

INDEX: YES NO
 CLASSIFY TO FILE NO. 50-2-22/4 CLASSIFIED MESSAGE TOTAL COPIES 30
 REF TO FILE NO. _____
 FILE RID REF. TO BRANCH
 DESTROY DATE: 2/21/76
SECRET
 PERSON/UNIT NOTIFIED _____
 REPRODUCTION PROHIBITED
 1 _____ 5 _____
 2 _____ 6 _____
 3 _____ 7 _____
 4 _____ 8 _____
 ACTION: WHY RID COPY ISSUED SLOTTED TUBED
 INFO: FILE W, EUR 8, SR 8, clips, FILMED

SECRET 151600Z CITE OTTAWA

OTTA 4135

APPROVED	WHY
ABSTRACT	INDEXED

MEXICO CITY INFO DIRECTOR

REDCOAT

REF # MEXICO CITY 0121

(IN 88248)

MEXICO CITY 9919

(IN 77928)

15 FEB 67 0138E

LOCAL LNERGO REP PASSED REF INFO RE LOWRY TO SMABOVE 13 FEB 67.

SECRET

BT

SECRET

KIFENT

50-2-32/4
15 FEB 67

INDEX YES NO 506 74 41 00000087

CLASSIFY TO FILE NO. SECRET CLASSIFIED MESSAGE TOTAL COPIES

X-REF TO FILE NO. _____

FILE RID RET. TO BRANCH SECRET REPRODUCTION PROHIBITED

DESTROY SIG. WALL PERSON/UNIT NOTIFIED

1		3
2		6
3		7
4		8

DISSEM BY AV

ACTION U.H.P. RID COPY ADVANCE COPY ISSUED SLOTTED TUBED

UNIT _____ TIME _____ BY _____

INFO FILE UR, C/1-2, EURS, S/P, WH/COG-8, C/OPS, FI, F/INT

SECRET 272155Z CITE MEXICO CITY 2121 MEXI

DIRECTOR INFO OTTAWA (500) MICROFILMED APR 14 1967

REDCOAT (INT 792) DOC. MICRO SER. 33248

PEF: MEXICO CITY 9919 (PLS RELAY TO OTTAWA)

AN	PS	U.H./1
ABSTRACT	X	INDEX
ABSTRACT	X	INDEX

1. PER LIFEAT DON LOWRY AGAIN CALLED LEONOV 19 JAN IDENTIFYING HIMSELF AS FROM CANADA. LOWRY HAD BEEN UNABLE TO CONTACT [REDACTED] BUT LEONOV SAID HE COULD NOT HELP HIM AND THAT HE SHOULD KEEP TRYING.

2. LOCAL LNERGO HAS RECORD OF ONE DONALD ROBERT LOWRY, BORN 25 NOV 1914 OR 1915 IN WALKERVILLE OR WINDSOR, ONTARIO.

LOCAL LNERGO IS NOTIFYING THEIR REPRESENTATIVES IN [REDACTED] WASHINGTON AND OTTAWA TO SEE IF LOWRY STILL ON WANTED LIST [REDACTED]

3. STATION HAS LIONION PHOTO OF MAN [REDACTED] ON 9 JAN AND SHOWS SOME RESEMBLANCE TO VERY OLD LNERGO PHOTO OF DONALD ROBERT LOWRY. CAN POUCH PRINTS IF OTTAWA STATION WISHES.

4. SUBSTANCE OF THE ABOVE AND INFO IN PEF MAY BE PASSED TO SMAROVES, DISGUIISING SOURCE. REQUEST OTTAWA STATION COORDINATE ANY ACTION WITH LNERGO.

SECRET
BT

SECRET

MICROFILMED
MAR 7 1967
DOC. MICRO. SER.

50-6-34/4-
30-6-34/4
27 JAN 67

CLASSIFIED MESSAGE **E** TOTAL COPIES **29**

SECRET
PERSON/UNIT NOTIFIED

REPRODUCTION PROHIBITED

1		5
2		6
3		7
4		8

ADVANCE COPY
 ISSUED SLOTTED TUBED

FILED SBP WH/COA-8 c/ops

S E C R E T 132130Z CITE MEXICO CITY
DIRECTOR
REDCOAT

MEX 77928
MICROFILMED
FEB 21 1967 13
DOC. MICRO. SER.

1. LIFEAT REPORTS THAT MAN GIVING HIS NAME AS DON
 * LOWRY, EVIDENTLY AMERICAN, WAS IN CONTACT WITH
 SOVIET PRESS ATTACHE LEONOV 271-132139 ON 9 JAN AND ALSO WITH
 CUBAN CULTURAL ATTACHE RICARDO ESCARTIN FERNANDEZ SAME DAY.
 LOWRY SAID HE HAD NEWSPAPER AND MAGAZINE EXPERIENCE AND HAD
 ALSO BEEN RADIO AND TELEVISION ANNOUNCER. ESCARTIN FERNANDEZ
 HAD AGREED TO SEND HIS LETTER AND WRITING SAMPLES TO CUBA
 IN NEXT POUCH AND TOLD HIM CHANCES WERE MAYBE TWENTY PERCENT,
 EVIDENTLY OF HIS GETTING A JOB THERE. LOWRY HAS TO WAIT
 AROUND MEXICO FOR TWO WEEKS, APPARENTLY UNTIL HE HEARS FROM
 CUBA.

2. LEONOV WAS NON-COMMITAL BUT SAID HE WOULD INTRODUCE
 LOWRY TO SOVIET CONSUL ON 10 JAN. CONVERSATION INDICATES
 THAT LOWRY HAD FIRST ASKED LEONOV ABOUT A JOB AND LEONOV
 HAD SUGGESTED HE TRY THE CUBAN EMBASSY.

3. REQUEST CABLED HEADQUARTERS TRACES. INFORMING
 LOCAL LMERCO.

S E C R E T
BT

SECRET

NO HQS TRACES

50-6-3244
13 JAN 67

DISPATCH		CLASSIFICATION SECRET	PROCESSING ACTION
TO	Chief, SA Division		MARKED FOR INDEXING
INFO	Chief of station, Mexico City		NO INDEXING REQUIRED
FROM	Chief of station, Fort au Prince <i>MSC</i>		ONLY QUALIFIED DESK CAN JUDGE INDEXING
			MICROFILM




DISPATCH		CLASSIFICATION SECRET	PROCESSING ACTION
TO	Deputy Chief WH/C		MARKED FOR INDEXING
INFO	Chief, WH Division		NO INDEXING REQUIRED
FROM	Chief of Station, Mexico City		ONLY QUALIFIED DESA CAN JUDGE INDEXING
SUBJECT	TYPIC/LIFEAT/Transmittal of Traffic of Subject of 201-735575 and [redacted]		MICROFILM
ACTION REQUIRED - REFERENCES			
<p>1. Attached as A are copies of traffic covering the Subject of 201-735575 for the period 1, 2, 4, and 7 June 1966. This traffic was provided Station Mexico by LIFEAT.</p> <p>2. Attached as B are copies of traffic covering [redacted] for the period 30 May - 12 June 1966. This traffic was also provided Station Mexico by LIFEAT.</p> <p>3. Attachments are being forwarded KAPOK and under separate cover.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><i>Willard C. Curtis</i> for Willard C. CURTIS</p> <p>Attachments: A & B a/s KAPOK u/s/c</p> <p>Distribution: ✓ 3 - DOWH/C w/atts u/s/c 1 - C/WHD w/o atts 4 - FILES</p> <p style="text-align: right;">LIFEAT CB DEFERRED 50-632/4</p>			
CROSS REFERENCE TO	DISPATCH SYMBOL AND NUMBER	DATE	
	IND4A-29211	16 June 1966	
	CLASSIFICATION	MO'S FILE NUMBER	
	SECRET	50-6-12/4	


2P

DISPATCH	CLASSIFICATION	PROCESSING ACTION
	TO Chief of Station, Fort-Lu-Prince ✓ Chief, HD	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> MARKED FOR INDEXING <input type="checkbox"/> NO INDEXING REQUIRED <input type="checkbox"/> ONLY QUALIFIED DESK <input type="checkbox"/> CAN ASSIGN INDEXING
	FROM Chief of Station, Fort-Lu-Prince	<input type="checkbox"/> UNCLASSIFIED


HMM


[Handwritten signature]



















00000










DISPATCH		CLASSIFICATION SECRET	PROCESSING ACTION
TO	Chief of Station, Santo Domingo		XXXX MARKED FOR INDEXING NO INDEXING REQUIRED ONLY QUALIFIED DESK CAN JUDGE INDEXING MICROFILM
INFO	Chief, WH Division		
FROM	Chief of Station, Mexico City		
SUBJECT	LIFEAT Coverage of [redacted] Mexico City		
ACTION REQUIRED REFERENCES			
<p>Attached for Addressees' information and retention are copies of the transcripts of the LIFEAT coverage of the [redacted] [redacted] in Mexico City, reels 21 through 34 for the period 2 to 9 May 1966.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">for Willard C. CURTIS</p> <p>Attachments: As stated above, h/w</p> <p>Distribution: 2 - CCS, Santo Domingo, w/atts ① - Chief, WHD, w/atts</p> <p style="text-align: right;"><i>[Handwritten Signature]</i></p>			
CS COPY		50-6 3214	
CROSS REFERENCE TO	DISPATCH SYMBOL AND NUMBER	DATE	
	HMMA-28843	10 May 1966	
	CLASSIFICATION	HQS FILE NUMBER	
	SECRET	50-9-3	








183-
















14-00000








































2025 RELEASE UNDER E.O. 14176





































































14-00000




1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65
66
67
68
69
70
71
72
73
74
75
76
77
78
79
80
81
82
83
84
85
86
87
88
89
90
91
92
93
94
95
96
97
98
99
100




14-00000








1

DISPATCH		CLASSIFICATION SECRET/KAPOK	PROCESSING ACTION	
TO DCWHD/C			XX	MARKED FOR INDEXING
INFO Chief, WH Division				NO INDEXING REQUIRED
FROM Chief of Station, Mexico City				ONLY QUALIFIED DESK CAN MAKE INDEXING
SUBJECT TYPIC/AMROD/LIFEAT				MICROFILM
ACTION REQUIRED REFERENCES				
<p>Action Required: Pass to Thomas G. URQUHART</p>				
<p>Forwarded herewith is verbatim conversation between Judith FERRETTO and Adeline ZENDEJAS on 20 November 1965. (Source: LIFEAT)</p>				
<p style="text-align: right;"><i>Willard C. Curtis</i> Willard C. CURTIS</p>				
<p>Attachments: As stated - Herewith</p>				
<p>Distribution: 2 - DCWHD/C w/att (h/w) 1 - C/WHD w/o/att 3 - Files</p>				
CROSS REFERENCE TO	DISPATCH SYMBOL	DATE	50-6-3214	
	EISGA 27885	15 December 1965		
	SECRET/KAPOK	HC'S FILE NUMBER	201 - 020481	


















Faint, illegible text or markings along the right edge of the page, possibly bleed-through from the reverse side.



