

File #:

62-116395

Serial Scope:

1-50

Released under the John F. Kennedy
Assassination Records Collection Act
of 1992 (44 USC 2107 Note).
Case#: NW 65360 Date: 11-17-2022

UNITED STATES GOVERNMENT

Memorandum

- 1 - Mr. Callahan
- 1 - Mr. Adams

CONFIDENTIAL

TO : Mr. W. R. Wannall

DATE: 3/10/75

FROM : W. O. Cregar

- 1 - Each Assistant Director

SUBJECT: SENSTUDY 75

- 1 - Mr. Cregar

ALL INFORMATION CONTAINED
HEREIN IS UNCLASSIFIED EXCEPT
WHERE SHOWN OTHERWISE.
DECLASSIFIED BY SP2 [redacted]
ON 11-7-00

- Assoc. Dir. _____
- Dep. AD-Adm. _____
- Dep. AD-Inv. _____
- Asst. Dir.:
- Admin. _____
- Comp. Syst. _____
- Ext. Affairs _____
- Files & Com. _____
- Gen. Inv. _____
- Ident. _____
- Inspection _____
- Intell. _____
- Laboratory _____
- Legal Coun. _____
- Plan. & Eval. _____
- Spec. Inv. _____
- Training _____
- Telephone Rm. _____
- Director Sec'y _____

This memorandum reports the results of a meeting of the Ad Hoc Coordinating Group on Congressional Review of the Intelligence Community, (hereafter referred to as the Group), held on 3/7/75.

The Group is chaired by Mr. John Clarke, Associate Deputy to the Director of Central Intelligence, and is made up of representatives of agencies and departments having membership on the United States Intelligence Board (USIB). Its purpose is to serve as a coordinating mechanism for future testimony before various Congressional committees.

At the meeting of the Group on 3/7/75 the following items were discussed:

Congressman Robert McClory has been designated as the minority leader of the House Select Committee. Copies of the annexes prepared by various members of the Intelligence Community have been delivered to both the majority and minority leader of the House Select Committee. Congressman McClory is very security conscious, is concerned that sensitive relationships are not inadvertently disclosed during forthcoming hearings and according to Mr. John Clarke appears to have no questions regarding FBI authority to engage in domestic surveillances. CIA has prepared a draft letter to Senator Church releasing CIA employees from any obligations imposed upon them by CIA's Secrecy Agreement (draft copy furnished the Legal Counsel Division). Prior to releasing the letter to Senator Church, CIA is having it reviewed by the White House to determine if they have any objections to its content.

CIA anticipates that staff employees of the Senate Select Committee (SSC) will have direct access to CIA buildings but will not have direct access to CIA files or CIA personnel. Arrangements for the staff of the SSC to interview CIA personnel will be made through Mr. John Clarke.

WOC:ebc
(17)

XEROX

JUN 11 1975

CONTINUED - OVER

APR 11 1975

CONFIDENTIAL

LEGAL COUNSEL

Memorandum for Mr. Wannall
RE: SENSTUDY 75

~~CONFIDENTIAL~~

Mr. James Wilderotter, Associate Deputy Attorney General, and Mr. John Warner, General Counsel, CIA, will have a meeting with the Counsel of the SSC on Tuesday, 3/11/75, to work out precise wording for a Secrecy Agreement that all members of the SSC staff have agreed to sign.

On 3/10/75 the staff of the SSC will convene to develop a list of requirements that they expect to levy on all agencies making up the Intelligence Community. Hopefully Mr. Clarke will have some information on these requirements prior to the time they are levied on the individual agencies.

