

Date:10/07/93  
Page:1

## JFK ASSASSINATION SYSTEM

## IDENTIFICATION FORM

-----  
AGENCY INFORMATIONAGENCY : SSCIA  
RECORD NUMBER : 157-10011-10125

RECORDS SERIES :

AGENCY FILE NUMBER :

-----  
DOCUMENT INFORMATIONORIGINATOR : SSCI  
FROM :  
TO :TITLE :  
TRANSCRIPT; AMLASH CASE OFFICERDATE : 07/29/75  
PAGES : 147SUBJECTS :  
AMLASH CASE OFFICER (WITNESS TESTIFIED UNDER AN ALIAS) [R]DOCUMENT TYPE : PAPER, TEXTUAL DOCUMENT  
CLASSIFICATION : T  
RESTRICTIONS : REFERRED  
CURRENT STATUS : P  
DATE OF LAST REVIEW : 09/29/93

OPENING CRITERIA :

COMMENTS :  
SSCI Box 230, Folder 5-----  
[R] - ITEM IS RESTRICTED

Phone (Area 202) 544-6000

WARD & PAUL

410 First Street, S.E., Washington, D.C. 20003

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25

affairs?

AMLASH Case Officer. Correct.

Mr. Baron. Were you an employee of the CIA during that time on another assignment?

AMLASH Case Officer. Yes, I was.

Mr. Baron. I would like to ask you some questions about a series of events that occurred in 1961, simply to find out whether you have any recollection of any of the names of people involved or the activities involved that you may have garnered either from personal contact with these people or from subsequent review of the files involved.

First of all, in 1961, we have a record from the IG Report of a meeting between **Jack Stuart** who was a CIA officer at that time and a Cuban who we have agreed to refer to as AMLASH for the purposes of this session today.

Our understanding is that a meeting was arranged by **Carlos Tapedino**, who was known as AMWHIP, who served as an intermediary between AMLASH and **Stuart**, and that the meeting took place in Mexico City to discuss the possibility that AMLASH might cooperate either with CIA -- strike that -- to discuss AMLASH's views on the Cuban situation generally.

Do you have any recollection from any source of initial contacts between **Jack Stuart** and AMLASH?

AMLASH Case Officer. Only the general recollection that he was contacted about the time that you said he was, but no

1 details on that.

2 Mr. Baron. Do you have any knowledge from any source  
3 about the source of the initiative for these first contacts  
4 between AMLASH and the CIA?

5 AMLASH Case Officer. No, I don't.

6 Mr. Baron. You are not aware of the CIA having taken the  
7 initiative in this instance as opposed to AMLASH?

8 AMLASH Case Officer. Specifically, no. I don't know who  
9 took the initiative.

10 Mr. Baron. Did you ever discuss these meetings with Jack  
11 Stuart personally?

12 AMLASH Case Officer. No, I didn't.

13 Mr. Baron. Have you ever met Jack Stuart?

14 AMLASH Case Officer. Yes, I have.

15 Mr. Baron. Did you meet Jack Stuart during the period  
16 between 1963 and 1965 when you were involved with Cuban affairs?

17 AMLASH Case Officer. Not that I can recollect.

18 Mr. Baron. Do you recall in what connection you dealt  
19 with Jack Stuart?

20 AMLASH Case Officer. As a colleague in the Agency, but  
21 not specifically on this operation.

22 Mr. Baron. Have you ever met Carlos Tepedino, who was  
23 known as agent AMWHIP?

24 AMLASH Case Officer. Yes, I have.

25 Mr. Baron. And did you work with Mr. Tepedino specifically

Phone (Area 202) 544-6000

1 on the AMLASH Project during the time of your involvement in  
2 the AMLASH Project?

3 AMLASH Case Officer. Not specifically, because he was  
4 used before to serve as the contact and the interpreter, and I  
5 didn't need an interpreter to work with AMLASH, so although I  
6 knew him and I knew of him, he was not involved directly with  
7 me in my contacts with AMLASH.

8 Mr. Baron. Did you meet directly with **Carlos Tepedino?**  
9 AMLASH Case Officer. I know him, yes, or I knew him.

10 Mr. Baron. Although he wasn't serving as an interpreter  
11 during the course of this operation, was he serving as an  
12 intermediary between yourself and AMLASH?

13 AMLASH Case Officer. He was in contact with AMLASH. He  
14 was a very close friend of AMLASH. He had served as an  
15 intermediary, but during the time I was in contact, he did not  
16 serve as the intermediary. In other words, I contacted him  
17 directly. I contacted AMLASH directly.

18 Mr. Baron. And what role did **Carlos Tepedino** play during  
19 the period of your involvement with AMLASH?

20 AMLASH Case Officer. As a friend of AMLASH. He knew what  
21 AMLASH was proposing.

22 Mr. Baron. And would sometimes discuss AMLASH's proposals  
23 with you in AMLASH's absence?

24 AMLASH Case Officer. I cannot recall any specific term  
25 or any specific subject that we would have discussed, but I

WARD & PAUL

410 First Street, S.E., Washington, D.C. 20003

Phone (Area 202) 544-6000

1 Mr. Rhea. Did William Harvey ever speak to you of the  
2 use of gambling syndicate contacts in Cuba for any operation  
3 whatsoever?

4 AMLASH Case Officer. No, he didn't.

5 Mr. Baron. Did you ever hear, during the period of  
6 '60 to '66 of any mention of the use of poison pills against  
7 Fidel Castro?

8 AMLASH Case Officer. No, I didn't.

9 Mr. Baron. Did you ever hear about the development of  
10 poison pills at the CIA?

11 AMLASH Case Officer. No.

12 Mr. Baron. Have you ever heard of the cryptonym **MEAPRON?**

13 AMLASH Case Officer. Yes.

14 Mr. Baron. What did that cryptonym signify?

15 AMLASH Case Officer. That was the recruitment of sources  
16 as I recall it. It was a project for the recruitment of  
17 intelligence sources inside Cuba, primary military sources, as  
18 I recall the cryptonym.