DISPATCH		CLASSIFICATION SECRET	PROCESSING ACTION
TO Chief, MI Division			MARKED FOR INDEXING
INFO.		XX	NO INDEXING REQUIRED
FROM Chief of Station, Mexico City			ONLY QUALIFIED DESK CAN JUDGE INDEXING
SUBJECT Enrique SEMO Caley			MICROFILM
ACTION REQUIRED - REFERENCES			
<p>1. Attached for Headquarters' information and retention are copies of the LIFEAT coverage on Subject, reel #269 through reel #272 for the period 2 through 14 July 1965 and reel #1 through reel #16 for the period 31 July through 16 August 1965.</p> <p>2. This coverage was temporarily discontinued from 15 through 30 July because of technical difficulties with the instrument in the Semo home.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">for Willard C. CURTIS</p> <p>Attachment: As stated in paragraph 1</p> <p>Distribution: 3 - Chief, WID, w/att</p> <p style="text-align: right;"><i>File Lima 200</i></p>			
QSS REFERENCE TO	DISPATCH SYMBOL AND NUMBER	DATE	
	INMA-26,933	19 August 1965	
	CLASSIFICATION	HQ'S FILE NUMBER	
	SECRET	201-745927	

DISPATCH		CLASSIFICATION SECRET	PROCESSING ACTION
TO	Chief, EED		MARKED FOR INDEXING
INFO.		XX	NO INDEXING REQUIRED
FROM	Chief, WHD		ONLY QUALIFIED DESK CAN JUDGE INDEXING
SUBJECT	Chief of Station, Mexico City DMILET/LIFEAT/Operational Transmittal of LIFEAT Tapes and Transcripts		MICROFILM
ACTION REQUIRED - REFERENCES			
<p>1. Forwarded herewith for translation of the Yugoslav portions are the following LIFEAT tapes: 7, 9, 10, 11, 12 & 14. Also forwarded are the following Spanish transcripts: 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12 & 14.</p> <p>2. Because of the DMSAUCE/1 flap, the Spanish transcripts of the LIFEAT tapes will continue thru the end of this week (10 April), after that there will be no transcribing done in the field.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">for Willard C. CURTIS</p>			
<p><u>Attachment:</u> 1. As Stated (HEREWITH)</p> <p><u>Distribution:</u> 2 - EED w/att h/w X - WHD w/o/att 2 - Files</p> <p style="text-align: right;"><i>FILE LIFEAT Prod.</i></p>			
CROSS REFERENCE TO	DISPATCH SYMBOL AND NUMBER	DATE	
	HMMA-25814	8 April 1965	
	CLASSIFICATION	MCS FILE NUMBER	
	SECRET	SC-8-2/9	

CONFIDENTIAL

(COLLECTOR/REPORTING AGENCY: RECLASSIFY ACCORDING TO DATA ADDED BELOW)

CIA REQUEST FOR EVALUATION BY <u>Department of State</u>		EVALUATING AGENCY <u>London</u>	
DATE OF DISTRIB. <u>February 1963</u>	DISSEM. NUMBER <u>CS-3/538,352</u>	<u>Classified</u>	
CHIEF COUNTRY <u>Yugoslavia/Mexico</u>	FIELD NUMBER <u>HM 020-254-1</u>	<u>PLEASE RETURN EVALUATION</u>	
SUBJECT <u>Yugoslav Commercial Activities in Mexico</u>		BY FAX OF INR/CS - ROOM 6173	
EVALUATOR: Please complete this evaluation within <u> </u> days of receipt of request. Need for completion within this time is priority standard. Indicate choices by "X." If item marked is printed in italics, please explain under "Evaluator's Comments." Normally, put one X in each appropriate section. Otherwise, please cover each of these aspects in your comments. For further notes on use of this form, see reverse.			
SUBJECT OF THIS REPORT IS OF:		COLLECTOR'S COMMENTS AND QUESTIONS	
A 1. MAJOR X 2. MODERATE 3. LITTLE 4. NO		} IMPORTANCE IN RELATION TO OUR NEEDS. We would appreciate your comments evaluating this report.	
INFORMATION IN THIS REPORT: B CONTRIBUTES TO OUR KNOWLEDGE OF THE SUBJECT: 1. SIGNIFICANTLY. 2. SUBSTANTIALLY. X 3. MODERATELY. 4. NEGLIGIBLY.			
C ACCURACY: 1. SUBSTANTIALLY TRUE. X 2. CREDIBLE. 3. Doubtful. 4. False. 5. CANNOT BE JUDGED. SEE D.		EVALUATOR'S COMMENTS AND FOLLOW-UP QUESTIONS	
D RELATION TO OTHER INFORMATION: X 1. USEFUL FOR CONFIRMATION. 2. USEFUL FOR NEW INFORMATION. 3. Sufficiently known. 4. AT PRESENT WE LACK OTHER INFORMATION TO JUDGE ACCURACY OR USEFULNESS. 5. Conflicts with previous information. 6. Appears to be fabrication.		As a status report, document is of moderate value. Generally negative progress of Yugo attempts to establish a commercial foothold in Mexico not in itself of great interest to this office, at this time, but would increase in interest in direct relation to information on decision of Belgrade to grant a loan to Mexican govt.	
E USEFULNESS WOULD HAVE BEEN GREATER WITH: 1. More detail. 2. Less detail, more summary. 3. Less fragmentary approach. 4. Better organization. 5. Better observation or better exploitation of source, or both. 6. (STATED IN COMMENT.) X 7. NO DEFECT AFFECTING USEFULNESS.			
OUR COLLECTION NEEDS F OTHER REPORTING NEEDS: X 1. OUR IMPORTANT NEEDS. 2. OUR MINIMUM NEEDS. 3. MOST OF OUR MINIMUM NEEDS. 4. FEW OR NONE OF OUR NEEDS.			
G (ONLY IF YOUR REQUIREMENT IS CITED.) REPORT SATISFIES IT: 1. FULLY. REQUIREMENT CAN BE CLOSED. 2. Partially. Portion of requirement may be closed. 3. PARTIALLY, BUT NEED CONTINUES. 4. NEGLIGIBLY.			
H (IF SUBJECT IS IMPORTANT TO YOU, OTHER REPORTING DOES NOT MEET MINIMUM NEEDS, AND YOU HAVE FILED NO REQUIREMENT.) 1. HE WILL PRESENT A REQUIREMENT SOON. 2. OUR NEED DOES NOT WARRANT A REQUIREMENT FOR CS REPORTING.			
EVALUATOR	NAME (Please print) <u>P. Adler</u>	COMPONENT <u>INR/RSE/EA</u>	PHONE <u>x 3503</u>
	DATE REQUEST RECEIVED. <u>Mar 1</u>	DATE EVALUATION FORWARDED <u>Mar 6</u>	