As a result of Mr. Colby's appearance before the House Government Information in Individual Rights Subcommittee, Government Operations Committee, 3/5/75, CIA is getting many, many, calls from Senators and Congressmen asking how they can find out whether CIA has a file on them and what its contents are. Mr. Clarke noted that members of the Group should anticipate receiving similar calls from Congressmen. ~~(u)~~

Mr. Hank Knocke, who heads up the CIA task force responsive to the Rockefeller Commission, advised that staff members of the Rockefeller Commission have been issued CIA badges, occupy CIA office space, and have authority to personally contact any CIA employee without clearing such contact with CIA officials. In addition, the Rockefeller Commission staff employees have been granted access to CIA files. After reviewing these files, if the staff decides to make certain portions of the files a matter of official Commission business, CIA has a right to excise the names of any sensitive sources. In the event information contained in these files originates with another Agency of the Government CIA has insisted and the staff concurs that the originating Agency must be consulted before such information can be released to the staff. ~~(u)~~

Mr. Knocke also advised that it is his understanding that both Mr. Kelley and Mr. Colby will receive in the mail shortly a request from the Commission to prepare a joint response covering the activities of foreign intelligence officials in the U. S. ~~(u)~~

- 2 -

~~CONFIDENTIAL~~

CONTINUED - OVER

Memorandum for Mr. Wannall
RE: SENSTUDY 75

~~CONFIDENTIAL~~

CIA as well as the rest of the Intelligence Community is concerned over requests received by Morton Halperin under the Freedom of Information Act. Treasury, National Security Council, Defense Intelligence Agency, CIA, State Department and the Office of Management and Budget (OMB), have received requests from Halperin. According to Mr. Clarke, a meeting will be convened by the OMB involving interested parties in an effort to coordinate a response. Mr. Colby, in his role as the Director of Central Intelligence, is very concerned that in responding to Halperin's requests one Agency does not deny providing information to Halperin that another Agency expects to give Halperin. To date the Bureau has not received a request from Halperin under the Freedom of Information Act. (u)

ACTION:

For information and record purposes.

WZW
HCL

3/14/75
JCH

smc

This may possibly be considered a conspiracy to deny FOI rights. We should avoid all such implications.

Noted by [unclear] & Legal Counsel
3/14/75
JCF
people advised

~~CONFIDENTIAL~~

Assistant Attorney General for Administration

March 7, 1975

Nicholas P. Callahan
Associate Director, FBI

SENSTUDY 75

INQUIRY BY GENERAL ACCOUNTING OFFICE (GAO) AND
THE OFFICE OF MANAGEMENT AND BUDGET (OMB)
CONCERNING INTELLIGENCE ACTIVITIES

APPROPRIATIONS - 1974

On the afternoon of March 7, 1975, Mr. James F. Hoobler, Director, Management Programs and Budget Staff, furnished a copy of a memorandum from GAO to the Deputy Director of the OMB which requested information concerning appropriations, outlays and legislative authority for such appropriations and expenditures.

With regard to the FBI's Intelligence Division, a response was requested concerning the amounts of budget authority for fiscal years 1974 and 1975 and actual outlays for fiscal year 1974; an indication of where in the Federal budget these amounts or any portion of them appear; any language in the budget which explains or indicates the purpose or purposes for which such amounts will be spent; and the legislative authority for each of the listed appropriations and expenditures.

The FBI does not receive budget authority or account for budget outlays specifically for internal security or intelligence activities or for any organizational component handling such matters. The FBI's budget request for funds to handle internal security or intelligence matters is set forth under the budget activity "Security and Criminal Investigations." Based on manpower utilization surveys which have been conducted, it is estimated that approximately 29 percent of the FBI's resources go to support activities under the supervision of the Intelligence Division. It is estimated, therefore, that in the fiscal year 1974 the FBI's budget authority contained funds amounting to some \$91 million and that it had outlays of about \$83 million to support these activities. The amount contained in the FBI's budget authority for the fiscal year 1975 is estimated to be \$102 million.

The purposes for which these funds are expended are set forth in justification material accompanying budget estimates submitted to the Congress.

LCG:jmh

62-116395

See designated and note on page two....