19 Mr. Baron. Was that the cryptonym from the asset  
20 spotting project that you were working on with William Harvey?

21 AMLASH Case Officer. I don't remember if it was at the  
22 time that William Harvey was there or afterwards, I don't  
23 recall the time period, but it was the overall operation for  
24 the recruitment of sources inside Cuba.

25 Mr. Baron. Did you continue working on this asset spotting

WARD & PAUL

410 First Street, S.E., Washington, D.C. 20003

Phone (Area 202) 544-6000

1 and recruitment project even after you began working on  
2 AMLASH?

3 AMLASH Case Officer. Yes, I did.

4 Mr. Baron. And at some point that project came to be  
5 known as ~~MEAPRON?~~

6 AMLASH Case Officer. Yes, but I don't remember exactly  
7 when that cryptonym was given to the project.

8 Mr. Baron. Was removal of key military leaders around  
9 Castro ever a part of ~~MEAPRON?~~

10 AMLASH Case Officer. To the best of my recollection, no.

11 Mr. Baron. Who was the man in charge of Project ~~MEAPRON?~~

12 AMLASH Case Officer. I was, at a time.

13 Mr. Baron. You would have been called Project Director  
14 or case officer?

15 AMLASH Case Officer. I was the case officer, yes.

16 Mr. Baron. Were any of the assets for the ~~MEAPRON~~ Project  
17 also assets for Project AMLASH?

18 AMLASH Case Officer. They were not conceived that way,  
19 but one or two of them crossed.

20 Mr. Baron. To your knowledge, were any of the assets in  
21 ~~MEAPRON~~ who were not assets for Project AMLASH ever involved  
22 in any kind of program of direct personal action against  
23 Castro?

24 AMLASH Case Officer. No, they were not.

25 Mr. Baron. When you reported on Project ~~MEAPRON~~ to whom

WARD & PAUL

410 First Street, S.E., Washington, D.C. 20003

Phone (Area 202) 544-6000

1 did you report?

2 AMLASH Case Officer. To FitzGerald.

3 Mr. Baron. After FitzGerald took over from William Harvey?

4 AMLASH Case Officer. Right.

5 Mr. Baron. Were you aware of FitzGerald's reporting to  
6 anyone above him on **MEAPRON?**

7 AMLASH Case Officer. I assume that he did, but I did not  
8 accompany him to any of the meetings.

9 Mr. Baron. Would you assume that he reported to Richard  
10 Bissell on -- I'm sorry. Would you assume that he reported to  
11 Richard Helms on Project **MEAPRON?**

12 AMLASH Case Officer. That would be the chain of command,  
13 the chain of reporting, but I am not aware of what he reported  
14 to Helms or anybody.

15 Mr. Baron. And do you have any direct knowledge of any  
16 reporting FitzGerald may have done on **MEAPRON** to the Special  
17 Group?

18 AMLASH Case Officer. No, I don't.

19 Mr. Baron. Okay.

20 Do you have any recollection of a trip that Agent AMLASH  
21 took to Helsinki in June of 1962?

22 AMLASH Case Officer. No details. I knew he had made  
23 that trip.

24 Mr. Baron. But you were never involved during that period  
25 of time with Project AMLASH?

WARD & PAUL

410 First Street, S.E., Washington, D.C. 20003

Phone (Area 202) 544-6000

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25

AMLASH Case Officer. No, I was not.

Mr. Baron. Do you have any recollection as to the results of that trip?

AMLASH Case Officer. No specific, no.

Mr. Baron. Do you have any recollection of contact between the CIA and the FBI with respect to Project AMLASH?

AMLASH Case Officer. No, I don't.

Mr. Baron. Were you ever made aware of a report that the FBI forwarded to the CIA about a meeting held between **Carlos Tepedino** and the FBI?

AMLASH Case Officer. No, I wasn't.

Mr. Baron. Do you have any recollection at all of dissatisfaction expressed by **Carlos Tepedino** about the lack of vigor with which the CIA was approaching the defection of AMLASH?

AMLASH Case Officer. This was part of the record, of the AMLASH record, but I had nothing to do with that, and I was not involved in the operation at that time.

Mr. Baron. When you took over Project AMLASH, was it a concern of yours to demonstrate to Agent AMLASH that the CIA would be vigorous in supporting his actions?

AMLASH Case Officer. The primary objective, when I took over the contact with AMLASH was to keep him in place and convince him to return to Cuba and not to permit him to defect and stay away from the island.

WARD & PAUL

410 First Street, S.E., Washington, D.C. 20003



Phone (Area 202) 544-6000

WARD & PAUL

30 First Street, S.E., Washington, D.C. 20003

1 or the state of affairs in the barracks? Can you be specific  
2 there?

3 AMLASH Case Officer. Well, we were looking for the  
4 information at the highest levels.

5 Mr. Kelley. Your source of information from the highest  
6 levels. You mean you wanted to know what the sentiment was in  
7 the highest levels?

8 AMLASH Case Officer. That is right, and to develop  
9 information on them, we had to develop sources in those  
10 circles.

11 Mr. Baron. Do you have any recollection of a contact  
12 report that **William Wainwright** wrote about a meeting on  
13 August 1st of 1962 that he held with AMLASH in Helsinki?

14 AMLASH Case Officer. I know that such a contact report  
15 was in the file, but I was not associated with the operation  
16 in '62.

17 Mr. Baron. Let me show you this, it is a copy of an  
18 excerpt from that report, as it appears in the IG Report of  
19 1967, and ask you if that refreshes your recollection in any  
20 way?

21 And for the record, let us mark this AMLASH Exhibit 1.

(The document referred to  
was marked AMLASH Exhibit  
No. 1 for identification.)