CIA REQUEST FOR EVALUATION BY <u>State Department</u>		EVALUATING AGENCY															
DATE OF DISTRIB. <u>27 January 1961</u>	DISSEM. NUMBER <u>CS-3/463,061</u>	<i>Leifer</i>															
Chief Country <u>Mexico/Cuba</u>	FIELD NUMBER <u>HXY-5400</u>	<i>10 Apr 61</i>															
Subject <u>Mexican Technicians Going to Work in Cuba</u>																	
EVALUATOR: Please complete this evaluation within <u>60</u> days of receipt of request. Need for completion within this time is <u>priority</u> standard. Indicate choices by "X." If item marked is printed in italics, please explain under "Evaluator's Comments." Normally, put one X in each appropriate section. Otherwise, please cover each of these aspects in your comments. For further notes on use of this form, see reverse.																	
SUBJECT OF THIS REPORT IS OF:		COLLECTOR'S COMMENTS AND QUESTIONS															
A	<table border="1"> <tr><td><input type="checkbox"/></td><td>1. MAJOR</td></tr> <tr><td><input checked="" type="checkbox"/></td><td>2. MODERATE</td></tr> <tr><td><input type="checkbox"/></td><td>3. LITTLE</td></tr> <tr><td><input type="checkbox"/></td><td>4. NO</td></tr> </table>	<input type="checkbox"/>	1. MAJOR	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	2. MODERATE	<input type="checkbox"/>	3. LITTLE	<input type="checkbox"/>	4. NO	IMPORTANCE IN RELATION TO OUR NEEDS.							
<input type="checkbox"/>	1. MAJOR																
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	2. MODERATE																
<input type="checkbox"/>	3. LITTLE																
<input type="checkbox"/>	4. NO																
INFORMATION IN THIS REPORT:		This report would have been of greater value if it had included some comment on the political orientation of the technicians (if any) and whether they were being sent by the Mexican Government or were hired on a purely personal basis.															
B	CONTRIBUTES TO OUR KNOWLEDGE OF THE SUBJECT:																
	<table border="1"> <tr><td><input type="checkbox"/></td><td>1. SIGNIFICANTLY</td></tr> <tr><td><input type="checkbox"/></td><td>2. SUBSTANTIALLY</td></tr> <tr><td><input checked="" type="checkbox"/></td><td>3. MODERATELY</td></tr> <tr><td><input type="checkbox"/></td><td>4. NEGLIGIBLY</td></tr> </table>			<input type="checkbox"/>	1. SIGNIFICANTLY	<input type="checkbox"/>	2. SUBSTANTIALLY	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	3. MODERATELY	<input type="checkbox"/>	4. NEGLIGIBLY						
<input type="checkbox"/>	1. SIGNIFICANTLY																
<input type="checkbox"/>	2. SUBSTANTIALLY																
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	3. MODERATELY																
<input type="checkbox"/>	4. NEGLIGIBLY																
C	ACCURACY:																
	<table border="1"> <tr><td><input checked="" type="checkbox"/></td><td>1. SUBSTANTIALLY TRUE</td></tr> <tr><td><input checked="" type="checkbox"/></td><td>2. CREDIBLE</td></tr> <tr><td><input type="checkbox"/></td><td>3. <i>Doubtful</i></td></tr> <tr><td><input type="checkbox"/></td><td>4. <i>False</i></td></tr> <tr><td><input type="checkbox"/></td><td>5. CANNOT BE JUDGED. SEE D.</td></tr> </table>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	1. SUBSTANTIALLY TRUE	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	2. CREDIBLE	<input type="checkbox"/>	3. <i>Doubtful</i>	<input type="checkbox"/>	4. <i>False</i>	<input type="checkbox"/>	5. CANNOT BE JUDGED. SEE D.						
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	1. SUBSTANTIALLY TRUE																
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	2. CREDIBLE																
<input type="checkbox"/>	3. <i>Doubtful</i>																
<input type="checkbox"/>	4. <i>False</i>																
<input type="checkbox"/>	5. CANNOT BE JUDGED. SEE D.																
D	RELATION TO OTHER INFORMATION:																
	<table border="1"> <tr><td><input checked="" type="checkbox"/></td><td>1. USEFUL FOR CONFIRMATION</td></tr> <tr><td><input checked="" type="checkbox"/></td><td>2. USEFUL FOR NEW INFORMATION</td></tr> <tr><td><input type="checkbox"/></td><td>3. <i>Sufficiently known</i></td></tr> <tr><td><input type="checkbox"/></td><td>4. AT PRESENT WE LACK OTHER INFORMATION TO JUDGE ACCURACY OR USEFULNESS</td></tr> <tr><td><input type="checkbox"/></td><td>5. <i>Conflicts with previous information</i></td></tr> <tr><td><input type="checkbox"/></td><td>6. <i>Appears to be fabrication</i></td></tr> </table>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	1. USEFUL FOR CONFIRMATION	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	2. USEFUL FOR NEW INFORMATION	<input type="checkbox"/>	3. <i>Sufficiently known</i>	<input type="checkbox"/>	4. AT PRESENT WE LACK OTHER INFORMATION TO JUDGE ACCURACY OR USEFULNESS	<input type="checkbox"/>	5. <i>Conflicts with previous information</i>	<input type="checkbox"/>	6. <i>Appears to be fabrication</i>				
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	1. USEFUL FOR CONFIRMATION																
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	2. USEFUL FOR NEW INFORMATION																
<input type="checkbox"/>	3. <i>Sufficiently known</i>																
<input type="checkbox"/>	4. AT PRESENT WE LACK OTHER INFORMATION TO JUDGE ACCURACY OR USEFULNESS																
<input type="checkbox"/>	5. <i>Conflicts with previous information</i>																
<input type="checkbox"/>	6. <i>Appears to be fabrication</i>																
E	USEFULNESS WOULD HAVE BEEN GREATER WITH:																
	<table border="1"> <tr><td><input type="checkbox"/></td><td>1. <i>More detail</i></td></tr> <tr><td><input type="checkbox"/></td><td>2. <i>Less detail, more summary</i></td></tr> <tr><td><input type="checkbox"/></td><td>3. <i>Less fragmentary approach</i></td></tr> <tr><td><input type="checkbox"/></td><td>4. <i>Better organization</i></td></tr> <tr><td><input type="checkbox"/></td><td>5. <i>Better observation or better exploitation of source, or both.</i></td></tr> <tr><td><input checked="" type="checkbox"/></td><td>6. (STATED IN COMMENT)</td></tr> <tr><td><input type="checkbox"/></td><td>7. NO DEFECT AFFECTING USEFULNESS.</td></tr> </table>	<input type="checkbox"/>	1. <i>More detail</i>	<input type="checkbox"/>	2. <i>Less detail, more summary</i>	<input type="checkbox"/>	3. <i>Less fragmentary approach</i>	<input type="checkbox"/>	4. <i>Better organization</i>	<input type="checkbox"/>	5. <i>Better observation or better exploitation of source, or both.</i>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	6. (STATED IN COMMENT)	<input type="checkbox"/>	7. NO DEFECT AFFECTING USEFULNESS.		
<input type="checkbox"/>	1. <i>More detail</i>																
<input type="checkbox"/>	2. <i>Less detail, more summary</i>																
<input type="checkbox"/>	3. <i>Less fragmentary approach</i>																
<input type="checkbox"/>	4. <i>Better organization</i>																
<input type="checkbox"/>	5. <i>Better observation or better exploitation of source, or both.</i>																
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	6. (STATED IN COMMENT)																
<input type="checkbox"/>	7. NO DEFECT AFFECTING USEFULNESS.																
OUR COLLECTION NEEDS																	
F	OTHER REPORTING MEETS:																
	<table border="1"> <tr><td><input type="checkbox"/></td><td>1. OUR IMPORTANT NEEDS.</td></tr> <tr><td><input type="checkbox"/></td><td>2. OUR MINIMUM NEEDS.</td></tr> <tr><td><input type="checkbox"/></td><td>3. MOST OF OUR MINIMUM NEEDS.</td></tr> <tr><td><input type="checkbox"/></td><td>4. FEW OR NONE OF OUR NEEDS.</td></tr> </table>	<input type="checkbox"/>	1. OUR IMPORTANT NEEDS.	<input type="checkbox"/>	2. OUR MINIMUM NEEDS.	<input type="checkbox"/>	3. MOST OF OUR MINIMUM NEEDS.	<input type="checkbox"/>	4. FEW OR NONE OF OUR NEEDS.								
<input type="checkbox"/>	1. OUR IMPORTANT NEEDS.																
<input type="checkbox"/>	2. OUR MINIMUM NEEDS.																
<input type="checkbox"/>	3. MOST OF OUR MINIMUM NEEDS.																
<input type="checkbox"/>	4. FEW OR NONE OF OUR NEEDS.																
G	(ONLY IF YOUR REQUIREMENT IS CITED.) REPORT SATISFIES IT:																
	<table border="1"> <tr><td><input type="checkbox"/></td><td>1. FULLY. REQUIREMENT CAN BE CLOSED.</td></tr> <tr><td><input type="checkbox"/></td><td>2. <i>partially. Portion of requirement may be closed.</i></td></tr> <tr><td><input type="checkbox"/></td><td>3. PARTIALLY. BUT NEED CONTINUES.</td></tr> <tr><td><input type="checkbox"/></td><td>4. NEGLIGIBLY</td></tr> </table>	<input type="checkbox"/>	1. FULLY. REQUIREMENT CAN BE CLOSED.	<input type="checkbox"/>	2. <i>partially. Portion of requirement may be closed.</i>	<input type="checkbox"/>	3. PARTIALLY. BUT NEED CONTINUES.	<input type="checkbox"/>	4. NEGLIGIBLY								
<input type="checkbox"/>	1. FULLY. REQUIREMENT CAN BE CLOSED.																
<input type="checkbox"/>	2. <i>partially. Portion of requirement may be closed.</i>																
<input type="checkbox"/>	3. PARTIALLY. BUT NEED CONTINUES.																
<input type="checkbox"/>	4. NEGLIGIBLY																
H	(IF SUBJECT IS IMPORTANT TO YOU, OTHER REPORTING DOES NOT MEET MINIMUM NEEDS, AND YOU HAVE FILED NO REQUIREMENT.)																
	<table border="1"> <tr><td><input type="checkbox"/></td><td>1. WE WILL PRESENT A REQUIREMENT SOON.</td></tr> <tr><td><input type="checkbox"/></td><td>2. OUR NEED DOES NOT WARRANT A REQUIREMENT FOR CS REPORTING.</td></tr> </table>	<input type="checkbox"/>	1. WE WILL PRESENT A REQUIREMENT SOON.	<input type="checkbox"/>	2. OUR NEED DOES NOT WARRANT A REQUIREMENT FOR CS REPORTING.												
<input type="checkbox"/>	1. WE WILL PRESENT A REQUIREMENT SOON.																
<input type="checkbox"/>	2. OUR NEED DOES NOT WARRANT A REQUIREMENT FOR CS REPORTING.																
EVALUATOR	NAME (Please print) <u>Lawrence S. Eagleburger</u>	COMPONENT <u>State/INR/RAR/MAB</u>	PHONE <u>182-2097</u>														
	DATE REQUEST RECEIVED <u>March 17, 1961</u>	DATE EVALUATION FORWARDED <u>March 22, 1961.</u>															

REFAT
1, 1/2, 1/3, 1/4, 1/5, 1/6, 1/7, 1/8, 1/9, 1/10, 1/11, 1/12, 1/13, 1/14, 1/15, 1/16, 1/17, 1/18, 1/19, 1/20, 1/21, 1/22, 1/23, 1/24, 1/25, 1/26, 1/27, 1/28, 1/29, 1/30, 1/31, 1/32, 1/33, 1/34, 1/35, 1/36, 1/37, 1/38, 1/39, 1/40, 1/41, 1/42, 1/43, 1/44, 1/45, 1/46, 1/47, 1/48, 1/49, 1/50, 1/51, 1/52, 1/53, 1/54, 1/55, 1/56, 1/57, 1/58, 1/59, 1/60, 1/61, 1/62, 1/63, 1/64, 1/65, 1/66, 1/67, 1/68, 1/69, 1/70, 1/71, 1/72, 1/73, 1/74, 1/75, 1/76, 1/77, 1/78, 1/79, 1/80, 1/81, 1/82, 1/83, 1/84, 1/85, 1/86, 1/87, 1/88, 1/89, 1/90, 1/91, 1/92, 1/93, 1/94, 1/95, 1/96, 1/97, 1/98, 1/99, 1/100

CIA REQUEST FOR EVALUATION BY		Department of State	
EVALUATING AGENCY			
DATE OF DISTRIB.	2 November 1960	DISSEM. NUMBER	CS-3,454,933 <i>PAI</i>
Chief Country	Mexico/Nicaragua	FIELD NUMBER	HMM-5264
Subject		Nicaraguan Revolutionary Activities in Mexico	
EVALUATOR: Please complete this evaluation within 60 days of receipt of request. Need for completion within this time is critical /standard. Indicate choices by "x." If item marked is printed in italics, please explain under "Evaluator's Comments." Normally, put one X in each appropriate section. Otherwise, please cover each of these aspects in your comments. For further NOTES on use of this form, see reverse.			
SUBJECT OF THIS REPORT IS OF:		COLLECTOR'S COMMENTS AND QUESTIONS	
A 1. Major <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 2. MODERATE 3. LITTLE 4. NO		IMPORTANCE IN RELATION TO OUR NEEDS.	
INFORMATION IN THIS REPORT: B CONTRIBUTES TO OUR KNOWLEDGE OF THE SUBJECT: 1. SIGNIFICANTLY 2. SUBSTANTIALLY <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 3. MODERATELY 4. NEGLIGIBLY			
C ACCURACY: 1. SUBSTANTIALLY TRUE <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 2. CREDIBLE 3. <i>Doubtful</i> 4. <i>False</i> 5. CANNOT BE JUDGED. SEE D.		EVALUATOR'S COMMENTS AND FOLLOW-UP QUESTIONS	
D RELATION TO OTHER INFORMATION: 1. USEFUL FOR CONFIRMATION <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 2. USEFUL FOR NEW INFORMATION 3. <i>Sufficiently known</i> 4. AT PRESENT WE LACK OTHER INFORMATION TO JUDGE ACCURACY OR USEFULNESS 5. <i>Conflicts with previous information</i> 6. <i>Appears to be fabrication</i>			
E USEFULNESS WOULD HAVE BEEN GREATER WITH: 1. <i>More detail</i> 2. <i>Less detail, more summary</i> 3. <i>Less fragmentary approach</i> 4. <i>Better organization</i> 5. <i>Better observation or better exploitation of source, or both.</i> 6. (STATED IN COMMENT) 7. NO DEFECT AFFECTING USEFULNESS.			
F OUR COLLECTION NEEDS: OTHER REPORTING MEETS: 1. OUR IMPORTANT NEEDS. 2. OUR MINIMUM NEEDS. 3. MOST OF OUR MINIMUM NEEDS. 4. FEW OR NONE OF OUR NEEDS.			
G (ONLY IF YOUR REQUIREMENT IS CITED.) REPORT SATISFIES IT: 1. FULLY. REQUIREMENT CAN BE CLOSED. 2. <i>partially. portion of requirement may be closed.</i> 3. PARTIALLY. BUT NEED CONTINUES. 4. NEGLIGIBLY			
H (IF SUBJECT IS IMPORTANT TO YOU, OTHER REPORTING DOES NOT MEET MINIMUM NEEDS, AND YOU HAVE FILED NO REQUIREMENT.) 1. WE WILL PRESENT A REQUIREMENT SOON. 2. OUR NEED DOES NOT WARRANT A REQUIREMENT FOR CS REPORTING.			
EVALUATOR	NAME (Please print)		COMPONENT
	RT Morrison		INR/RAR-P
	DATE REQUEST RECEIVED		PHONE
	Nov 15-1960		3327
	DATE EVALUATION FORWARDED		
	Nov 16/60		

CONFIDENTIAL

(COLLECTOR/E. UNIT: RECLASSIFY ACCORDING TO DATA ADDED BELOW)