NOT RECORDED

MAY 1 1975

2 ENCLOSURE

ALL INFORMATION CONTAINED
HEREIN IS UNCLASSIFIED
DATE 11-7-00 BY SP-2 BJA/BA

Original Filed In 66-19125-94

- Assoc. Dir. _____
- Dep. AD Adm. _____
- Dep. AD Inv. _____
- Asst. Dir.:
- Adm. _____
- Comp. Syst. _____
- Ext. Affairs _____
- Files & Com. _____
- Gen. Inv. _____
- Ident. _____
- Inspection _____
- Intell. _____
- Lab. _____
- Spec. Inv. _____
- Training _____
- Off. Cong. & Public Affairs _____

Assistant Attorney General for Administration

In the FBI's material for fiscal years 1974 and 1975 these purposes are set forth under the section "Objective" and they are captioned "Domestic Intelligence" and "Coordination and Dissemination of Security Data." Additional data is furnished in each of those years under the section "Status of Base Program" and is captioned "Internal Security Operations."

The legislative authority for the appropriation and expenditures for the fiscal year 1974 is contained in the Departments of State, Justice, Commerce, and The Judiciary, and Related Agencies Appropriation Act, 1974 (Public Law 93-162). The legislative authority for fiscal year 1975 is contained in the Appropriation Act for the same agencies (Public Law 93-433).

1 - Mr. James F. Hoobler, Director
Management Programs and Budget Staff

1 - Mr. Wannall - Enclosure

1 - Mr. Mintz - Enclosure

1 - Mr. Moore - Enclosure

NOTE: The attached inquiry from GAO is being coordinated by the OMB. It was received by us from the Department at 1:14 p. m., 3/7/75, with a request that the information be furnished by the close of business the same date.

OFFICE OF MANAGEMENT AND BUDGET
ROUTE SLIP

To Mr. Hoobler

Take necessary action

Approval or signature

Comment

Prepare reply

Discuss with me

For your information

See remarks below

FROM Jim Purcell *Jim Purcell* DATE 3-7-75

REMARKS

Mr. Hoobler:

Will you please provide the requested information indicated by Mr. Scantleburg's memo of February 24 pertaining to the FBI. Item "8" which refers to the FBI Internal Security Division should be interpreted as the FBI's Intelligence Division.


I would appreciate having this information, if possible, by close of business, today.

#MDR16
ALL FBI INFORMATION CONTAINED
HEREIN IS UNCLASSIFIED
DATE 11-7-00 BY SP-2 BLM/PTG

ONE FORM 4
FEB AUG 70

62-116395-

ENCLOSURE



UNITED STATES GENERAL ACCOUNTING OFFICE
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20543

DIVISION OF FINANCIAL AND
GENERAL MANAGEMENT STUDIES

FEB 24 1975

Mr. Paul H. O'Neill
Deputy Director
Office of Management and Budget

Dear Mr. O'Neill:

We have recently received a request from a Member of the Senate Budget Committee for our assistance in obtaining budget data with respect to various intelligence activities of the Federal Government. We have the budget data that is publicly available. However, as you are aware, most of the requested information is not readily available and is usually provided to the Congress in limited briefings. We have discussed this matter with representatives of the Senate Budget Committee and it is their desire to obtain the requested data by other than oral briefings.

The request involves amounts of budget authority for fiscal years 1974 and 1975 by each of the following agencies, and the actual outlays by each such agency during FY 1974.

1. Central Intelligence Agency
2. National Security Agency
3. Defense Intelligence Agency
4. Army Intelligence
5. Naval Intelligence
6. Air Force Intelligence (including the National Reconnaissance Office)
7. State Department Bureau of Intelligence and Research
8. Federal Bureau of Investigation Internal Security Division

62-116375-

ENCLOSURE

9. Atomic Energy Commission Division of Intelligence
10. Treasury Department Intelligence Division


The Committee would also like to know the following:

"Where in the federal budget does each or any portion of the above-mentioned amounts appear? Indicate to the closest possible degree of specificity, designating such amounts by the accounts or line items, or combinations of accounts or line items, under which the amounts are included, and any language in the budget which explains or indicates the purpose or purposes for which such amounts will be spent.

