Street, S.E., Washington, D.C. 20003

600001087

~~TOP SECRET~~

98

1 does not imply intent or anyone's purpose, but would this
2 notation have blocked anyone but Mr. Helms or Mr. Fitzgerald
3 from getting access to this file?

4 AMLASH Case Officer. No. This is too informal. If you
5 want to block a file like this, there is a much more formal
6 procedure to go by, to block the file for, say, the Director's
7 use only, or even at that time, the Chief of SAS. A note
8 like this is strictly, can only be interpreted to restrict the
9 circulation of this file for the other people in SAS below my
10 office, not restricting it for anybody else.

11 Mr. Johnston. Upwards.

12 What about laterally? What about to the other divisions?

13 AMLASH Case Officer. Laterally also. In other words, they
14 would have to be checked out. It would have to be. We would
15 have to know who got the file. It is a matter of control. This
16 is what it amounts to, really. It is to know who the file
17 goes to. It is to control the file.

18 Mr. Johnston. But you do that anyway, right?

19 That's routine procedure. This seems to be something more
20 than that, of saying don't let this leave without my approval.

21 AMLASH Case Officer. No, it isn't anything more than that,
22 and I think that you're really much more into it than what it
23 is.

24 I don't even recall giving -- telling the secretary this
25 particular thing. It probably came about from the mimimized

~~TOP SECRET~~

Phone (Area 202) 544-6008

7600010873

WARD & PAUL

410 First Street, S.E., Washington, D.C. 20003

Phone (Area 202) 944-6000

7600010R7A

WARD & PAUL

410 First Street, S.E., Washington, D.C. 20003

1 circulation order that Fitzgerald had given way before in
2 October, as I recall.

3 Mr. Johnston. Did you expect this instruction to be carried
4 out, that the file would not leave the office until you had
5 given your personal approval or Fitzgerald had approved it?

6 AMLASH Case Officer. Yes. I would have thought that my
7 secretary would not have permitted this file to leave unless
8 cleared.

9 Mr. Johnston. We previously discussed whether Mr. King
10 knew about the operation.

11 Did he specifically know, to your knowledge, about the
12 AMLASH operation in the fall of 1963?

13 AMLASH Case Officer. I don't know. I never briefed him
14 personally.

15 Mr. Johnston. Did you have any reason to know that he
16 did know about AMLASH operation?

17 AMLASH Case Officer. No.

18 Mr. Johnston. Our notes indicate that on February 4th,
19 1964, Mr. King sent a memo to you, requirements for AMLASH, and
20 I'll show you our notes. They basically detail questions,
21 intelligence information to be gathered from AMLASH.

22 AMLASH Case Officer. I don't specifically remember receiving
23 this, but if we have -- certainly the fact that we have an
24 asset who can surface any intelligence requirements from the
25 community or anybody else in the Agency, it would be perfectly

14 ~~TOP SECRET~~

100

1 normal for anybody to send these requirements.

2 Mr. Johnston. Would you agree, at least from that summary
3 of the document, that really he's asking you to get intelligence
4 type information from AMLASH?

5 Is that correct?

6 AMLASH Case Officer. Yes.

7 Mr. Johnston. And given the October 5th, 1963 meeting when
8 AMLASH sort of indicated he didn't want anything to do with
9 that, this would be very inconsistent for someone who was
10 knowledgeable about AMLASH.

11 AMLASH Case Officer. What are the dates?

12 Mr. Johnston. October 5th, 1963, is when AMLASH complained
13 about the low level espionage requirements.

14 AMLASH Case Officer. He did not want -- he did not say
15 that he would not surface intelligence requirements. He did
16 not want to exclusively be that type of an asset.

17 So therefore it would be perfectly normal, regardless of
18 what he thought himself to be, or what even we may have thought
19 for him to be, that if he had access to information, we were
20 going to levy the requirements on him.

21 Mr. Johnston. My direct question is, then, having read
22 the summary apparently prepared by Mr. King, and sent on
23 February 2nd, 1964, do you think that Mr. King knew about the
24 AMLASH operation, the events of October and November?

25 AMLASH Case Officer. If he sent us a memo giving us

~~TOP SECRET~~

Phone (Area 202) 544-6000

7600010875

WARD & PAUL

410 First Street, S.E., Washington, D.C. 20003

1 requirements for AMLASH, at a minimum he had to know that we had
2 an agent with this particular access. But he knew about the
3 operation? Not necessarily so.