See last page of report

CIA REQUEST FOR EVALUATION BY		Department of State						
DATE OF DISTRIB.		EVALUATING AGENCY						
1 November 1960	DISSEM. NUMBER	CS-3/454,903	<i>Limit to 1000</i>					
Chief Country	FIELD NUMBER	MEX-5245	<i>17 June 61</i>					
Subject: Propaganda Activities of the Cuban Embassy in Mexico								
EVALUATOR: Please complete this evaluation within 60 days of receipt of request. Need for completion within this time is XXXX standard. Indicate choices by "x." If item marked is printed in italics, please explain under "Evaluator's Comments." normally, put one x in each appropriate section. otherwise, please cover each of these aspects in your comments. For further NOTES on use of this form, see reverse.								
SUBJECT OF THIS REPORT IS OF:		COLLECTOR'S COMMENTS AND QUESTIONS						
A	<table border="1"> <tr> <td>1. Major</td> <td rowspan="4">} IMPORTANCE IN RELATION TO OUR NEEDS.</td> </tr> <tr> <td><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 2. MODERATE</td> </tr> <tr> <td>3. LITTLE</td> </tr> <tr> <td>4. NO</td> </tr> </table>	1. Major	} IMPORTANCE IN RELATION TO OUR NEEDS.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 2. MODERATE	3. LITTLE	4. NO		
1. Major	} IMPORTANCE IN RELATION TO OUR NEEDS.							
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 2. MODERATE								
3. LITTLE								
4. NO								
INFORMATION IN THIS REPORT:								
B	CONTRIBUTES TO OUR KNOWLEDGE OF THE SUBJECT:	EVALUATOR'S COMMENTS AND FOLLOW-UP QUESTIONS						
<table border="1"> <tr> <td>1. SIGNIFICANTLY</td> </tr> <tr> <td>2. SUBSTANTIALLY</td> </tr> <tr> <td><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 3. MODERATELY</td> </tr> <tr> <td>4. NEGLIGIBLY</td> </tr> </table>				1. SIGNIFICANTLY	2. SUBSTANTIALLY	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 3. MODERATELY	4. NEGLIGIBLY	
1. SIGNIFICANTLY								
2. SUBSTANTIALLY								
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 3. MODERATELY								
4. NEGLIGIBLY								
C	ACCURACY:							
<table border="1"> <tr> <td>1. SUBSTANTIALLY TRUE</td> </tr> <tr> <td><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 2. CREDIBLE</td> </tr> <tr> <td>3. <i>Doubtful</i></td> </tr> <tr> <td>4. <i>False</i></td> </tr> <tr> <td>5. CANNOT BE JUDGED. SEE D.</td> </tr> </table>				1. SUBSTANTIALLY TRUE	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 2. CREDIBLE	3. <i>Doubtful</i>	4. <i>False</i>	5. CANNOT BE JUDGED. SEE D.
1. SUBSTANTIALLY TRUE								
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 2. CREDIBLE								
3. <i>Doubtful</i>								
4. <i>False</i>								
5. CANNOT BE JUDGED. SEE D.								
D	RELATION TO OTHER INFORMATION:							
<table border="1"> <tr> <td>1. USEFUL FOR CONFIRMATION</td> </tr> <tr> <td><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 2. USEFUL FOR NEW INFORMATION</td> </tr> <tr> <td>3. <i>Sufficiently known</i></td> </tr> <tr> <td>4. AT PRESENT WE LACK OTHER INFORMATION TO JUDGE ACCURACY OR USEFULNESS</td> </tr> <tr> <td>5. <i>Conflicts with previous information</i></td> </tr> <tr> <td>6. <i>Appears to be fabrication</i></td> </tr> </table>				1. USEFUL FOR CONFIRMATION	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 2. USEFUL FOR NEW INFORMATION	3. <i>Sufficiently known</i>	4. AT PRESENT WE LACK OTHER INFORMATION TO JUDGE ACCURACY OR USEFULNESS	5. <i>Conflicts with previous information</i>
1. USEFUL FOR CONFIRMATION								
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 2. USEFUL FOR NEW INFORMATION								
3. <i>Sufficiently known</i>								
4. AT PRESENT WE LACK OTHER INFORMATION TO JUDGE ACCURACY OR USEFULNESS								
5. <i>Conflicts with previous information</i>								
6. <i>Appears to be fabrication</i>								
E	USEFULNESS WOULD HAVE BEEN GREATER WITH:							
<table border="1"> <tr> <td>1. <i>More detail</i></td> </tr> <tr> <td>2. <i>Less detail, more summary</i></td> </tr> <tr> <td>3. <i>Less fragmentary approach</i></td> </tr> <tr> <td>4. <i>Better organization</i></td> </tr> <tr> <td>5. <i>Better observation or better exploitation of source, or both.</i></td> </tr> <tr> <td>6. (STATED IN COMMENT)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>7. NO DEFECT AFFECTING USEFULNESS.</td> </tr> </table>				1. <i>More detail</i>	2. <i>Less detail, more summary</i>	3. <i>Less fragmentary approach</i>	4. <i>Better organization</i>	5. <i>Better observation or better exploitation of source, or both.</i>
1. <i>More detail</i>								
2. <i>Less detail, more summary</i>								
3. <i>Less fragmentary approach</i>								
4. <i>Better organization</i>								
5. <i>Better observation or better exploitation of source, or both.</i>								
6. (STATED IN COMMENT)								
7. NO DEFECT AFFECTING USEFULNESS.								
OUR COLLECTION NEEDS								
F	OTHER REPORTING MEETS:							
<table border="1"> <tr> <td>1. OUR IMPORTANT NEEDS.</td> </tr> <tr> <td>2. OUR MINIMUM NEEDS.</td> </tr> <tr> <td>3. MOST OF OUR MINIMUM NEEDS.</td> </tr> <tr> <td>4. FEW OR NONE OF OUR NEEDS.</td> </tr> </table>				1. OUR IMPORTANT NEEDS.	2. OUR MINIMUM NEEDS.	3. MOST OF OUR MINIMUM NEEDS.	4. FEW OR NONE OF OUR NEEDS.	
1. OUR IMPORTANT NEEDS.								
2. OUR MINIMUM NEEDS.								
3. MOST OF OUR MINIMUM NEEDS.								
4. FEW OR NONE OF OUR NEEDS.								
G	(ONLY IF YOUR REQUIREMENT IS CITED.) REPORT SATISFIES IT:							
<table border="1"> <tr> <td>1. FULLY. REQUIREMENT CAN BE CLOSED.</td> </tr> <tr> <td>2. <i>partially. Portion of requirement may be closed.</i></td> </tr> <tr> <td>3. PARTIALLY. BUT NEED CONTINUES.</td> </tr> <tr> <td>4. NEGLIGIBLY</td> </tr> </table>				1. FULLY. REQUIREMENT CAN BE CLOSED.	2. <i>partially. Portion of requirement may be closed.</i>	3. PARTIALLY. BUT NEED CONTINUES.	4. NEGLIGIBLY	
1. FULLY. REQUIREMENT CAN BE CLOSED.								
2. <i>partially. Portion of requirement may be closed.</i>								
3. PARTIALLY. BUT NEED CONTINUES.								
4. NEGLIGIBLY								
H	(IF SUBJECT IS IMPORTANT TO YOU, OTHER REPORTING DOES NOT MEET MINIMUM NEEDS, AND YOU HAVE FILED NO REQUIREMENT.)							
<table border="1"> <tr> <td>1. WE WILL PRESENT A REQUIREMENT SOON.</td> </tr> <tr> <td>2. OUR NEED DOES NOT WARRANT A REQUIREMENT FOR CS REPORTING.</td> </tr> </table>				1. WE WILL PRESENT A REQUIREMENT SOON.	2. OUR NEED DOES NOT WARRANT A REQUIREMENT FOR CS REPORTING.			
1. WE WILL PRESENT A REQUIREMENT SOON.								
2. OUR NEED DOES NOT WARRANT A REQUIREMENT FOR CS REPORTING.								
EVALUATOR	NAME (Please print)	COMPONENT	PHONE					
	RT Morrison	INTR ARRP	3327					
	DATE REQUEST RECEIVED	DATE EVALUATION FORWARDED						
	Nov 18 1960	Nov 18 1960						

*1 FEAT
 Prud Solder*

CIA REQUEST FOR EVALUATION BY		OSI	
EVALUATING AGENCY			
DATE OF DISTRIB: 26 September 1960	DISSEM NUMBER: CS 3/451,014	<i>Leibel 18 June 61</i>	
Chief Country: Mexico/Soviet Union	FIELD NUMBER: EM4 5159		
Subject: Mexican Government Purchase of Soviet Radioisotopes			
EVALUATOR: Please complete this evaluation within 30 days of receipt of request. Aim for completion within this time is XXXXXX standard. Indicate choices by "X." If item marked is printed in italics, please explain under "Evaluator's Comments." Normally, put one X in each appropriate section. Otherwise, please cover each of these aspects in your comments. For further NOTES on use of this form, see reverse.			
SUBJECT OF THIS REPORT IS OF:		COLLECTOR'S COMMENTS AND QUESTIONS	
1. Major 2. MODERATE A3 3. LITTLE 4. NO	IMPORTANCE IN RELATION TO OUR NEEDS.		
INFORMATION IN THIS REPORT:			
CONTRIBUTES TO OUR KNOWLEDGE OF THE SUBJECT:		EVALUATOR'S COMMENTS AND FOLLOW-UP QUESTIONS	
1. SIGNIFICANTLY 2. SUBSTANTIALLY 3. MODERATELY B4 4. NEGLIGIBLY	ACCURACY:		
1. SUBSTANTIALLY TRUE C2 2. CREDIBLE 3. Doubtful 4. False 5. CANNOT BE JUDGED. SEE D.	RELATION TO OTHER INFORMATION:		
1. USEFUL FOR CONFIRMATION 2. USEFUL FOR NEW INFORMATION D3 3. Sufficiently known 4. AT PRESENT WE LACK OTHER INFORMATION TO JUDGE ACCURACY OR USEFULNESS 5. Conflicts with previous information 6. Appears to be fabrication			
USEFULNESS WOULD HAVE BEEN GREATER WITH:		OUR COLLECTION NEEDS	
1. more detail 2. Less detail, more summary 3. Less fragmentary approach 4. Better organization 5. Better observation or better exploitation of source, or both. 6. (STATED IN COMMENT)			
OTHER REPORTING NEEDS:		OTHER REPORTING NEEDS:	
F1 1. OUR IMPORTANT NEEDS. 2. OUR MINIMUM NEEDS. 3. MOST OF OUR MINIMUM NEEDS. 4. FEW OR NONE OF OUR NEEDS.			
(ONLY IF OUR REQUIREMENT IS CITED.) REPORT SATISFIES IT:		OTHER REPORTING NEEDS:	
1. FULLY. REQUIREMENT CAN BE CLOSED. 2. Partially. Portion of requirement may be closed. 3. PARTIALLY BUT NEED CONTINUES. 4. NEGLIGIBLY			
(IF SUBJECT IS IMPORTANT TO YOU, OTHER REPORTING DOES NOT MEET MINIMUM NEEDS, AND YOU HAVE FILED NO REQUIREMENT.)		OTHER REPORTING NEEDS:	
1. WE WILL PRESENT A REQUIREMENT EVEN 2. OUR NEED DOES NOT WARRANT A REQUIREMENT AND IS REPORTING.			
E2		Coordinated with LSD/SI - G. W. DeLong	
EVALUATOR: <i>Wallace W. Howard</i> WALLACE W. HOWARD		COMPONENT: OSI/RED	PHONE: 3955
DATE REQUEST RECEIVED: <i>18 June 61</i>		DATE EVALUATION FORWARDED: <i>18 June 61</i>	