"What is the legislative authority for each of the above-listed appropriations and expenditures?"

We would appreciate your reviewing these items and responding at your earliest convenience. We have advised members of your staff of this request. We will be happy to work directly with your staff to meet this congressional need. To the extent that any of this information is not available, we will need, in writing, the legislative citations or precedents for not supplying the data.

Sincerely yours,



D. L. Scantlebury
Director

UNITED STATES GOVERNMENT

Memorandum

TO : MR. CALLAHAN

DATE: 3/7/75

Dep. AD Adm.	_____
Dep. AD Inv.	_____
Asst. Dir.:	
Admin.	_____
Comp. Syst.	_____
Ext. Affairs	_____
Files & Com. Serv.	_____
Gen. Inv.	_____
Ident.	_____
Inspection	_____
Intell.	_____
Laboratory	_____
Plan. & Eval.	_____
Spec. Inv.	_____
Training	_____
Off. Coon.	_____
Telephone Rm.	_____
Director Sec'y	_____

FROM : J. B. ADAMS *JBA*

#MDR/6
ALL INFORMATION CONTAINED
HEREIN IS UNCLASSIFIED
DATE 11-7-00 BY SP2 ALM/276

SUBJECT: CONGRESSIONAL TESTIMONY

Senstudy - 95

Mr. Kelley asked that in connection with future testimony we prepare two papers. One is to be a dissertation about our procedures in opening and closing domestic security cases, including the application of criteria for opening such cases and determining how long the cases should remain in a pending status. The second paper is to involve the jurisdiction of the President to authorize unusual investigative techniques in foreign intelligence investigations such as wiretaps, microphones and surreptitious entries. This should include a definition of his Constitutional powers and the derivative authority invested in the Attorney General and the FBI.

In connection with these two papers, it is desired that there be coordination between the Intelligence Division, Legal Counsel Division and writers in the External Affairs Division, who should participate in the basic research and discussions and prepare the final paper outlining the research and analysis of the other two divisions.

Mr. Kelley's purpose is to have papers available on various topics such as this which reduce technical dissertations to a style which can be used for argumentative purposes in an easily understood form. The types of questions he receives generally do not require a highly technical response but a concise presentation of the issues involved and the propriety of our procedures.

ACTION:

For information.

JBA:ams

(6)

- 1 - Mr. Jenkins
- 1 - Mr. Moore
- 1 - Mr. Mintz
- 1 - Mr. Wannall

- ① *Mr. Haddock, Dir & Legal Div*
- ② *Mr. Wiley, Dir & G. R. Div*

62-116395-

NOT RECORDED

46 APR 17 1975
MAR 28 1975

ORIGINAL FILED IN 62-116395-13

UNITED STATES GOVERNMENT

Memorandum

TO : Mr. J. B. Adams

DATE: 3-6-75

FROM : Legal Counsel *Jambor*

#m0216
ALL INFORMATION CONTAINED
HEREIN IS UNCLASSIFIED
DATE 11-7-00 BY SP2ACN/ITG

SUBJECT: HOUSE GOVERNMENT INFORMATION IN
INDIVIDUAL RIGHTS SUBCOMMITTEE
THE GOVERNMENT OPERATIONS COMMITTEE
HEARING, MARCH 5, 1975

- Assoc. Dir. _____
- Dep. AD Adm. _____
- Dep. AD Inv. _____
- Asst. Dir.:
- Admin. _____
- Comp. Syst. _____
- Ext. Affairs _____
- Files & Com. _____
- Gen. Inv. _____
- Ident. _____
- Inspection _____
- Intell. _____
- Laboratory _____
- Plan. & Eval. _____
- Spec. Inv. _____
- Training _____
- Legal Coun. _____
- Telephone Rm. _____
- Director Sec'y _____

Senate Select Committee on Intelligence Activities
This Committee which is chaired by Congresswoman Bella S.