25

Phone (Area 202) 544-6000

1 Mr. Baron. Have you had a chance to read it through?

2 AMLASH Case Officer. Yes.

3 Mr. Baron. Having looked at this document, do you have  
4 any further recollection of ever seeing this document, or  
5 discussing the meeting directly with ~~Wainwright?~~

6 AMLASH Case Officer. I probably discussed the meeting  
7 with ~~Wainwright.~~ I don't recall discussing these specific  
8 items. I do recall that there was a contact report in the  
9 files. Whether this was the specific one that I recall seeing  
10 in the files, I could not attest to, but certainly it was a  
11 practice to write contact reports.

12 Mr. Baron. Who was ~~William Wainwright?~~

13 AMLASH Case Officer. He was the case officer who, with  
14 ~~Tapedino,~~ met with AMLASH before I took over the contact with  
15 AMLASH.

16 Mr. Baron. So he had the same position that you did in  
17 connection with Project AMLASH and was your predecessor?

18 AMLASH Case Officer. He was my predecessor, as far as  
19 the contact was concerned, yes.

20 Mr. Baron. Was there anyone else who was a predecessor  
21 to you in any other sense?

22 AMLASH Case Officer. Not that I recall.

23 Mr. Rhea. Before you took over Project AMLASH, what  
24 office did ~~Wainwright~~ work out of? Was he under the DDP?

25 AMLASH Case Officer. No, he was under SAS.

WARD & PAUL

410 First Street, S.E., Washington, D.C. 20003

Phone (Area 202) 544-6000

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25

Mr. Rhea. He was under SAS.

Mr. Baron. Which was?

AMLASH Case Officer. Under FitzGerald.

Mr. Baron. Which was under FitzGerald who in turn was under the DDP Helms, is that correct?

AMLASH Case Officer. That's correct.

Mr. Baron. After you took over from ~~Wainwright~~, did he continue to be involved in Project AMLASH in any way?

AMLASH Case Officer. No, not after the first meeting.

Mr. Baron. He moved on to a different assignment then?

AMLASH Case Officer. Yes.

Mr. Baron. Directing your attention back to this contact, or this excerpt from a contact report, I realize that you don't have a direct recollection of seeing this contact report except for glancing at it in the files, but do you recall ever discussing with ~~Wainwright~~ the excerpt in the fourth paragraph here where it says "He" -- referring to AMLASH -- "also wanted to plan the execution of Carlos Rodriquez (a topranking Castro subordinate) and the Soviet Ambassador, and also to eliminate Fidel, by execution if necessary."

And then it continues, in the next paragraph, "While we were making no commitments or plans, we pointed out to AMLASH that schemes like he envisioned certainly took place, but that a lot of coordination, plans, information collection, et cetera were necessary prerequisites to insure the value and

WARD & PAUL

410 First Street, S.E., Washington, D.C. 20003

Phone (Area 202) 544-6000

WARD & PAUL

410 First Street, S.E., Washington, D.C. 20003

1 success of such plans."

2 Did you ever discuss this kind of desire to eliminate  
3 Fidel Castro and others on the part of AMLASH?

4 AMLASH Case Officer. Not specifically, no. Not in  
5 conjunction with this report, this contact report that is  
6 shown.

7 Mr. Baron. Did you have general briefing sessions with  
8 ~~Wainwright~~ when you took over from him as case officer on the  
9 project?

10 AMLASH Case Officer. Yes.

11 Mr. Baron. Was it in the course of his briefing sessions  
12 that you generally discussed the subject that is referred to  
13 here, the subject of AMLASH's desire to eliminate Castro by  
14 execution if necessary?

15 AMLASH Case Officer. If this was mentioned, it was a very  
16 small portion of the discussion about AMLASH. My primary  
17 interest at that time in my discussions with ~~Wainwright~~ is to  
18 find out who specifically --

19 (Whereupon, Senator Morgan entered the hearing room.)

20 Mr. Baron. Let's go off the record a minute.

21 (Discussion off the record.)

22 Senator Morgan. Do you solemnly swear that the testimony  
23 you have given today will be the truth, the whole truth, and  
24 nothing but the truth, so help you God?

25 AMLASH Case Officer. I do.

Phone (Area 202) 544-6000

1 Mr. Baron. You understand -- is it true that, now that  
2 you are under oath, that you are willing to state that the  
3 testimony you have given here this afternoon was the truth as  
4 far as you know it?

5 AMLASH Case Officer. Yes.

6 Mr. Baron. And you understand that your rights are still  
7 intact that we discussed before? You have the right to counsel,  
8 if you wish, the right to stop at any point and ask for  
9 counsel, and the right to remain silent as we have already  
10 discussed, and these rights are still intact?

11 (Discussion off the record.)

12 Mr. Baron. Now we were just discussing general briefing  
13 sessions that you may have had with **William Wainwright** when  
14 you took over for him as case officer for the AMLASH operation  
15 and you say that you do not have a specific recollection of  
16 **Wainwright** telling you that AMLASH was intent on eliminating  
17 Castro by execution if necessary, is that correct?

18 AMLASH Case Officer. That is correct.

19 Mr. Baron. Is it possible that you generally discussed  
20 AMLASH's desire to get rid of Castro with **Wainwright** during  
21 your briefing sessions?

22 AMLASH Case Officer. In general this was mentioned, because  
23 this was seen by AMLASH at that time as one of the means of  
24 reversing the government in Cuba.

25 Mr. Baron. Presumably, if **Wainwright** was aware, as he

WARD & PAUL

410 First Street, S.E., Washington, D.C. 20003

Phone (Area 202) 544-6000

1 indicates in this report of the strength of AMLASH's desire  
2 to get rid of Castro by execution if necessary, he would have  
3 conveyed that to you in some way, isn't that correct?