LIFEAT
Production

CIA REQUEST FOR EVALUATION BY		United States Information Agency	
DATE OF DISTRIB.		DISSEM. NUMBER	EVALUATING AGENCY
1 November 1960		CS-3/454,933	
Chief Country	FIELD NUMBER		
Mexico/Cuba	HC4-5245	22 Nov-60	
Subject: Propaganda Activities of the Cuban Embassy in Mexico			
EVALUATOR: Please complete this evaluation within 30 days of receipt of request. Need for completion within this time is XXXXX standard. Indicate choices by "x." If item marked is printed in italics, please explain under "Evaluators Comments." Normally, put one x in each appropriate section. Otherwise, please cover each of these aspects in your comments. For further NOTES on use of this form, see reverse.			
SUBJECT OF THIS REPORT IS OF:		COLLECTOR'S COMMENTS AND QUESTIONS	
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 1. Major <input type="checkbox"/> 2. MODERATE <input type="checkbox"/> 3. LITTLE <input type="checkbox"/> 4. NO		IMPORTANCE IN RELATION TO OUR NEEDS.	
INFORMATION IN THIS REPORT: CONTRIBUTES TO OUR KNOWLEDGE OF THE SUBJECT: <input type="checkbox"/> 1. SIGNIFICANTLY <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 2. SUBSTANTIALLY <input type="checkbox"/> 3. MODERATELY <input type="checkbox"/> 4. NEGLIGIBLY			
ACCURACY:		EVALUATOR'S COMMENTS AND FOLLOW-UP QUESTIONS	
<input type="checkbox"/> 1. SUBSTANTIALLY TRUE <input type="checkbox"/> 2. CREDIBLE <input type="checkbox"/> 3. Doubtful <input type="checkbox"/> 4. False <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 5. CANNOT BE JUDGED. SEE D.		Information on Cuban propaganda activities in Latin America is of major and continuing importance to this office, which must evaluate the nature, scope, and extent of these activities in order to combat them.	
RELATION TO OTHER INFORMATION: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 1. USEFUL FOR CONFIRMATION <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 2. USEFUL FOR NEW INFORMATION <input type="checkbox"/> 3. Sufficiently known <input type="checkbox"/> 4. AT PRESENT WE LACK OTHER INFORMATION TO JUDGE ACCURACY OR USEFULNESS <input type="checkbox"/> 5. Conflicts with previous information <input type="checkbox"/> 6. Appears to be fabrication			
USEFULNESS WOULD HAVE BEEN GREATER WITH:			
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 1. More detail <input type="checkbox"/> 2. Less detail, more summary <input type="checkbox"/> 3. Less fragmentary approach <input type="checkbox"/> 4. Better organization <input type="checkbox"/> 5. Better observation or better exploitation of source, or both. <input type="checkbox"/> 6. (STATED IN COMMENT) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 7. NO DEFECT AFFECTING USEFULNESS.			
OUR COLLECTION NEEDS			
OTHER REPORTING MEETS:			
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 1. OUR IMPORTANT NEEDS. <input type="checkbox"/> 2. OUR MINIMUM NEEDS. <input type="checkbox"/> 3. MOST OF OUR MINIMUM NEEDS. <input type="checkbox"/> 4. FEW OR NONE OF OUR NEEDS.			
(ONLY IF YOUR REQUIREMENT IS CITED.) REPORT SATISFIES IT:			
<input type="checkbox"/> 1. FULLY. REQUIREMENT CAN BE CLOSED. <input type="checkbox"/> 2. Partly. Portion of requirement may be closed. <input type="checkbox"/> 3. PARTIALLY. BUT NEED CONTINUES. <input type="checkbox"/> 4. NEGLIGIBLY			
(IF SUBJECT IS IMPORTANT TO YOU, OTHER REPORTING DOES NOT MEET MINIMUM NEEDS, AND YOU HAVE FILED NO REQUIREMENT.)			
<input type="checkbox"/> 1. WE WILL PRESENT A REQUIREMENT SOON. <input type="checkbox"/> 2. OUR NEED DOES NOT WARRANT A REQUIREMENT FOR CS REPORTING.			
EVALUATOR	NAME (Please print)	COMPONENT	PHONE
	Flora M. Roth	Office of Research and Analysis, USIA	182-5475
	DATE REQUEST RECEIVED	DATE EVALUATION FORWARDED	
	November 8, 1960	November 14, 1960	

LIFEAT
 Prod. Goldsby

CIA REQUEST FOR EVALUATION BY		Department of State.			
EVALUATING AGENCY					
DATE OF REPORT:	3 October 1960	DISSEM. NUMBER	CS-3/L51,671		
Chief Country	Netherlands/Poland	FIELD NUMBER	EG-5186		
Subject: Projected Arrival in Mexico of Polish Travel Officials					
(EVALUATOR: Please complete this evaluation within 30 days of receipt of request. Need for completion within this time is <u>agency</u> standing. Indicate choices by "1." If item marked is printed in italics, please explain under "Evaluators Comments." Normally, put one X in each appropriate section. Otherwise, please cover each of these aspects in your comments. For further notes on use of this form, see reverse.)					
SUBJECT OF THIS REPORT IS OF:		COLLECTOR'S COMMENTS AND QUESTIONS			
A. IMPORTANCE IN RELATION TO OUR NEEDS: 1. Major 2. MODERATE 3. LITTLE 4. NO		* Is this information of sufficient use or value to warrant dissemination?			
INFORMATION IN THIS REPORT:		EVALUATOR'S COMMENTS AND FOLLOW-UP QUESTIONS			
B. CONTRIBUTES TO OUR KNOWLEDGE OF THE SUBJECT: 1. SIGNIFICANTLY 2. SUBSTANTIALLY 3. MODERATELY 4. NEGLIGIBLY		Information of this nature is of value to RAR, for it provides new data and/or corroboration of information from other sources on Latin American - Bloc contacts. RAR has continuing interest in receipt of reports of this nature.			
C. ACCURACY: 1. SUBSTANTIALLY TRUE 2. CREDIBLE 3. Doubtful 4. False 5. CANNOT BE JUDGED. SEE D.					
D. RELATION TO OTHER INFORMATION: 1. USEFUL FOR CORROBORATION 2. USEFUL FOR NEW INFORMATION 3. Sufficiently known 4. AT PRESENT WE LACK OTHER INFORMATION TO JUDGE ACCURACY OR USEFULNESS 5. Conflicts with previous information 6. Appears to be fabrication					
E. USEFULNESS WOULD HAVE BEEN GREATER B.T.M. 1. More detail 2. Less detail, more summary 3. Less fragmentary approach 4. Better organization 5. Better observation or better explanation of source, or both 6. STATED IN COMMENTS 7. NO DEFECT AFFECTING USEFULNESS.					
OUR COLLECTION NEEDS					
F. OTHER REPORTING NEEDS: 1. OUR IMPORTANT NEEDS. 2. OUR MINIMUM NEEDS. 3. MOST OF OUR MIN. NEEDS. 4. FEW OR NONE OF OUR NEEDS.					
G. (UNLESS YOUR REQUIREMENT IS CITED, REPORT SATISFIED IT) 1. FULLY. REQUIREMENT CAN BE CLOSED 2. partially. Portion of requirement may be closed. 3. PARTIALLY, BUT NOT CONTINUED. 4. NEGLIGIBLY					
H. (IF SUBJECT IS IMPORTANT TO YOU, OTHER REPORTING DOES NOT MEET MINIMUM NEEDS, AND YOU HAVE FILED NO REQUIREMENT) 1. WE WILL PRESENT A REQUIREMENT SOON. 2. OUR NEED DOES NOT WARRANT A REQUIREMENT FOR OS REPORTING.					
EVALUATOR				COMPONENT	PHONE
NAME (Please print)				IMR/RAR-01	33-7
DATE REPORT RECEIVED		DATE EVALUATION FORWARDED			
OCT 10 1960		OCT 10 1960			

CONFIDENTIAL

(COLLECTOR'S EVALUATOR: RECLASSIFY ACCORDING TO A ADDED BELOW)

LIFEAT
Production

CIA REQUEST FOR EVALUATION BY		ORR	Reo in OR 51-60
EVALUATING AGENCY			
DATE OF DISTRIB.	26 September 1960	DISSEM. NUMBER	CS-3/251,011
Chief Country	Mexico/Soviet Union	FIELD NUMBER	EMX-5259
Subject		Mexican Government Purchase of Soviet Radioisotopes	
EVALUATOR: Please complete this evaluation within 60 days of receipt of request. Meet for completion within this time is XXXXXX standard. Indicate choices by "x." If item marked is printed in italics, please explain under "Evaluator's Comments." normally, put one X in each appropriate section. Otherwise, please cover each of these aspects in your comments. For further notes on use of this form, see reverse.			
SUBJECT OF THIS REPORT IS OF:		COLLECTOR'S COMMENTS AND QUESTIONS	
<input type="checkbox"/> 1. MAJOR <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 2. MODERATE <input type="checkbox"/> 3. LITTLE <input type="checkbox"/> 4. NO		IMPORTANCE IN RELATION TO OUR NEEDS.	
INFORMATION IN THIS REPORT:			
CONTRIBUTES TO OUR KNOWLEDGE OF THE SUBJECT:		EVALUATOR'S COMMENTS AND FOLLOW-UP QUESTIONS	
<input type="checkbox"/> 1. SIGNIFICANTLY <input type="checkbox"/> 2. SUBSTANTIALLY <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 3. MODERATELY <input type="checkbox"/> 4. NEGLIGIBLY			
ACCURACY:		It is impossible to tell if the USSR prices to Mexico are in line with world prices or not. According to a 1957 Soviet price list, potassium is 3 rubles per millicurie, iodine is 8-to 13 rubles per millicurie and gold is 1 to 3 rubles per millicurie depending upon the form of the carrier. According to the report the average price is \$0.15 per millicurie. The quantities of each isotope however, are not given. Radio isotope prices have changed in the US markedly over the past few years. For example, the price for gold 193 has changed from 20 cents per millicurie prior to May 1959 to 6 cents per millicurie after May 1959. Unless more detailed information is obtained, the information has only moderate value to us.	
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 1. SUBSTANTIALLY TRUE <input type="checkbox"/> 2. CREDIBLE <input type="checkbox"/> 3. Doubtful <input type="checkbox"/> 4. False <input type="checkbox"/> 5. CANNOT BE JUDGED. SEE 9.			
RELATION TO OTHER INFORMATION:		1. USEFUL FOR CONFIRMATION 2. USEFUL FOR NEW INFORMATION 3. Sufficiently known 4. AT PRESENT WE LACK OTHER INFORMATION TO JUDGE ACCURACY OR USEFULNESS 5. Conflicts with previous information 6. Appears to be fabrication 7. USEFULNESS WOULD HAVE BEEN GREATER WITH: <input type="checkbox"/> 1. More detail <input type="checkbox"/> 2. Less detail, more summary <input type="checkbox"/> 3. Less fragmentary approach <input type="checkbox"/> 4. Better organization <input type="checkbox"/> 5. Better observation or better exploitation of source, or both. <input type="checkbox"/> 6. I STATED IN COMMENT <input type="checkbox"/> 7. NO DEFECT AFFECTING USEFULNESS.	
<input type="checkbox"/> 1. USEFUL FOR CONFIRMATION <input type="checkbox"/> 2. USEFUL FOR NEW INFORMATION <input type="checkbox"/> 3. Sufficiently known <input type="checkbox"/> 4. AT PRESENT WE LACK OTHER INFORMATION TO JUDGE ACCURACY OR USEFULNESS <input type="checkbox"/> 5. Conflicts with previous information <input type="checkbox"/> 6. Appears to be fabrication			
USEFULNESS WOULD HAVE BEEN GREATER WITH:		1. More detail 2. Less detail, more summary 3. Less fragmentary approach 4. Better organization 5. Better observation or better exploitation of source, or both. 6. I STATED IN COMMENT 7. NO DEFECT AFFECTING USEFULNESS.	
<input type="checkbox"/> 1. More detail <input type="checkbox"/> 2. Less detail, more summary <input type="checkbox"/> 3. Less fragmentary approach <input type="checkbox"/> 4. Better organization <input type="checkbox"/> 5. Better observation or better exploitation of source, or both. <input type="checkbox"/> 6. I STATED IN COMMENT <input type="checkbox"/> 7. NO DEFECT AFFECTING USEFULNESS.			
OUR COLLECTION NEEDS:		1. FULLY REQUIREMENT CAN BE CLOSED. 2. partially. Portion of requirement may be closed. 3. PARTIALLY, BUT NEED CONTINUES. 4. NEGLIGIBLY	
<input type="checkbox"/> 1. OUR REPORTING MEETS <input type="checkbox"/> 2. OUR IMPORTANT NEEDS. <input type="checkbox"/> 3. OUR MINIMUM NEEDS. <input type="checkbox"/> 4. MOST OF OUR MINIMUM NEEDS. <input type="checkbox"/> 5. FEW OR NONE OF OUR NEEDS.			
IF YOUR REQUIREMENT IS CITED, REPORT STATES IT:		1. FULLY REQUIREMENT CAN BE CLOSED. 2. partially. Portion of requirement may be closed. 3. PARTIALLY, BUT NEED CONTINUES. 4. NEGLIGIBLY	
<input type="checkbox"/> 1. FULLY REQUIREMENT CAN BE CLOSED. <input type="checkbox"/> 2. partially. Portion of requirement may be closed. <input type="checkbox"/> 3. PARTIALLY, BUT NEED CONTINUES. <input type="checkbox"/> 4. NEGLIGIBLY			
HOW IS IMPORTANT TO YOU, OTHER REASONS DOES NOT MEET MINIMUM NEEDS, AND HAVE FILED NO REQUIREMENT:		1. FULLY REQUIREMENT CAN BE CLOSED. 2. partially. Portion of requirement may be closed. 3. PARTIALLY, BUT NEED CONTINUES. 4. NEGLIGIBLY	
<input type="checkbox"/> 1. FULLY REQUIREMENT CAN BE CLOSED. <input type="checkbox"/> 2. partially. Portion of requirement may be closed. <input type="checkbox"/> 3. PARTIALLY, BUT NEED CONTINUES. <input type="checkbox"/> 4. NEGLIGIBLY			
WE WILL PRESENT A REQUIREMENT SOON.		1. FULLY REQUIREMENT CAN BE CLOSED. 2. partially. Portion of requirement may be closed. 3. PARTIALLY, BUT NEED CONTINUES. 4. NEGLIGIBLY	
<input type="checkbox"/> 1. FULLY REQUIREMENT CAN BE CLOSED. <input type="checkbox"/> 2. partially. Portion of requirement may be closed. <input type="checkbox"/> 3. PARTIALLY, BUT NEED CONTINUES. <input type="checkbox"/> 4. NEGLIGIBLY			
WE NEED DOES NOT WARRANT A REQUIREMENT FOR US REPLYING:		1. FULLY REQUIREMENT CAN BE CLOSED. 2. partially. Portion of requirement may be closed. 3. PARTIALLY, BUT NEED CONTINUES. 4. NEGLIGIBLY	
<input type="checkbox"/> 1. FULLY REQUIREMENT CAN BE CLOSED. <input type="checkbox"/> 2. partially. Portion of requirement may be closed. <input type="checkbox"/> 3. PARTIALLY, BUT NEED CONTINUES. <input type="checkbox"/> 4. NEGLIGIBLY			
NAME (Please print)		COMPONENT	PHONE
WILLARD S. SMITH		OSR/AF/N	x3942
DATE EVALUATION FORWARDED			