Abzug (D-N.Y.) convened on March 5th to hear testimony from Director of the CIA, William E. Colby. It was brought out at the hearing that the Central Intelligence Agency had furnished information in their files pertaining to Congresswoman Abzug the previous evening, March 4th, with the exception of information which could not be disclosed without compromising a sensitive source or intelligence gathering procedure. Director Colby also advised Congresswoman Abzug in response to her inquiry that the source of some items that she was in receipt of had been concealed because it was from another agency. Colby did not disclose the identity of that agency.

Congresswoman Abzug asked Colby, and he agreed to communicate with that agency and have them contact Congresswoman Abzug concerning the aforementioned information. By way of background according to a memorandum captioned "Bella S. Abzug (D-N.Y.)," dated 3-4-75, the CIA asked for and received permission from this Bureau to release the contents of unclassified reports furnished the CIA by the FBI and could be furnished by the CIA to Congresswoman Abzug. From the remarks made by Director Colby, it would appear that the topic of discussion between Congresswoman Abzug and Director Colby pertained to these documents and that we can expect some communication from the CIA in accordance with Congresswoman Abzug's request.

During the question and answer portion of Director Colby's testimony, Director Colby stated that the CIA would obtain information pertaining to American citizens in only two instances in the future. (1) Information developed incidental to their surveillance of a foreign target.

- 1 - Mr. Adams
- 1 - Mr. Wannall
- 1 - Mr. Mintz

- 1 - Mr. McCreight
- 1 - Mr. Daly
- 1 - Mr. Hotis

21 APR 3 1975

62-116395
NOT RECORDED
MAY 28 1975

CONTINUED

LEGAL COUNSEL
FIVE
SO

PVD:dkg (8)

APR 5 1975

MAY 28 1975

Subcommittee on Government Individual Rights

ORIGINAL FILED IN 100-44207-276

Legal Counsel to J. B. Adams Memo
Re: HOUSE GOVERNMENT INFORMATION IN
INDIVIDUAL RIGHTS SUBCOMMITTEE

(2) Investigations conducted pursuant to FBI requests. He was asked what the CIA's response would be to an improper request for investigation concerning American citizens. He indicated that they would refuse such a request and had done so in the past. The implication being from the context of the question that the FBI had made an improper request of the CIA.

In view of the wide scope of authority enjoyed by Congresswoman Abzug's Committee and the realistic expectation the FBI will have to testify before this Committee, it would be to our advantage for the Intelligence Division, through liaison, with the Central Intelligence Agency to obtain a transcript of this Committee hearing so that same might be reviewed and possible questions contained therein concerning FBI investigations be analyzed and responses prepared.

RECOMMENDATION:

Intelligence Division take action in line with the above.

pkj

JWA

JCF

JWA

JWA

wku

#mde16
ALL INFORMATION CONTAINED
HEREIN IS UNCLASSIFIED
DATE 9/25/00 BY SP-2 ALM/JS

(Mount Clipping in Space Below)

Assoc. Dir.	✓
Dep. Dir. Adm.	✓
Dep. Dir. Inv.	✓
Asst. Dir.:	
Admin.	✓
Comp. Syst.	✓
Ext. Affairs	✓
Files & Com.	✓
Gen. Inv.	✓
Ident.	✓
Inspection	✓
Intell.	✓
Laboratory	✓
Plan. & Eval.	✓
Spec. Inv.	✓
Training	✓
Off. of Cong. & Public Affairs	✓
Telephone Rm.	✓
Director Sec'y	✓

In Our Opinion

Assign Congress To Hunt Bombers

[Handwritten signature]

(Indicate page, name of newspaper, city and state.)
Pg. 4
The Star Beacon
Ashtabula, Ohio

ORIGINAL FILED IN 174-5866-A

Here we go again.

The bombing of a State Department restroom and followup phone call from someone calling itself the "Weather Underground" is reminiscent of times past.

The bombers are apparently protesting any economic aid to non-Communists in South Vietnam and Cambodia.

There's a certain irony in the timing. At the very moment the CIA and FBI are being raked over the coals by self-righteous members of Congress, a radical group like the ones under surveillance in the late '60s and early '70s pulls off a terrorist act.