4 AMLASH Case Officer. Yes, but you also must recognize  
5 that AMLASH was a rather temperamental man whose temperament  
6 was of a mercurical nature and whereas he may have said something  
7 like this in one fit of pique, he would settle down and talk  
8 about organizing a regular military coup in the next breath.

9 Mr. Baron. At the time that you took over Project AMLASH,  
10 did ~~Wainwright~~ tell you that a specific means of overthrowing  
11 Castro had been chosen?

12 AMLASH Case Officer. No.

13 Mr. Baron. Then in this document when he says that "We  
14 were making no commitments or plans" and then it goes on to  
15 say that he wanted to make sure that AMLASH understood that  
16 "coordination, planning, information, collection, et cetera,  
17 were necessary prerequisites to insure the value and success  
18 of such plans" what plans would he have been referring to there?

19 AMLASH Case Officer. I don't know. It was true that  
20 there was certainly no plans that I know of which would refer  
21 to the elimination of Rodriquez, Fidel or the Soviet Ambassador,  
22 general plans for the coup, for a military coup, maybe this was  
23 what he was talking about. I wouldn't know, I don't know  
24 what he was -- I wasn't there at the time and I don't know  
25 specifically what he was talking about.

WARD & PAUL

410 First Street, S.E., Washington, D.C. 20003

Phone (Area 202) 544-6000

1 Mr. Baron. Let's move on, then, to a few days later in  
2 August of 1962; between the 10th and the 11th of August of  
3 1962, ~~Wainwright, Carlos Tepedino~~ and AMLASH apparently met  
4 in Copenhagen for further meetings. Do you have any recollection  
5 of being informed by ~~Wainwright~~ of those meetings?

6 AMLASH Case Officer. No, just on the general subject  
7 that they had met, but not on any specifics.

8 Mr. Baron. Do you have any recollection of learning from  
9 any source that during the meetings in Copenhagen, assassination  
10 was discussed between ~~Wainwright~~ and AMLASH?

11 AMLASH Case Officer. As a plan?

12 Mr. Baron. Discussed in any sense.

13 AMLASH Case Officer. I don't know. I mean, it may have  
14 been, but I don't know if it was specifically discussed by  
15 him. But I do not recollect that ~~Wainwright~~ or anybody told  
16 me that in Copenhagen assassination was discussed.

17 Mr. Kelley. Did ~~Wainwright~~ ever say to you that you should  
18 not use the word assassinate with AMLASH for any reason in  
19 particular because AMLASH objected to the use of that word?

20 AMLASH Case Officer. Yes. I recall ~~Wainwright~~ stating  
21 that AMLASH did not like to talk specifically about assassina-  
22 tion.

23 Mr. Baron. Did you recall any time in your association  
24 with AMLASH when he became upset when that word might arise  
25 in conversation?

WARD & PAUL

410 First Street, S.E., Washington, D.C. 20003

Phone (Area 202) 544-6000

1 AMLASH Case Officer. I don't recall that he specifically  
2 used that word. He would use such words as "neutralization,"  
3 but not specifically "assassination."

4 Mr. Baron. And when he would use a word like neutraliza-  
5 tion, he would be referring to assassination, is that a fair  
6 assumption?

7 AMLASH Case Officer. Well, yes and no. We were talking  
8 about the removal from power of Castro, which could mean  
9 assassination, but could also mean just physically remove him  
10 without killing him.

11 Mr. Baron. Let me show you for a moment an excerpt from  
12 a contact report written by **Wainwright** about his meetings on  
13 August 10th and 11th, 1962. He refers in this excerpt for the  
14 record to the fact that they were "discussing various aspects  
15 of AMLASH's future role in Cuba." And he says, "we used the  
16 term assassinate, which was most objectionable to AMLASH and  
17 he became visibly upset."

18 And then he goes on to say, "It was not the act he  
19 objected to but merely the choice of the word used to describe  
20 it. Eliminate was acceptable."

21 Does that fit with your understanding of the way AMLASH  
22 related to the concept of assassination and the use of the  
23 word assassination?

24 AMLASH Case Officer. Generally speaking yes, because we  
25 never -- I certainly never raised the subject of assassination

WARD & PAUL

470 First Street, S.E., Washington, D.C. 20003



Phone (Area 202) 544-6000

1 Mr. Baron. Does this description by ~~Wainwright~~ of the  
 2 fact that AMLASH would not object to the act of assassination  
 3 but rather to the word, fit with your understanding of  
 4 AMLASH's character? That he would have no particular objection  
 5 to contemplating assassination?

6 AMLASH Case Officer. That is difficult for me to say  
 7 because as I say, we never discussed this subject in that  
 8 detail.

9 Mr. Baron. Did he ever use the term eliminate Castro  
 10 to you to the best of your recollection?

11 AMLASH Case Officer. I recall the word neutralize more  
 12 than eliminate.

13 Mr. Baron. Would you assume that they would have meant  
 14 the same thing?

15 AMLASH Case Officer. Yes, vaguely, I guess. It would  
 16 probably mean the same thing.

17 Mr. Baron. And that they could be fairly interpreted  
 18 in this context as a euphemism that AMLASH might use, because  
 19 he was uncomfortable with the word assassination?

20 AMLASH Case Officer. It could be. I mean, I could not  
 21 state specifically whether he was using it because he was  
 22 uncomfortable with the word assassination, or because he was  
 23 uncomfortable with the act itself. This is difficult for  
 24 me to clarify for you.

25 Mr. Baron. All right.

WARD & PAUL

410 First Street, S.E., Washington, D.C. 20003

Phone (Area 202) 544-6000

1 Did you ever learn of a meeting later in August between  
2 AMLASH, **Trepedino, Wainwright and Earl Williamson?** Do you  
3 have any recollection of being told about such a meeting?

