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1 - The Deputy Attorney General
Attention: Michael E. Shaheen, Jr.
Special Counsel for
Intelligence Coordination

NOTE:
A copy of the SSC letter 11/7/75, is attached to the file copy of enclosed letterhead memorandum. Exact copies of the materials being furnished are maintained in the office of the SENSTUDY 75 Project. Arrangements have been made for a representative of the Legal Counsel Division to deliver the attached memorandum as well as the materials being provided to the SSC.
U. S. SENATE SELECT COMMITTEE TO
STUDY GOVERNMENTAL OPERATIONS WITH
RESPECT TO INTELLIGENCE ACTIVITIES (SSC)

Reference is made to SSC requests contained in letter of Senator Frank Church dated November 7, 1975, for materials concerning Martin Luther King, Jr.

The purpose of this memorandum is to affect delivery to the SSC of information and materials in response to requests contained in the above SSC letter.

The attention of the SSC is invited to FBI memorandum dated November 11, 1975, which referred to a highly sensitive oral briefing furnished to Senator Church and selected members of the SSC Staff by FBI personnel on November 5, 1975. This briefing was intended, in part, to serve in lieu of any written information in response to SSC requests for communications documenting Stanley David Levison as a secret member of the Communist Party, USA (CPUSA).

Because of SSC insistence in requesting items to establish factual basis for Levison's secret CPUSA membership, as set forth in referenced SSC letter, our New York office was contacted for documents representing original recordings from Bureau informants concerning Levison. As a result our New York office provided the material which is now being delivered and which has served as a basis for statements in various FBI documents that Levison was a secret member of the CPUSA.
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November 20, 1975
It is noted that referenced SSC letter requested un-excised copies of the February 22, and June 12, 1962, communications from New York which appear to have been the "articulated predicates for the 'cominform' case." The SSC letter did not identify captions of these communications; nor identify any specific "cominform case." Therefore, we were unable to locate any pertinent documents bearing these dates in our Headquarters files. At a meeting on November 12, 1975, between SSC Staff Member Michael Epstein and representatives of the Intelligence Division of the FBI, it was agreed that the two documents apparently referred to above are New York airtel dated February 12, 1962, captioned "Dr. Martin Luther King, Jr.; SM-C" and New York letter dated August 21, 1962, captioned "Communist Infiltration of the Southern Christian Leadership Conference; IS-C."

New York airtel dated February 12, 1962, is being delivered with this memorandum among those documents received from our New York office. Excisions in this document are fewer than those made in a copy previously provided the SSC. A copy bearing no or fewer excisions cannot be provided the SSC for reasons made clear during the oral briefing of Senator Church and selected SSC Staff members on November 5, 1975.

It is noted that New York letter dated August 21, 1962, which is being delivered with this memorandum, is not believed to have been previously provided the SSC.
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Delivered by: Richard J. Jost
Received by: Lynsey Oster
Title: 235P

Return this receipt to the Intelligence Division, FBI
United States Senate
SELECT COMMITTEE TO
STUDY GOVERNMENTAL OPERATIONS WITH
RESPECT TO INTELLIGENCE ACTIVITIES
(PURSUANT TO S. RES. 11, 93rd CONGRESS)
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20510

November 7, 1975

Michael E. Shaheen, Jr., Esq.
Office of Deputy Attorney General
Room 4313
U.S. Department of Justice
Washington, D.C. 20530

Dear Mr. Shaheen:

I want you to know how much I appreciated the opportunity to meet with you and your associates the other day about the Martin Luther King, Jr. case. It was most helpful to have the benefit of the Bureau's knowledge concerning the background of the case, and I can assure you that we will do everything possible to avoid jeopardizing any sensitive FBI sources or ongoing operations.

I do want to reiterate our need for the specific items set forth in earlier document requests which would presumably establish the factual basis for your conclusion as of various dates in 1962, 1963 and 1964 that Stanley Levison was a "secret member of the Communist Party, USA." Of course in the event that there is no particular document in any of those years wherein Levison was so-identified to the FBI, I think it would be helpful for you to let us know so that we may consider our outstanding requests in that context. Finally, of course, we still need unexcised copies of the February 22 and June 12, 1962 communications from New York which appear to have been the articulated predicates for the "cominfil" case.