We wouldn't blame the FBI for turning the investigation of the latest bombing over to the congressional committee investigating government surveillance. Let the legislators handle it.

Some measure of watchfulness must be maintained over agencies like the FBI and CIA. The repugnant aspect of it all is congressmen leading the media bandwagon to roast the agencies.

Sens. study - '75

Many of these congressmen and senators knew bloody well what these agencies were doing in years past, but never raised a whisper, let alone a howl. Now, with home-grown terrorism seemingly behind us, the legislators play dumb and feign shock or outrage over what they call "disturbing revelations."

You can bet if a bomb went off in the Senate or House chambers, Congress would call out the FBI, CIA and any other agency versed in intelligence gathering.

What's more, the legislators would wonder why the FBI and CIA couldn't immediately produce the 10 most likely suspects.

"Where's your surveillance mechanism," some senator would demand.

What we have, folks, is Congress at its hypocritical worst.

Date: 1-30-75
Edition:
Author:
Editor: Don Rowley
Title: Miscellaneous

Character: Public relation
or Matters
Classification:
Submitting Office: Cleveland
 Being Investigated

CV 80-0

62-116395-A

NOT RECORDED
40 MAR 28 1975

[Handwritten initials]

F-32
MAR 3 1 1975

UNITED STATES GOVERNMENT

Memorandum

TO : Mr. W. R. Wannall

- 1 - Mr. Callahan
- 1 - Mr. Adams

DATE: 3/21/75

FROM : W. O. Cregar

- 1 - Each Assistant Director

- 1 - Mr. Cregar

SUBJECT: SENSTUDY 75

- Assoc. Dir. _____
- Dep. AD Adm. _____
- Dep. AD Inv. _____
- Asst. Dir.: _____
- Admin. _____
- Comp. Syst. _____
- Ext. Affairs _____
- Files & Com. _____
- Gen. Inv. _____
- Ident. _____
- Inspection _____
- Intell. _____
- Laboratory _____
- Legal Coun. _____
- Plan. & Eval. _____
- Spec. Inv. _____
- Training _____
- Telephone Rm. _____
- Director Sec'y _____

This memorandum reports the results of a meeting of the Executive Committee of the Ad Hoc Coordinating Group on Congressional Review of the Intelligence Community, (hereafter referred to as the Group), held at CIA Headquarters on the evening of 3/20/75.

This meeting was chaired by Mr. Colby in his role as the Director of Central Intelligence (DCI). In attendance were Mr. Philip Buchen, Counsel to the President; Deputy AG Laurence Silberman; Associate Deputy AG James Wilderotter; Mr. John Clarke, Associate Deputy to the DCI, as well as officials from Defense, Treasury and the Energy Research and Development Administration (formerly the Atomic Energy Commission).

The meeting was called to have all agencies identify (1) Types of information that could not be disclosed to Congressional Committees, (2) Types of information the disclosure of which was arguable, and (3) Types of information that could be disclosed to Congressional Committees. The FBI was asked to lead off the presentation. The Group was informed that we were reluctant to discuss sensitive matters in such a large group and we asked that the Group be reduced to a select few people who would have to have knowledge of sensitive FBI operations. After some discussion, the White House agreed this was a good procedure and it now appears that Mr. Buchen of the White House, one of his associates and probably Mr. Silberman will arrange at some future date to discuss the sensitive type information embraced in the above three questions. No definite date was set for this review and presumably either Mr. Clarke's office or Mr. Silberman will be in contact with the Bureau regarding this.

Enclosed herewith is a paper prepared by Mr. Clarke which attempts to outline a course the DCI can take in coordinating inquiries from the Senate and House Select Committees. Although

21 APR 9 1975

Enclosure
WOC:ebc
(17)

CONTINUED - OVER

APR 16 1975

5-1006

ALL INFORMATION CONTAINED
HEREIN IS UNCLASSIFIED
DATE 9/26/00 BY SP-2 AKM/STC