4 AMLASH Case Officer. Only in general terms.

5 Mr. Baron. Did you know **Earl Williamson?**

6 AMLASH Case Officer. Not at that time.

7 Mr. Baron. Did you come to know **Earl Williamson** during  
8 the course of your association with Project AMLASH?

9 AMLASH Case Officer. No.

10 Mr. Baron. Were you aware of the fact that AMLASH was  
11 given any kind of special training in France during 1962?

12 AMLASH Case Officer. Only a vague recollection from the  
13 file.

14 Mr. Baron. And what is your recollection?

15 AMLASH Case Officer. I don't recall if it was training  
16 or if it was a demonstration in explosives, but no more than  
17 that.

18 Mr. Baron. Why would he be given this kind of training?

19 AMLASH Case Officer. I don't know.

20 Mr. Baron. Wouldn't this kind of training be for the  
21 purpose of operations that he might perform inside Cuba?

22 AMLASH Case Officer. I do not specifically know if there  
23 were any plans for such operations. If such --

24 Mr. Baron. I'm not asking right now if there were plans  
25 or not, but simply what the purpose of the training would have

WARD & PAUL

410 First Street, S.E., Washington, D.C. 20003

1 been?

2 AMLASH Case Officer. I don't know.

3 Mr. Baron. To create the capability to perform such  
4 operations. Is that a fair way to put it?

5 AMLASH Case Officer. It would appear that that's what it  
6 would be, but I don't know that those were the plans.

7 Mr. Baron. And were you aware of any S/W training that  
8 was given to AMLASH?

9 AMLASH Case Officer. Only from having heard that he was  
10 given S/W training.

11 Mr. Baron. And is that secret writing training?

12 AMLASH Case Officer. Secret writing.

13 In order for him to be able to communicate off-island, if  
14 he returned.

15 Mr. Baron. Do you have any recollection of a cable that  
16 **Earl Williamson** sent to CIA Headquarters on August 17 which  
17 indicated that AMLASH might try to carry out an assassination  
18 attempt on his own initiative?

19 AMLASH Case Officer. I do not have any recollection at that  
20 time. Reading the file afterwards, I know a cable was sent.  
21 Specifically what it said, I do not recall.

22 Mr. Baron. But you do remember that a cable was sent to  
23 the effect that AMLASH might try a physical elimination mission  
24 against Castro on his own?

25 AMLASH Case Officer. No, I do not specifically remember

Phone (Area 202) 544-6000

1 that.

2 Mr. Baron. What do you recollect about the cable?

3 AMLASH Case Officer. The one of the fact that he had been  
4 given the explosives training, or the demonstration in explosives,  
5 but specifically that it mentioned assassination, I do not  
6 recall.

7 Mr. Baron. Let me draw your attention now to a document  
8 we will mark AMLASH 3, which is a one sentence excerpt from a  
9 cable from **Earl Williamson**, who was a case officer of the CIA,  
10 to CIA Headquarters, cabled on August 17, 1962.

(The document referred  
to was marked AMLASH  
Exhibit No. 3 for  
identification.)

WARD & PAUL

410 First Street, S.E., Washington, D.C. 20003

11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25

Phone (Area 202) 544-6000

1 Mr. Baron. After reading this cable, do you ever remember  
2 seeing that before?

3 AMLASH Case Officer. No.

4 Mr. Baron. Were you ever briefed by ~~Wainwright~~ or anyone  
5 else on the fact that the CIA was aware that AMLASH could or  
6 might try to carry out on his own initiative a physical  
7 elimination mission against Castro?

8 AMLASH Case Officer. It was mentioned to me, but only in  
9 the most general terms, not in any specific plan that he had to  
10 do it.

11 Mr. Baron. And what kind of general terms would it have  
12 been that he would do it?

13 AMLASH Case Officer. Primarily, as I recall, in the terms  
14 of his -- the fact that he did not like to use the term assass-  
15 ination.

16 Again, getting back to what I mentioned before in the  
17 terms of elimination or neutralization of the leadership, but  
18 not specifically of an assassination, a specific assassination  
19 attempt against Castro. It was in those general terms that I  
20 recall it, not in specific terms.

21 Mr. Baron. In looking at this cable, however, would you  
22 agree that the physical elimination mission that is referred  
23 to there where it says "Have no intention give AMLASH physical  
24 elimination mission" is probably an assassination attempt  
25 against Castro? In other words, ~~Williamson~~ is saying I have no

WARD & PAUL

410 First Street, S.E., Washington, D.C. 20003

Phone (Area 202) 544-6000

1 yourself and AMLASH?

2 AMLASH Case Officer. ~~Wainwright~~ went down there to  
3 introduce me to AMLASH.

4 Mr. Baron. Do you remember if ~~Carlos Tepedino~~ was also  
5 present?

6 AMLASH Case Officer. ~~Tepedino~~ also went on that trip, yes.

7 Mr. Baron. And what was the purpose of that trip?

8 AMLASH Case Officer. To introduce AMLASH to me as a  
9 Spanish-speaking case officer.

10 Mr. Baron. Do you recall any specific discussions you  
11 had with AMLASH about the role he might play inside Cuba?

12 AMLASH Case Officer. During the first meeting, as I  
13 recall, we primarily discussed the situation in Cuba, the  
14 internal situation vis-a-vis Castro personalities who were  
15 unhappy for one reason or another with the Castro regime.

16 Mr. Baron. In general, what was AMLASH's assessment at  
17 that time of the strength of the Castro regime?

18 AMLASH Case Officer. As I recall, it was strong. There  
19 were many people around Castro, and this was the high military  
20 officers who were unhappy with the Communist orientation of  
21 the regime, but many of these officers were still, as he  
22 reported at that time, were either still loyal to Castro or  
23 were afraid of the consequences if their disloyalty was found  
24 out.

25 Mr. Baron. And AMLASH himself was willing to participate

WARD & PAUL

410 First Street, S.E., Washington, D.C. 20003

Phone (Area 202) 544-6000

1 everything that was being done, as far as I understood it,  
2 was to foment a military coup. That was the objective.