Once again, I want to thank you for your time and I look forward to hearing from you further on this subject.

Sincerely,

[Signature]
Frank Church
Chairman
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Materials regarding Martin L. King, Jr., Stanley D. Levison and SCLC. Items to establish factual basis for Levison's secret CPUSA membership was obtained from FBI New York Office and furnished SSC.
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DOCUM
TO: SAC (100-111180)  
DATE: 4/17/64

FROM: SA JAMES M. KIRBY (414)  

SUBJECT: STANLEY LEVISON  
IS-C  

On 4/9/64, [NY 694-S* made the following observations with regard to the CP status of STANLEY LEVISON:

LEVISON has been an important Party member for many years and never at any time differed with the Party with respect to the Party line. His only difference with the Party has been with respect to the fact that in his opinion the Party was not sufficiently "militant" in defending Negro rights. In this respect, he has been to the "left" of the Party. LEVISON's differences with the Party are merely "tactical." He has never criticized the Party, except with respect to the Party's handling of the Negro question. The fact that he does not accept domination by the Party with regard to the MARTIN LUTHER KING movement should not be construed to mean that he has broken with the Party in all respects. The Party today is as anxious to reestablish friendly relations with LEVISON as it was in the past.

(It should be noted that LEVISON never has been officially "drummed out" of the Party, nor has anyone ever criticized him for being opposed to the Party's general principles. It further should be noted that according to the CP Constitution, it would require formal expulsion of LEVISON to state that he is officially out of the Party.

LEVISON never has said anything reflecting that he does not continue to be a CP member. It should be noted that it would be in the interests of the MARTIN LUTHER KING movement, with which he is associated, if he would publicly repudiate the Party, which he has never done. It should be further noted that in an effort to renew friendly relations
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with LEVISON, the Party designated BEN DAVIS to contact LEVISON. LEVISON had always expressed the opinion that BEN DAVIS was the only CP functionary who had a sufficiently "militant attitude" as regards CP activities in behalf of the Negro movement. The fact that DAVIS and LEVISON as yet have not met should not be construed to mean that LEVISON has refused to confer with DAVIS. As NY 694-S* understands the situation, DAVIS has been awaiting contact by LEVISON, and LEVISON similarly has been awaiting contact by DAVIS. Each of these individuals is sufficiently egotistical to expect that the other would make the first move in an effort to establish contact. NY 694-S* believes that probably the reason why DAVIS and LEVISON have not met is that arrangements for their meeting were to be made by LEM HARRIS and that HARRIS has not made the proper efforts to effect a meeting between LEVISON and DAVIS.

NY 694-S* has never obtained any information reflecting that LEVISON has changed his thinking with regard to Marxism. Even though his present estrangement from the Party might be construed as reflecting he is no longer a CP member, there is no reason to come to the conclusion that LEVISON is no longer an ideological Communist.

JACK talked to LEM HARRIS yesterday who said he contacted STAN LEVENSON by phone and was given a cordial hello which was a change from the past when he was cool.

LEM said he had not seen LEVENSON, tried to call him and would like to see him immediately to which LEVENSON said, "I would like very much to see you but I don't have the time now. I'm leaving and packing for a trip. I will be glad to see you in six weeks."

LEVENSON implied that he was going to Ecuador but LEM doesn't know why. There was no anger shown, and LEVENSON will see LEM when he returns in six weeks.)
Memorandum

TO: SAC, NEW YORK (100-111180)  DATE: 7/26/63

FROM: SA FRANCIS T. LEONARD

SUBJECT: STANLEY DAVID LEVISON

IS-C

The following is being submitted as a revised characterization of the subject:

STANLEY LEVISON is a secret member of the Communist Party, United States of America (CPUSA).