CONFIDENTIAL

(COLLECTOR/ETALC R: RECLASSIFY ACCORDING TO DA (ADDED ON FRONT) 17 JUN 1964

Rec'd. 10/1/64

CIA REQUEST FOR EVALUATION BY <u>ORR</u>		EVALUATING AGENCY	
ISSUE NUMBER <u>CS-3/139,056</u>	DATE OF DISTRIB. <u>1 June 1960</u>	FIELD NUMBER <u>HC-493</u>	<u>Lufent</u> (3)
Chief Country <u>Mexico/Soviet Union</u>		Date <u>July 1960</u> <u>19 July 60</u>	
Subject <u>Rev. Policy of Soviet Commercial Office in Mexico</u>			

EVALUATOR: Please complete this valuation within 60 days of receipt of request. Need for completion within this time is priority/standard. Indicate choices by "X." If item marked is printed in italics, please explain under "EVALUATOR'S COMMENTS." Normally, put one X in each appropriate section. Otherwise, please cover each of these aspects in your comments. For further NOTES on use of this form, see reverse.

SUBJECT OF THIS REPORT IS OF:		COLLECTOR'S COMMENTS AND QUESTIONS	
A. <input type="checkbox"/> 1. Major <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 2. MODERATE <input type="checkbox"/> 3. LITTLE <input type="checkbox"/> 4. NO } IMPORTANCE IN RELATION TO O-R NEEDS.			
INFORMATION IN THIS REPORT:			
B. CONTRIBUTES TO OUR KNOWLEDGE OF THE SUBJECT:		EVALUATOR'S COMMENTS AND FOLLOW-UP QUESTIONS	
<input type="checkbox"/> 1. SIGNIFICANTLY <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 2. MODERATELY <input type="checkbox"/> 3. NEGLIGIBLY			
C. ACCURACY:			
<input type="checkbox"/> 1. SUBSTANTIALLY TRUE <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 2. CREDIBLE <input type="checkbox"/> 3. Doubtful <input type="checkbox"/> 4. False <input type="checkbox"/> 5. CANNOT BE JUDGED. SEE D.			
D. RELATION TO OTHER INFORMATION:			
<input type="checkbox"/> 1. USEFUL FOR CONFIRMATION <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 2. USEFUL FOR NEW INFORMATION <input type="checkbox"/> 3. Sufficiently known <input type="checkbox"/> 4. AT PRESENT WE LACK OTHER INFORMATION TO JUDGE ACCURACY OR USEFULNESS <input type="checkbox"/> 5. Conflicts with previous information <input type="checkbox"/> 6. Appears to be fabrication			
E. USEFULNESS WOULD HAVE BEEN GREATER WITH:			
<input type="checkbox"/> 1. More detail <input type="checkbox"/> 2. Less detail; more summary <input type="checkbox"/> 3. Less fragmentary approach <input type="checkbox"/> 4. Better organization <input type="checkbox"/> 5. Better observation or better explanation of source, or both. <input type="checkbox"/> 6. STATED IN COMMENT <input type="checkbox"/> 7. NO DEFECT AFFECTS USEFULNESS			
F. REQUIREMENTS			
(ONLY IF YOUR REQUIREMENT IS CITED, REPORT SATISFIES IT): <input type="checkbox"/> 1. FULLY. REQUIREMENT CAN BE CLOSED. <input type="checkbox"/> 2. Partially met. If requirement may be closed. <input type="checkbox"/> 3. PARTIALLY MET. CONTINUES. <input type="checkbox"/> 4. NEGLIGIBLY			
G. DEGREE TO WHICH THIS REPORT PROVIDES ESSENTIAL INFORMATION ON SUBJECT:			
<input type="checkbox"/> 1. FULLY <input type="checkbox"/> 2. SUBSTANTIAL <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 3. LITTLE <input type="checkbox"/> 4. NONE			
H. IF IN D YOU CHECKED "LITTLE" OR "NONE," AND IF YOU HAVE A REQUIREMENT:			
<input type="checkbox"/> 1. WE WILL ASSESS A REQUIREMENT WITHIN 3 DAYS. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 2. OUR NO. 2 DOES NOT WARRANT A REQUIREMENT FOR REPORTING.			

Name (Please print)

Robert Napier

COMPONENT

ORR/S/TF/SP

PHONE

5036

REQUEST RECEIVED

DATE EVALUATION FORWARDED

CONFIDENTIAL

Received June 22, 1960

(COLLECTOR/EVALUATOR)

RECLASSIFY ACCORDING TO DATA

READ ON FRONT) 29 JUN

CIA REQUEST FOR EVALUATION BY

CSR

EVALUATING AGENCY

SECRET

DISTRICT NUMBER
CS-3/439,528

DATE OF DISTRIB
8 June 1960

FIELD NUMBER
HSM-4563

Chief Country Mexico/Soviet Union

Done 15 July 1960

Delight
17/July/60

Subject Disagreement between Mexican Businessman and Soviet Commercial Officer over Money Owed for Tractors Purchased by the Mexican Government

EVALUATOR: Please complete this evaluation within 60 days of receipt of request. See for completion within this time is priority/standard. Indicate choices by "1." If item marked is printed in italics, please explain under "Evaluators Comments." normally, put one in each appropriate section. Otherwise, please cover each of these aspects in your comments. For further notes on use of this form, see reverse.

SUBJECT OF THIS REPORT IS OF:

COLLECTOR'S COMMENTS AND QUESTIONS

- 1. Major
 - 2. Moderate
 - 3. Little
 - 4. No
- IMPORTANCE IN RELATION TO OUR NEEDS.

INFORMATION IN THIS REPORT:

CONTRIBUTES TO OUR KNOWLEDGE OF THE SUBJECT:

- 1. SIGNIFICANTLY
- 2. MODERATELY
- 3. NEGLIGIBLY

ACCURACY:

- 1. SUBSTANTIALLY TRUE
- 2. CREDIBLE
- 3. Doubtful
- 4. False
- 5. CANNOT BE JUDGED. SEE D.

RELATION TO OTHER INFORMATION:

- 1. USEFUL FOR CONFIRMATION
- 2. USEFUL FOR NEW INFORMATION
- 3. Sufficiently known
- 4. AT PRESENT WE LACK OTHER INFORMATION TO JUDGE ACCURACY OR USEFULNESS
- 5. Conflicts with previous information
- 6. Appears to be fabrication

USEFULNESS WOULD HAVE BEEN GREATER WITH:

- 1. More detail
- 2. Less detail, more summary
- 3. Less fragmentary approach
- 4. Better organization
- 5. Better observation or better exploitation of source, or both.
- 6. STATED IN COMMENT
- 7. NO DEFECT AFFECTING USEFULNESS.

REQUIREMENTS

(ONLY IF YOUR REQUIREMENT IS CITED, RESPOND SATISFIES IT):

- 1. FULLY. REQUIREMENT CAN BE CLOSED.
- 2. Partially. Portion of requirements may be closed.
- 3. PARTIALLY, BUT NEED CONTINUES.
- 4. NEGLIGIBLY.

DEGREE TO WHICH OTHER (NON-CS) REPORTING PROVIDED ESSENTIAL INFORMATION ON SUBJECT:

- 1. FULLY
- 2. SUBSTANTIALLY
- 3. LITTLE
- 4. NONE

(IF IN G YOU CHECKED "LITTLE" OR "NONE," AND IF YOU HAVE, CHECK NO REQUIREMENT.)

- 1. WE WILL PRESENT A REQUIREMENT WITHIN 30 DAYS.
- 2. OUR NEED DOES NOT WARRANT A REQUIREMENT FOR CS REPORTING.