3 Mr. Kelley. And where did you understand this from? Who  
4 gave direction and shape to the program in which you were  
5 working?

6 AMLASH Case Officer. Well, I worked for FitzGerald. I  
7 worked for Desmond FitzGerald.

8 Mr. Baron. Who was at that time Chief of Task Force W?  
9 AMLASH Case Officer. He was the Chief of the Task Force.

10 Mr. Baron. Or Chief of SAS?

11 AMLASH Case Officer. Or SAS.

12 Mr. Baron. Do you recall telling AMLASH to assist a man  
13 who was known as AMTRUNK in his intelligence assignments within  
14 Cuba?

15 AMLASH Case Officer. I didn't specifically ask him to  
16 assist AMTRUNK-10, because he was one of the ones of the  
17 assets we had unilaterally recruited inside Cuba. In my  
18 discussions with AMLASH, I found out for the first time that  
19 he was also a friend and in contact with AMTRUNK-10.

20 Mr. Baron. And do you recall the mission that AMTRUNK-10  
21 had at that time?

22 AMLASH Case Officer. It was very early in the operation.  
23 It was a matter of establishing communications with him at that  
24 time. Again, he was going to be used to develop the intelli-  
25 gence that we were trying to develop.

tape 2a

WARD & PAUL

410 First Street, S.E., Washington, D.C. 20003

Phone (Area 202) 544-6000

1 Mr. Baron. Was AMTRUNK-10 a military officer, high  
2 military officer in the Cuban Army?

3 AMLASH Case Officer. Yes, he was.

4 Mr. Baron. And he was in some sort of regular contact  
5 with you as case officer for this project?

6 AMLASH Case Officer. Only through a courier who had been  
7 infiltrated to the island at that time.

8 Mr. Baron. In early September of 1963, do you recall  
9 AMLASH expressing any kind of dissatisfaction with the willing-  
10 ness of the CIA to help him out? Do you recall any thought  
11 that he might not want to see you again shortly after that  
12 meeting in Brazil?

13 AMLASH Case Officer. Only in a letter or message that I  
14 recall that was received by **Tepedino**, and he had expressed his  
15 feeling at that time that the way it was interpreted that he  
16 decided to defect. In other words, he wasn't interested in  
17 carrying on any further conversations about the possibility of  
18 returning to Cuba.

19 Mr. Baron. Did you meet with him, or did he defect  
20 thereafter?

21 AMLASH Case Officer. No, he didn't.

22 Mr. Baron. And did you meet with him shortly thereafter  
23 in the beginning of October in Paris?

24 AMLASH Case Officer. Yes, I did.

25 Mr. Baron. Had he changed his mind about defecting at that

WARD & PAUL

410 First Street, S.E., Washington, D.C. 20003



Phone (Area 202) 544-6000

1 point?

2 AMLASH Case Officer. Not specifically, but he was willing  
3 to, again, discuss the possibility of returning.

4 Mr. Baron. Had anything specific happened to antagonize  
5 him in Brazil so that he would not want to see you again?

6 AMLASH Case Officer. No.

7 Mr. Baron. Was he asking for any particular kind of  
8 support at that point, other than help in defecting?

9 AMLASH Case Officer. No, he didn't specifically make  
10 any requests of me, except if he did return to Cuba that he  
11 would expect our support and assurances of our support.

12 Mr. Baron. And did he make this clear to you in Paris  
13 in early October?

14 AMLASH Case Officer. Yes, he did.

15 Mr. Baron. Did you know **John Red Stent?**

16 AMLASH Case Officer. He was in Paris at that time.

17 Mr. Baron. And he was working for the CIA in Paris at  
18 the time?

19 AMLASH Case Officer. Yes.

20 Mr. Baron. And do you recall that **Richard Long** was also  
21 working for the CIA at that time in Paris?

22 AMLASH Case Officer. In Paris, yes.

23 Mr. Baron. Do you recall that they participated in the  
24 meetings with AMLASH in early October in Paris?

25 AMLASH Case Officer. They did not participate in my

WARD & PAUL

410 First Street, S.E., Washington, D.C. 20003

Phone (Area 202) 544-6000

1 meetings with AMLASH. They met AMLASH to pass him a message  
2 telling him that I was coming and that I would be there to  
3 talk to him.

4 Mr. Baron. Do you recall learning in very early October  
5 that the French were keeping AMLASH under surveillance?

6 AMLASH Case Officer. Vaguely. I vaguely recall being  
7 told by the people in Paris that this was the case. I did not  
8 detect at any time I was in contact with him any French sur-  
9 veillances.

10 Mr. Baron. And did you make any contact with the French  
11 government or French intelligence service to inform them that  
12 the CIA had an official relationship with AMLASH?

13 AMLASH Case Officer. No, I didn't.

14 Mr. Baron. Were you aware that the CIA made such a  
15 contact?

16 AMLASH Case Officer. Later on I was aware of it. At that  
17 time, I wasn't.

18 Mr. Baron. Later on in October, around the 11th of  
19 October in '63, do you recall any particular kind of request  
20 that AMLASH was asking you to make to people back in Headquarters  
21 at the CIA?

22 AMLASH Case Officer. Yes.

23 Again, he had not decided himself as to whether he would  
24 defect or return to Cuba. If he decided to return to Cuba, he  
25 wanted several things. First of all, he wanted the assurances

WARD & PAUL

410 First Street, S.E., Washington, D.C. 20003

Phone (Area 202) 544-6000

1 AMLASH Case Officer. No, I didn't.

2 Mr. Bayly. Did you think there was any subterfuge or  
3 funny business going on?

4 AMLASH Case Officer. No.

5 Mr. Baron. Do you remember then a meeting that took place  
6 subsequently in Paris with AMLASH and FitzGerald and yourself  
7 present?