NY T-
July 16, 1963

NY T-

is (NY 634-S*)

A three by five card has been prepared containing the above characterization and will be filed in the library of the NYO.
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On 6/13/63, NY 694-S* furnished the following information to SA ALEXANDER C. BURLINSON:

On 6/12/63, LEMENT HARRIS, CPUSA Reserve Fund functionary, told NY 694-S* that in a recent meeting with STANLEY LEVISON, the latter furnished him the following information:

The LEVISONS, particularly STANLEY, are still MARTIN LUTHER KING's "brain trust"—now more than ever before. This is so despite the fact that at a meeting of STANLEY LEVISON, ROY LEVISON, HUNTER PITTS O'DELL, MARTIN LUTHER KING and "the Attorney General's chief assistant", the Attorney General's assistant, pointing to the LEVISONS and HUNTER PITTS O'DELL, stated to MARTIN LUTHER KING, "These men are Party members; watch out for them."

MARTIN LUTHER KING did not "bat an eyelash" upon hearing the Assistant Attorney General's remark, and after the latter left the meeting, those in the group smiled at each other. STANLEY LEVISON said to KING, at this time, "I congratulate you on the way you acted regarding his warning. You are a real Marxist-Leninist. This is how a true Marxist-Leninist acts."

Everything that KING does he first discusses with STANLEY LEVISON and he accepts LEVISON's advice wholeheartedly. KING also wholeheartedly accepts the advice of HUNTER PITTS O'DELL as regards matters within the latter's local jurisdiction.

MARTIN LUTHER KING was asked by a group of newspaper reporters whether he takes orders from "the Communists", and also, whether he took "orders from STANLEY LEVISON." KING's response was that he took orders only from God.

By reason of the fact that the LEVISONS feel that the Party has done little, if anything, to assist the fight
NY 100-136585

(for civil rights for Negroes, and further, since they feel that the Party, as a result of this "do nothing" attitude, has lost prestige, they will no longer permit the Party to dominate or control their activities in the MARTIN LUTHER KING movement. They are severing themselves financially and in a disciplinary way, from the Party.) The LEVISONS and O'DELL are still Party members, but do not desire to be openly "linked up" with the Party. Although they are "disenchanted" with the Party, they are not quitting the Party. With respect to the MARTIN LUTHER KING movement, they will act on their own initiative, and will not accept instructions from the Party.

(LEM HARRIS then advised that the Party, however, still would like to control the MARTIN LUTHER KING movement. BEN DAVIS has been assigned to be "liaison or intermediary" with the LEVISONS and HUNTER PITTS O'DELL. Whether or not the LEVISONS and O'DELL will maintain liaison regarding matters concerning the MARTIN LUTHER KING movement is a matter for them to decide. In view of LEVISON's statement with respect to advising MARTIN LUTHER KING independently of Party instructions, it would seem that DAVIS may not have any success in maintaining liaison with the LEVISONS and HUNTER PITTS O'DELL. The LEVISONS and O'DELL are aware of the fact that BEN DAVIS has been given this assignment by the Party.

MARTIN LUTHER KING, according to LEM HARRIS, is referred to by such top Party functionaries as GUS HALL, BEN DAVIS, JIM JACKSON and CLAUDE LIGHTFOOT as a "true, genuine, Marxist-Leninist from the top of his head to the tips of his toes." In the Party, the report is that MARTIN LUTHER KING is following a Marxist-Leninist line.

NY 694-S* advised that he asked LEM HARRIS whether or not, to the latter's knowledge, MARTIN LUTHER KING was a member of the CPUSA. LEM HARRIS replied that he did not know.

- 3 -
SAC, NEW YORK (100-111180)

SA FRANCIS T. LEONARD (415)

STANLEY LEVISON
IS-C

The following characterization is being submitted for approval with respect to the subject:

NY T- who has furnished reliable information in the past, advised on August 25, 1962, that STANLEY LEVISON is a secret member of the Communist Party, United States of America (CP, USA), and contributes funds to the CP on a regular basis.

NY T- is (INFLUENTIAL)
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TO: DIRECTOR, FBI (100- )
FROM: SAC, NEW YORK (100-136585)
SUBJECT: DR. MARTIN LUTHER KING, JR.
SM-C (OO: Atlanta)

(Sensitive Foreign Intelligence Operation (SFIO))

(Extreme caution must be exercised with respect to dissemination of the information herein since, by its nature, it tends to identify NY 694-S*, a valuable informant, as the source thereof. Unless this information is obtained from a source other than NY 694-S*, it should not be incorporated - even in paraphrased form - in the investigative section of a report.)