EVALUATOR'S COMMENTS AND FOLLOW-UP QUESTIONS

EVALUATOR	NAME (Please print)	COMPONENT	PHONE
	Robert Napier	ORR/S/TF/SP	8036
	DATE REQUEST RECEIVED	DATE EVALUATION FORWARDED	
		11 July 1960	

CIA REQUEST FOR EVALUATION BY <u>ORR</u>		EVALUATING AGENCY										
DISSEM. NUMBER <u>CS-3/43L, 30L</u>	DATE OF DISTRIB. <u>18 April 1960</u>	FIELD NUMBER <u>REG-4858</u>	<u>Special</u>									
Chief Country <u>Mexico/Port of Union</u>		<u>Due 17 May 1960</u>										
Subject <u>Soviet Plan to Sell Newspaper to Mexican Government Agency</u>												
EVALUATOR: Please complete this valuation within <u>60</u> days of receipt of request. Need for completion within this time is priority/standard. Indicate choices by "X." If item marked is printed in italics, please explain under "Evaluators Comments." normally, put <u>one</u> X in each appropriate section. Otherwise, please cover each of these aspects in your comments. For further notes on use of this form, see reverse.												
SUBJECT OF THIS REPORT IS OF:		COLLECTOR'S COMMENTS AND QUESTIONS.										
<p>A</p> <table border="1"> <tr><td>1. Major</td><td rowspan="4">} IMPORTANCE IN RELATION TO OUR NEEDS.</td></tr> <tr><td>2. Moderate</td></tr> <tr><td><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 3. Little</td></tr> <tr><td>4. None</td></tr> </table>		1. Major	} IMPORTANCE IN RELATION TO OUR NEEDS.	2. Moderate	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 3. Little	4. None						
1. Major	} IMPORTANCE IN RELATION TO OUR NEEDS.											
2. Moderate												
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 3. Little												
4. None												
INFORMATION IN THIS REPORT:												
<p>B</p> <table border="1"> <tr><td colspan="2">CONTRIBUTES TO OUR KNOWLEDGE OF THE SUBJECT:</td></tr> <tr><td>1. SIGNIFICANTLY</td></tr> <tr><td><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 2. MODERATELY</td></tr> <tr><td>3. NEGLIGIBLY</td></tr> </table>		CONTRIBUTES TO OUR KNOWLEDGE OF THE SUBJECT:		1. SIGNIFICANTLY	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 2. MODERATELY	3. NEGLIGIBLY						
CONTRIBUTES TO OUR KNOWLEDGE OF THE SUBJECT:												
1. SIGNIFICANTLY												
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 2. MODERATELY												
3. NEGLIGIBLY												
<p>C</p> <table border="1"> <tr><td colspan="2">ACCURACY:</td></tr> <tr><td>1. SUBSTANTIALLY TRUE</td></tr> <tr><td>2. CREDIBLE</td></tr> <tr><td>3. Doubtful</td></tr> <tr><td>4. False</td></tr> <tr><td><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 5. CANNOT BE JUDGED. SEE D.</td></tr> </table>		ACCURACY:		1. SUBSTANTIALLY TRUE	2. CREDIBLE	3. Doubtful	4. False	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 5. CANNOT BE JUDGED. SEE D.				
ACCURACY:												
1. SUBSTANTIALLY TRUE												
2. CREDIBLE												
3. Doubtful												
4. False												
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 5. CANNOT BE JUDGED. SEE D.												
<p>D</p> <table border="1"> <tr><td colspan="2">RELAT. OR TO OTHER INFORMATION:</td></tr> <tr><td>1. USEFUL FOR CONFIRMATION</td></tr> <tr><td>2. USEFUL FOR NEW INFORMATION</td></tr> <tr><td>3. Sufficiently known</td></tr> <tr><td><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 4. AT PRESENT WE LACK OTHER INFORMATION TO JUDGE ACCURACY OR USEFULNESS</td></tr> <tr><td>5. Conflicts with previous information</td></tr> <tr><td>6. Appears to be fabrication</td></tr> </table>		RELAT. OR TO OTHER INFORMATION:		1. USEFUL FOR CONFIRMATION	2. USEFUL FOR NEW INFORMATION	3. Sufficiently known	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 4. AT PRESENT WE LACK OTHER INFORMATION TO JUDGE ACCURACY OR USEFULNESS	5. Conflicts with previous information	6. Appears to be fabrication			
RELAT. OR TO OTHER INFORMATION:												
1. USEFUL FOR CONFIRMATION												
2. USEFUL FOR NEW INFORMATION												
3. Sufficiently known												
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 4. AT PRESENT WE LACK OTHER INFORMATION TO JUDGE ACCURACY OR USEFULNESS												
5. Conflicts with previous information												
6. Appears to be fabrication												
<p>E</p> <table border="1"> <tr><td colspan="2">USEFULNESS WOULD HAVE BEEN GREATER WITH:</td></tr> <tr><td>1. More detail</td></tr> <tr><td>2. Less detail, more summary</td></tr> <tr><td>3. Less fragmentary approach</td></tr> <tr><td>4. Better organization</td></tr> <tr><td>5. Better observation or better explanation of sources, or both</td></tr> <tr><td>6. (STATED IN COMMENTS)</td></tr> <tr><td>7. NO DEFECT AFFECTING USEFULNESS.</td></tr> </table>		USEFULNESS WOULD HAVE BEEN GREATER WITH:		1. More detail	2. Less detail, more summary	3. Less fragmentary approach	4. Better organization	5. Better observation or better explanation of sources, or both	6. (STATED IN COMMENTS)	7. NO DEFECT AFFECTING USEFULNESS.		
USEFULNESS WOULD HAVE BEEN GREATER WITH:												
1. More detail												
2. Less detail, more summary												
3. Less fragmentary approach												
4. Better organization												
5. Better observation or better explanation of sources, or both												
6. (STATED IN COMMENTS)												
7. NO DEFECT AFFECTING USEFULNESS.												
<p>F</p> <table border="1"> <tr><td colspan="2">REQUIREMENTS</td></tr> <tr><td colspan="2">(ONLY IF YOUR REQUIREMENT IS CITED.) REPORT SATISFIES IT:</td></tr> <tr><td>1. FULLY. REQUIREMENT CAN BE CLOSED</td></tr> <tr><td>2. Partially. Portion of requirement may be closed.</td></tr> <tr><td>3. PARTIALLY, BUT NEED CONTINUES.</td></tr> <tr><td>4. NEGLIGIBLY</td></tr> </table>		REQUIREMENTS		(ONLY IF YOUR REQUIREMENT IS CITED.) REPORT SATISFIES IT:		1. FULLY. REQUIREMENT CAN BE CLOSED	2. Partially. Portion of requirement may be closed.	3. PARTIALLY, BUT NEED CONTINUES.	4. NEGLIGIBLY			
REQUIREMENTS												
(ONLY IF YOUR REQUIREMENT IS CITED.) REPORT SATISFIES IT:												
1. FULLY. REQUIREMENT CAN BE CLOSED												
2. Partially. Portion of requirement may be closed.												
3. PARTIALLY, BUT NEED CONTINUES.												
4. NEGLIGIBLY												
<p>G</p> <table border="1"> <tr><td colspan="2">DEGREE TO WHICH OTHER (SOURCES) REPORTING PROVIDES ESSENTIAL INFORMATION ON SUBJECT:</td></tr> <tr><td>1. FULLY</td></tr> <tr><td>2. SUBSTANTIALLY</td></tr> <tr><td>3. LITTLE</td></tr> <tr><td><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 4. NONE</td></tr> </table>		DEGREE TO WHICH OTHER (SOURCES) REPORTING PROVIDES ESSENTIAL INFORMATION ON SUBJECT:		1. FULLY	2. SUBSTANTIALLY	3. LITTLE	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 4. NONE					
DEGREE TO WHICH OTHER (SOURCES) REPORTING PROVIDES ESSENTIAL INFORMATION ON SUBJECT:												
1. FULLY												
2. SUBSTANTIALLY												
3. LITTLE												
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 4. NONE												
<p>H</p> <table border="1"> <tr><td colspan="2">IF IN G YOU CHECKED "LITTLE" OR "NONE," AND IF YOU HAVE FILED NO REQUIREMENT:</td></tr> <tr><td>1. WE WILL PRESENT A REQUIREMENT WITHIN 30 DAYS.</td></tr> <tr><td><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 2. OUR NEED DOES NOT WARRANT A REQUIREMENT FOR CS REPORTING.</td></tr> </table>		IF IN G YOU CHECKED "LITTLE" OR "NONE," AND IF YOU HAVE FILED NO REQUIREMENT:		1. WE WILL PRESENT A REQUIREMENT WITHIN 30 DAYS.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 2. OUR NEED DOES NOT WARRANT A REQUIREMENT FOR CS REPORTING.							
IF IN G YOU CHECKED "LITTLE" OR "NONE," AND IF YOU HAVE FILED NO REQUIREMENT:												
1. WE WILL PRESENT A REQUIREMENT WITHIN 30 DAYS.												
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 2. OUR NEED DOES NOT WARRANT A REQUIREMENT FOR CS REPORTING.												
EVALUATOR	NAME (Please print)	COMPONENT	PHONE									
	<u>Eugene Erro</u>	<u>ORR J143</u>	<u>4245</u>									
	DATE REQUEST RECEIVED	DATE EVALUATION FORWARDED										
		<u>26 May 60</u>										

SECRET

(When Filled In)

W43A

DOCUMENT TRANSFER AND CROSS REFERENCE

1. SUBJECT OR DISPOSITION		2. DOCUMENT IDENTIFICATION		
OPERATIONAL/SECURITY		3. FILE NO.	4. NO.	5. DATE
		DISPATCH OR SOURCE DOC. SYMBOL NO.		6. DATE
		7. SOURCE CRYPTONYM		8. DATE OF INFO.
9. ANALYST		10. DATE PROCESSED		11. DISSEMINATED IN
				12. DATE

DOCUMENT DISPOSITION	
13. CROSS REFERRED TO THIS FILE	14. TRANSFERRED TO BY FILE NO.

15. PERTINENT INFORMATION

THIS REFERENCE TO SECRET DOCUMENT
FILED IN THE SECRET CONTROL
SECTION BY TS-175006

18 May 1960

16. FILE THIS FORM IN FILE NO	➔			
-------------------------------	---	--	--	--

CONFIDENTIAL

file LIFEAT Prod

(COLLECTOR/EVALUATOR: RECLASSIFY ACCORDING TO DA ADDED ON FRONT)