8 AMLASH Case Officer. Yes.

9 Mr. Baron. And to the best of your recollection, would  
10 October 29, 1963 be the date of that meeting?

11 AMLASH Case Officer. It was late October, yes.

12 Mr. Baron. Did you serve as the interpreter at that  
13 meeting?

14 AMLASH Case Officer. Yes.

15 Mr. Baron. And did FitzGerald use an alias?

16 AMLASH Case Officer. Yes.

17 Mr. Baron. Do you remember his alias?

18 AMLASH Case Officer. James Clark, I believe it was.

19 Mr. Baron. And was **Red Stant** present at that meeting?

20 AMLASH Case Officer. No.

21 Mr. Baron. What do you remember about the discussion at  
22 that meeting?

23 AMLASH CASE Officer. It was a discussion in which  
24 FitzGerald assured AMLASH, gave him the assurances that he was  
25 looking for, that the United States' government was prepared

WARD & PAUL

410 First Street, S.E., Washington, D.C. 20003

# TOP SECRET

71

1 Senator Morgan. As I recall, most of them were shot.  
2 AMLASH Case Officer. No, the whole group was reduced. In  
3 fact, two of them were let go. He and AMTRUNK-10 were given  
4 twenty-five years, I may be wrong.

5 Senator Morgan. Well, they were, but I'm talking about  
6 other than this group of people who were involved with AMLASH.

7 What I am really asking is, do you think there is any  
8 possibility that Castro knew what was going on all of the time?

9 AMLASH Case Officer. That is a very good question, but  
10 I have nothing that I could say definitively that he did. It  
11 was something that we were always checking, that we were very  
12 sensitive to, that we had a double agent, shall we say, on our  
13 hands, and we did not have any indication at the time I was  
14 involved with the operation that he had been run into us by  
15 Castro.

16 Senator Morgan. Does it strike you as somewhat unusual  
17 that during all of this time while you were talking about a  
18 military coup, you were providing guns and hidden hypodermic  
19 needles and et cetera that nothing ever came of it? This is  
20 the thing that disturbs me, or makes me wonder, is, you know,  
21 I don't think there is any question but what if they had really  
22 been concerned they could have brought about the execution of --

23 AMLASH Case Officer. Well, Senator, the thing is, your  
24 reference to the hypodermic thing, it was shown to him, it  
25 wasn't given to him, he never took that in with him.

Phone (Area 202) 544-6000

WARD & PAUL

410 First Street, S.E., Washington, D.C. 20003

Phone (Area 202) 544-6000

1 AMLASH Case Officer. He did not specifically state this,  
2 but this could be one type, yes.

3 Mr. Baron. Let me ask you first whether you remember a  
4 contact report written by ~~Wainwright~~ after ~~Wainwright~~ met with  
5 ~~Tepeдино~~ in New York in mid-November, 1963, in which ~~Wainwright~~  
6 explains what AMLASH's reaction was to this whole meeting.

7 Do you remember such a contact report?

8 AMLASH Case Officer. I don't remember the contact report.  
9 I remember there was some kind of word back that AMLASH was  
10 generally, again, pleased with the meeting that he had had,  
11 but I don't remember the details as to what else.

12 The policy assurance had been given to him and he was  
13 satisfied with that. He now wanted to discuss the specific  
14 support that he thought he needed, both to initiate a coup and  
15 to protect himself. So these were the issues that were still  
16 being discussed at that time.

17 Mr. Baron. All right.

18 Let's move then to whatever thinking you and FitzGerald  
19 and anyone else at CIA did about the kind of support to be  
20 provided, what kind of discussions did you have following this  
21 meeting with FitzGerald or anyone else in the Cuban Task Force  
22 about the kind of support to be provided at this point?

23 AMLASH Case Officer. Well, he requested the high-powered  
24 rifles with the scopes and these were the center of the  
25 discussion, because -- well, as to whether these would be, a

WARD & PAUL

410 First Street, S.E., Washington, D.C. 20003

Phone (Area 202) 544-6000

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25

ask you, I would just like for you to take a look at a document that will be called Exhibit No. 8. This is excerpted in the IG Report, and it is a contact report written by ~~Wainwright~~ that reports a meeting he had with ~~Pepedino~~ in New York City in which ~~Pepedino~~ explained AMLASH's reaction to the meeting with FitzGerald.

(The document referred to was marked AMLASH Exhibit No. 8 for identification.)

WARD & PAUL

410 First Street, S.E., Washington, D.C. 20003

Phone (Area 202) 544-6000

WARD & PAUL

410 First Street, S.E., Washington, D.C. 20003

1           Mr. Rhea. I wanted particularly to draw your attention  
 2 to the sentence halfway through the paragraph which says that  
 3 he, meaning AMLASH, could not understand why he was denied  
 4 certain small pieces of equipment which promised a final  
 5 solution to the problem, parenthetically, that refers to the  
 6 Cuban problem and Castro's control of the island, while, on  
 7 the other hand, the U.S. government gave much equipment and  
 8 money to exile groups for their ineffective excursions against  
 9 Cuban coastal targets.

10           The first question I have about that particular document  
 11 is do recall which small pieces of might be referred to in  
 12 this contact report, which is admittedly secondhand, this  
 13 report from ~~Tepeдино~~ to ~~Wainwright~~. Do you have any idea what  
 14 small pieces of equipment might be referred to?

15           AMLASH Case Officer. No, not specifically, except to  
 16 believe that this would be the small piece of esoteric type of  
 17 equipment that he would need to defend himself because this is  
 18 what had been denied him, so I am only saying this from what  
 19 I know at that time. He would be unhappy with the technical  
 20 support, because on 14 November, he had not been told that he  
 21 would get a cache or that he would be given any kind or shown  
 22 any kind of small equipment, esoteric equipment, that he thought  
 23 he needed.