4 Mr. Johnston. Do you find this consistent with the
5 nature of the operation as of November 22nd, 1963?

6 AMLASH Case Officer. Explain that. I don't understand.
7 What are you -- what is your question?

8 Mr. Johnston. As of November 22nd, 1963, you were now
9 involved in coup plotting with AMLASH, and were delivering or
10 planned to deliver the equipment, rifles, sights, explosives,
11 to assist him in that coup. It seems inconsistent, suddenly in
12 February, to go back to trying to get espionage information
13 from him.

14 AMLASH Case Officer. First of all, I have to address
15 that question from this point. Receiving a memo from King at
16 that time doesn't necessarily mean that we are going to levy
17 the requirements on AMLASH.

18 Mr. Johnston. Oh, I agree with that.

19 AMLASH Case Officer. So, to clarify the other part of your
20 question, that if we needed intelligence after the October
21 '63 meeting in which he said I'm not going to be strictly a
22 source for intelligence, I want to do something more for my
23 country, it would not be in any way out of the ordinary to
24 ask him to send information that we felt was required on the
25 outside.

~~TOP SECRET~~

16

1 Mr. Johnston. So you are saying you would not infer from
2 this document a conclusion one way or the other about whether
3 Mr. King knew the details of the AMLASH coup plotting.

4 AMLASH Case Officer. No.

5 Mr. Johnston. As a final matter from my point, as we did
6 in your past meetings, could you briefly summarize, were you
7 contacted prior to today's session at the Agency, and did you
8 discuss your testimony or the ramifications of your testimony
9 with anyone at the Agency?

10 AMLASH Case Officer. I was called yesterday morning,
11 February 10th, by Mr. Walter Elder, and informed that I was
12 to come here at 10:00 o'clock to discuss the AMLASH operation,
13 and that you would be over yesterday afternoon to mark those
14 portions of the file that you wished to discuss.

15 I picked up the files from the review staff last night and
16 came here this morning.

17 Mr. Johnston. Did you discuss the substance or proposed
18 substance of your testimony with anyone?

19 AMLASH Case Officer. No. I read the file and informed
20 myself as to those points that you wanted to talk about.

21 Mr. Johnston. That's all the questions we have.

22 I want to thank you very much for spending the time with
23 us today.

24 Is there any other comment you would like to make?

25 AMLASH Case Officer. No, not at all.

~~TOP SECRET~~

Phone (Area 202) 546-6000

7600010877

WARD & PAUL

410 First Street, S.E., Washington, D.C. 20003

~~TOP SECRET~~

- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5
- 6
- 7
- 8
- 9
- 10
- 11
- 12
- 13
- 14
- 15
- 16
- 17
- 18
- 19
- 20
- 21
- 22
- 23
- 24
- 25

You're quite welcome.

(Whereupon, at 1:15 o'clock p.m., the hearing in the above-entitled matter was concluded.)

- - -

Phone (Area 202) 544-6000

7600010872

WARD & PAUL

410 First Street, S.E., Washington, D.C. 20003

~~TOP SECRET~~

Exhibit 1

An Extract From Kennedy's Address to Press
Washington Post, Tuesday, November 19, 1963, p. A15.

(AP) Nov. 18 -- The following is a text of President Kennedy's address tonight before the Inter-American Press Association:

* * *

"The genuine Cuban revolution -- because it was against the tyranny and corruption of the past -- had the support of many whose aims and concepts were noble.

"But that hope for freedom and progress was destroyed.

"The goals proclaimed in the Sierra Maestra were betrayed in Havana.

"It is important to restate what now divides Cuba from my country and from all the American countries: It is the fact that a small band of conspirators has stripped the Cuban people of their freedom and handed over the independence and sovereignty of the Cuban nation to forces beyond the hemisphere. They have made Cuba a victim of foreign imperialism, an instrument of the policy of others, a weapon in an effort dictated by external powers to subvert the other American republics. This, and this alone divides us. As long as this is true nothing is possible. Without it everything is possible.

Ready and Anxious

"Once this barrier is removed we will be ready and anxious to work with the Cuban people in pursuit of those progressive goals which, a few short years ago, stirred their hopes and the sympathy of many people throughout the entire hemisphere.

"No Cuban need feel trapped between dependence on the broken promises of foreign communism and the hostility of the rest of the hemisphere. For once Cuban sovereignty has been restored we will extend the hand of friendship and assistance to a Cuba whose political and economic institutions have been shaped by the will of the Cuban people."

* * *

7600010070