CIA REQUEST FOR EVALUATION BY <u>ORR</u> <u>Recd 4 March</u>		EVALUATING AGENCY						
DISSEM. NUMBER <u>CS-3/428,266</u>	DATE OF DISTRIB.	FIELD NUMBER <u>HMM-4761</u> <u>Delat</u>						
Chief Country <u>Mexico / Soviet Union</u>	<u>48 June</u>							
Subject <u>Soviet Negotiations for Mexican Cotton</u>	<u>Jan 25 March 1960</u>							
EVALUATOR: Please complete this valuation within ___ days of receipt of request. Need for completion within this time is priority/standard. Indicate choices by "X." If item marked is printed in italics, please explain under "Evaluators Comments." normally, put one X in each appropriate section. Otherwise, please cover each of these aspects in your comments. For further NOTES on use of this form, see reverse.								
SUBJECT OF THIS REPORT IS OF:		COLLECTOR'S COMMENTS AND QUESTIONS						
A	<table border="1"> <tr><td>1. Major</td><td rowspan="4">} IMPORTANCE IN RELATION TO OUR NEEDS.</td></tr> <tr><td><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 2. MODERATE</td></tr> <tr><td>3. LITTLE</td></tr> <tr><td>4. NO</td></tr> </table>	1. Major	} IMPORTANCE IN RELATION TO OUR NEEDS.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 2. MODERATE	3. LITTLE	4. NO		
1. Major	} IMPORTANCE IN RELATION TO OUR NEEDS.							
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 2. MODERATE								
3. LITTLE								
4. NO								
INFORMATION IN THIS REPORT:								
B	CONTRIBUTES TO OUR KNOWLEDGE OF THE SUBJECT:							
	<table border="1"> <tr><td>1. SIGNIFICANTLY</td></tr> <tr><td><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 2. MODERATELY</td></tr> <tr><td>3. NEGLIGIBLY</td></tr> </table>	1. SIGNIFICANTLY	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 2. MODERATELY	3. NEGLIGIBLY				
1. SIGNIFICANTLY								
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 2. MODERATELY								
3. NEGLIGIBLY								
C	ACCURACY:							
	<table border="1"> <tr><td>1. SUBSTANTIALLY TRUE</td></tr> <tr><td><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 2. CREDIBLE</td></tr> <tr><td>3. Doubtful</td></tr> <tr><td>4. False</td></tr> <tr><td>5. CANNOT BE JUDGED. SEE D.</td></tr> </table>	1. SUBSTANTIALLY TRUE	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 2. CREDIBLE	3. Doubtful	4. False	5. CANNOT BE JUDGED. SEE D.	EVALUATOR'S COMMENTS AND FOLLOW-UP QUESTIONS	
1. SUBSTANTIALLY TRUE								
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 2. CREDIBLE								
3. Doubtful								
4. False								
5. CANNOT BE JUDGED. SEE D.								
D	RELATION TO OTHER INFORMATION:							
	<table border="1"> <tr><td>1. USEFUL FOR CONFIRMATION</td></tr> <tr><td><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 2. USEFUL FOR NEW INFORMATION</td></tr> <tr><td>3. Sufficiently known</td></tr> <tr><td>4. AT PRESENT WE LACK OTHER INFORMATION TO JUDGE ACCURACY OR USEFULNESS</td></tr> <tr><td>5. Conflicts with previous information</td></tr> <tr><td>6. Appears to be fabrication</td></tr> </table>		1. USEFUL FOR CONFIRMATION	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 2. USEFUL FOR NEW INFORMATION	3. Sufficiently known	4. AT PRESENT WE LACK OTHER INFORMATION TO JUDGE ACCURACY OR USEFULNESS	5. Conflicts with previous information	6. Appears to be fabrication
1. USEFUL FOR CONFIRMATION								
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 2. USEFUL FOR NEW INFORMATION								
3. Sufficiently known								
4. AT PRESENT WE LACK OTHER INFORMATION TO JUDGE ACCURACY OR USEFULNESS								
5. Conflicts with previous information								
6. Appears to be fabrication								
E	USEFULNESS WOULD HAVE BEEN GREATER WITH:							
	<table border="1"> <tr><td>1. More detail</td></tr> <tr><td>2. Less detail: more summary</td></tr> <tr><td>3. Less fragmentary approach</td></tr> <tr><td>4. Better organization</td></tr> <tr><td>5. Better observation or better explanation of source, or both.</td></tr> <tr><td>6. Included in comments</td></tr> <tr><td><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 7. NO DEFECT AFFECTING USEFULNESS.</td></tr> </table>	1. More detail	2. Less detail: more summary	3. Less fragmentary approach	4. Better organization	5. Better observation or better explanation of source, or both.	6. Included in comments	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 7. NO DEFECT AFFECTING USEFULNESS.
1. More detail								
2. Less detail: more summary								
3. Less fragmentary approach								
4. Better organization								
5. Better observation or better explanation of source, or both.								
6. Included in comments								
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 7. NO DEFECT AFFECTING USEFULNESS.								
REQUIREMENTS								
F	ONLY IF YOUR REQUIREMENT IS CITED, REPORT SATISFIED BY:							
	<table border="1"> <tr><td>1. FULLY - REQ. FORM. TO BE CLOSED</td></tr> <tr><td>2. Partial - Portion of requirement met.</td></tr> <tr><td>3. PARTIAL - BUT NEED CONTINUES.</td></tr> <tr><td>4. NEGLIGIBLE</td></tr> </table>		1. FULLY - REQ. FORM. TO BE CLOSED	2. Partial - Portion of requirement met.	3. PARTIAL - BUT NEED CONTINUES.	4. NEGLIGIBLE		
1. FULLY - REQ. FORM. TO BE CLOSED								
2. Partial - Portion of requirement met.								
3. PARTIAL - BUT NEED CONTINUES.								
4. NEGLIGIBLE								
G	DEGREE TO WHICH OTHER (S) REPORTING PROVIDES THE CRITICAL INFORMATION ON SUBJECT:							
	<table border="1"> <tr><td>1. FULLY</td></tr> <tr><td>2. SUBSTANTIALLY</td></tr> <tr><td>3. LITTLE</td></tr> <tr><td>4. NONE</td></tr> </table>		1. FULLY	2. SUBSTANTIALLY	3. LITTLE	4. NONE		
1. FULLY								
2. SUBSTANTIALLY								
3. LITTLE								
4. NONE								
H	IF IN G YOU CHECKED "LITTLE" OR "NONE," AND IF YOU HAVE FILED NO REQUIREMENT:							
	<table border="1"> <tr><td>1. WE WILL PRESENT A REQUIREMENT WITHIN 30 DAYS.</td></tr> <tr><td>2. OUR NEED DOES NOT WARRANT A REQUIREMENT FOR CS REPORTING.</td></tr> </table>		1. WE WILL PRESENT A REQUIREMENT WITHIN 30 DAYS.	2. OUR NEED DOES NOT WARRANT A REQUIREMENT FOR CS REPORTING.				
1. WE WILL PRESENT A REQUIREMENT WITHIN 30 DAYS.								
2. OUR NEED DOES NOT WARRANT A REQUIREMENT FOR CS REPORTING.								
EVALUATOR	NAME (PLEASE PRINT) <u>PEPE FLETCHER, Jr.</u>	COMPONENT <u>CRR/M/AG</u>						
REQUEST RECEIVED	<u>15 Mar. 1960</u>	PHONE <u>X3552</u>						
		DATE EVALUATION FORWARDED <u>29 Mar. 1960</u>						

CONFIDENTIAL

SECRET file LIFEAT PRO

(COLLECTOR/EVALUATOR OF: RELIABILITY APPROPRIATE TO DA (ADDED ON FRONT))

CIA REQUEST FOR EVALUATION BY <u>Department of State</u>		EVALUATING AGENCY	
DISSEM. NUMBER <u>S-3/223,266</u>	DATE OF DISSEM. <u>19 February 1960</u>	FIELD NUMBER <u>189-4761</u>	<u>Spat</u>
Chief Country <u>Mexico/Soviet Union</u>		<u>15 Mar 60</u>	
Subject <u>Soviet Negotiations for Mexican Cotton</u>			
EVALUATOR: please complete this evaluation within <u>60</u> days of receipt of request. Used for completion within this time is priority standard. Indicate choices by "X." If item marked is printed in italics, please explain under "Evaluators Comments." normally, put one X in each appropriate section. Otherwise, please cover each of these aspects in your comments. For further notes on use of this form, see reverse.			
SUBJECT OF THIS REPORT IS OF:		COLLECTOR'S COMMENTS AND QUESTIONS	
<input type="checkbox"/> 1. Major <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 2. MODERATE <input type="checkbox"/> 3. Little <input type="checkbox"/> 4. No		Is this information of sufficient use or value to warrant dissemination? Please comment.	
INFORMATION IN THIS REPORT: 1. CONTRIBUTES TO OUR KNOWLEDGE OF THE SUBJECT: <input type="checkbox"/> 1. SIGNIFICANTLY <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 2. MODERATELY <input type="checkbox"/> 3. SLIGHTLY <input type="checkbox"/> 4. NONE		EVALUATOR'S COMMENTS AND FOLLOW-UP QUESTIONS <p><i>Information of this character is difficult to obtain and should be given priority to those in collection effort. Although the general subject of this document was of considerable interest, the most complete information has already been obtained. The extent and nature of possible Soviet involvement are unclear.</i></p> <p><i>Information of this character type is of value and should be valuable.</i></p> <p><i>All other work on industry, Soviet purchases of cotton planting.</i></p>	
2. RELIABLE: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 1. SUBSTANTIALLY TRUE <input type="checkbox"/> 2. CREDIBLE <input type="checkbox"/> 3. Doubtful <input type="checkbox"/> 4. False <input type="checkbox"/> 5. Cannot be judged reliable			
3. RELATION TO OTHER INFORMATION: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 1. Adds new information <input type="checkbox"/> 2. Adds to our information <input type="checkbox"/> 3. Substantially true <input type="checkbox"/> 4. Of interest but other information is more reliable or useful <input type="checkbox"/> 5. Confirms other previous information <input type="checkbox"/> 6. Appears to be fabrication <input type="checkbox"/> 7. Appears to have been obtained from source			
4. HOW REPORT HAS BEEN OBTAINED: <input type="checkbox"/> 1. Well detailed <input type="checkbox"/> 2. Less detailed, good summary <input type="checkbox"/> 3. Less fragmentary approach <input type="checkbox"/> 4. Better organization <input type="checkbox"/> 5. Better observation or better application of source or both <input type="checkbox"/> 6. Related to current <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 7. Of great qualitative usefulness			
REQUIREMENTS: 1. HOW WELL REQUIREMENT IS MET? REPORT SATISFIED BY: <input type="checkbox"/> 1. Fully <input type="checkbox"/> 2. Partially <input type="checkbox"/> 3. Partly <input type="checkbox"/> 4. Not at all			
2. HOW WELL OTHER (UNMET) REQUIREMENTS ARE MET? <input type="checkbox"/> 1. Fully <input type="checkbox"/> 2. Substantially <input type="checkbox"/> 3. Little <input type="checkbox"/> 4. None			
3. IS THIS A "HOT" SOURCE "LITTLE" OR "NONE" AND IF NOT HOW WELL IS REQUIREMENT MET? <input type="checkbox"/> 1. We will present a requirement item in 90 days <input type="checkbox"/> 2. We will not present a requirement item for 90 days			
EVALUATOR	NAME (FLOOD PRINT) <u>David P. Swisher</u>	AGENT <u>KARIE</u>	PHONE <u>142 3221</u>
	DATE REQUEST RECEIVED <u>Feb 24, 1960</u>	DATE EVALUATION FORWARDED <u>March 4, 1960</u>	

Secret

29 Feb 60

13/1/60

15 December 1959

CS 3121,511

Handwritten:
15
H.M. 4367
15 February 1960

LIFE AT PRODUCTION

SUBJECT: Soviet Commercial Activities in Mexico

Area	Description	Source of Information	Remarks
1. General			
2. Imports			
3. Exports			
4. Investments			
5. Other			

What specific aspects of this report are of use? Of value? Please indicate and comment.

Information on the type of machinery offered or sold to Mexico or to Latin America is available from overt sources.

Information on prices is interesting and useful. Price quotations would be more helpful if specifications as well as serial numbers were available. Information indicating whether Soviet prices conform to or vary from world market prices.

Information on goods that the Soviets are interested in buying is of some importance, although this is generally available from overt sources.

This document contains information which is classified as "Secret" under Executive Order 11652, dated August 16, 1957.

SECRET


See H90W 8486, 11 Feb 1960, LAUNICE, filed C/WHD's
office,

This dispatch was written by FI/D in reply to H90A 14362, 20 Jan 1960 and
should be reviewed before LIFEAT or LIPXIT are submitted for renewal.

IMPORTANT

LIFEAT/min prod
LIPXIT/ops

SECRET







SECRET

From: Mexico, Mexico City Report No: HMV-1831 Local File No:

No. of Pages: 1 No. of Enclosures: 1 (for Station files only)

Report Made By: Thomas G. URQUHART Approved By: Willard C. CURTIS

Distribution: Orally to:
By copy to: 3 - Hqs(1 for KUNRAP)
2 - Files(1 w/att)

Source Cryptonym: A. LIKAYAK-2 References: HMV-1765, 12 Sep, 1956, p.9
9. LIFEAT/LIMERICK

Source, Operational Data, and Comments:

SUBJECT:

Source obtained the information contained in the attached report from

is being included in this Station's series of LCHPROVE subjects.

Classification

SECRET

FORM NO. 31-50
FEB 1948

1 COPY

50-6-32/4
SECRET