24           Mr. Rhea. All right.

25           This leads us into the main event I would like to talk with

Phone (Area 202) 544-6000

1 you about, and that is the decision by people within the CIA  
2 to manufacture a pen that would be rigged with a hypodermic  
3 needle which could eject a poison into someone.

4 We have had various testimony on what this event means,  
5 and I would like to get your impression of it.

6 To work into it in an orderly fashion, first, when do you  
7 first recall any discussions about producing a poison pen or  
8 any discussions of that type, to give to AMLASH?

9 AMLASH Case Officer. Specifically, I don't recall when  
10 this matter was raised. It certainly just have taken place  
11 after the 29 October meeting.

12 Mr. Rhea. Would you date it before the November 14th  
13 meeting?

14 AMLASH Case Officer. No, because in fact he didn't know  
15 that -- nobody knew that this was even -- I didn't know that  
16 this was a possibility until two or three days before the  
17 22 November meeting.

18 Mr. Baron. Let's go off the record.

19 (Discussion off the record.)

20 Mr. Baron. For the record, there was no meeting on the  
21 14th of November, that was simply the date on which **Walwright**  
22 reportedly met with **Tapedino** in New York City to discuss  
23 AMLASH's reaction to the Paris meeting on October the 29th.

24 Mr. Rhea. Were you involved in the decision to produce  
25 this poison pen?

WARD & PAUL

410 First Street, S.E., Washington, D.C. 20003



Phone (Area 202) 544-6000

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25

part referring to you?

AMLASH Case Officer. No, just the part referring to me.

Mr. Schwarz. With respect to the part that refers to you, I take it you have got no knowledge about Mr. McCone's awareness of any of that material?

AMLASH Case Officer. No.

Mr. Schwarz. All right.

AMLASH Case Officer. And to the best of my recollection, there's nothing in my part of the IG Report.

Mr. Schwarz. No, there is not. You are correct.

Mr. Rhea. I would like to ask you about some cables and references in the IG Report, even though you've testified you were not personally aware of any of these weapons drops, I would like for you to help us clear up some terms.

(Discussion off the record.)

Mr. Rhea. Are you familiar with the term AMTRUNK?

AMLASH Case Officer. It was -- I recall the term AMTRUNK which was one of the cryptonyms used for the group of, a certain group of military officers or agents inside Cuba. I can specifically recall the one of them that we talked about before was AMTRUNK-10. What it meant, I don't know.

Mr. Rhea. Would the AMTRUNK operation be comparable to the AMLASH Operation but simply involve different characters? Would that be a fair way to characterize it.

AMLASH Case officer. Yes, that would be a fair way to

WARD & PAUL

410 First Street, S.E., Washington, D.C. 20003

Phone (Area 202) 544-6000

1 AMLASH Case Officer. As I stated before, I don't speci-  
2 fically remember that he requested FAL's. What I vaguely  
3 remember is at some time he made mention that he owned an FAL,  
4 that he had an FAL in his home in Cuba.

5 Mr. Rhea. Would you have any reason to doubt, aside from  
6 faulty memory would you have any reason to doubt that he did  
7 request FAL's be included in the drop?

8 AMLASH Case Officer. He could have.

9 Mr. Rhea. You have no recollection at all?

10 AMLASH Case Officer. No.

11 Mr. Rhea. Are you familiar with the name ~~Carlos Jorge~~  
12 ~~Robreno?~~

13 AMLASH Case Officer. I vaguely recall that he was one of  
14 the people that was involved with AMLASH.

15 Mr. Rhea. Do you recall his role?

16 AMLASH Case Officer. No, because when I was in the  
17 operation he was not active in any way except that AMLASH had  
18 mentioned him as one of the people he could use.

19 Mr. Rhea. Are you familiar with a request by AMLASH for  
20 silencers for FAL rifles?

21 AMLASH Case Officer. I don't recall specifically that he  
22 made this request of me.

23 Mr. Rhea. Do you recall discussing silencers with him  
24 for any purpose?

25 AMLASH Case Officer. Not that I can recollect.

WARD & PAUL

410 First Street, S.E., Washington, D.C. 20003

Phone (Area 202) 544-6000

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25

you have anything there?

Mr. Rhea. I do. I want to show you a few things in there.

Again, I want to get your opinion on some summaries in the IG Report.

I will hand you Exhibit No. 9, which appears on page 100 of the Inspector General's Report which is an excerpt of a memorandum allegedly prepared by you and left with Robert Owen Paris Station on December 10, 1964.

(The document referred to was marked AMLASH Exhibit No. 9 for identification.)

WARD & PAUL

410 First Street, S.E., Washington, D.C. 20003

Phone (Area 202) 544-6000

1 that in his opinion at that time was necessary to carry off a  
2 coup.

3 Mr. Rhea. But knowing what he planned on doing,  
4 you felt it was quite proper to put him in touch with people  
5 who could enable him to do that?

6 AMLASH Case Officer. Well, I don't think it was felt, it  
7 wasn't a matter of it being proper or improper. The objective  
8 for a military coup inside Cuba still existed.

9 There was a group on the outside -- it was bigger than  
10 just that part of the operation, in other words, to put him in  
11 touch with just the people who would provide him with the arms  
12 to eliminate the leadership, because we never got down with  
13 him to talking about that specific operation.

14 In other words, Artime had a group on the outside that  
15 could give him general support, any kind of support, which we  
16 would have to conclude included providing any weapons that he  
17 had requested.

18 Mr. Rhea. Let me read you one further statement in the  
19 Inspector Generals Report which I will then ask you about. It  
20 is a parenthetical statement that is captioned as a comment,  
21 and it refers to your testimony before the Inspector General,  
22 that is at page 100 of the report -- it states that you "Ex-  
23 plained to us that what had happened was that SAS contrived to  
24 put Artime and AMLASH together in such a way that neither knew  
25 the contact had been engineered by CIA. The thought was Artime

WARD & PAUL

410 First Street, S.E., Washington, D.C. 20003