On 2/12/62, (NY 694-S*) advised SA ALEXANDER C. BURLINSON as follows:

(In a recent meeting with LEMENT HARRIS, CPUSA functionary associated with the CPUSA Reserve Fund operation, the informant was told by HARRIS that shortly before GUS HALL, CPUSA General Secretary, went to the West Coast, HARRIS transmitted to HALL a "confidential verbal report" on Dr. MARTIN LUTHER KING, furnished to HARRIS by STANLEY LEVISON, KING's attorney and advisor, who is a secret member of the CP (and a regular contributor to the CPUSA Reserve Fund. LEVISON's report was as follows:)

4-Bureau (100- ) (RM)
(1-100-392452) (STANLEY LEVISON)
1-Atlanta (100- ) (RM)
1-NY 134-91 (INV.) (411)
1-NY 100-111180 (STANLEY LEVISON) (415)
1-NY 100-7658 (LEMENT HARRIS) (415)
(1-NY 100-84994 (GUS HALL) (415))
1-NY 100-136585 (412)
When Dr. MARTIN LUTHER KING was invited to address the AFL-CIO convention some time ago, KING, on LEVISON's advice, first obtained approval to do so from A. PHILLIP RANDOLPH, negro labor leader, who, if he had not been consulted in the matter, might have felt that KING's making a speech at the aforesaid convention was a blow to RANDOLPH's prestige.

KING delivered a remarkably effective speech at the AFL-CIO convention, and, as a result, received invitations from numerous labor leaders, including "MC DONALD of Steel," to address their respective unions. As the outstanding champion of negro rights in the country, KING is a powerful political influence, recognized as such by the higher echelons of the Democratic Party. He has access to Attorney General ROBERT KENNEDY and President KENNEDY, who, politically, need KING, particularly in the South, where in cities like Atlanta and New Orleans the negro vote now constitutes the balance of power, and where KING controls the negro vote.

JAMES HOFFA, Teamsters Union "boss" appreciates the political power of KING, particularly the fact that KING can influence the 90,000 negro members of the Teamsters Union. HOFFA invited KING to address the Teamsters Union, and KING accepted the invitation. Knowing that KING is being deferred to by Attorney General KENNEDY, and President KENNEDY, HOFFA requested KING to contact the Attorney General in an effort to influence the latter "to lay off" HOFFA. KING was inclined to do as HOFFA requested, but LEVISON convinced him that to do so would be politically unwise, inexpedient, and likely to be a "boomerang," since the Attorney General would never accede to such a request, particularly in the case of HOFFA.

LEVISON had to steer KING away from another politically hazardous situation. Some months ago OTTO PREMINGER, Hollywood movie director, entered into negotiations with a KING representative for KING to play a part in a picture which PREMINGER would direct. Although PREMINGER's offer was "tempting," since it meant "fast, easy money" for KING's organization, LEVISON realized that for KING to accept the offer would lower the latter's prestige. After convincing KING of this fact, LEVISON personally telephoned to PREMINGER, telling him that "the deal was off."
NY 100-136585

(What LEVISON particularly wanted GUS HALL to know is that "KING is a wholehearted Marxist, who has studied it (Marxism), believes in it, and agrees with it, but because of his being a minister of religion, does not dare to espouse it publicly."

GUS HALL, according to HARRIS, was "elated" to receive LEVISON's report on LUTHER KING.)
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NY LETTER DATED 8-21-62 CAPTIONED "COMMUNIST INFILTRATION OF THE SOUTHERN CHRISTIAN LEADERSHIP CONFERENCE, IS-C"
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Memorandum

TO: DIRECTOR, FBI (100-438794)

DATE: 8/21/62

FROM: SAC, NEW YORK (100-149194)

SUBJECT: COMMUNIST INFILTRATION OF THE SOUTHERN CHRISTIAN LEADERSHIP CONFERENCE IS-C

(00: Atlanta)

Re: Reissued to Atlanta, 7/20/62, which requested NY to furnish the Bureau and Atlanta with observations and analysis of the extent of CP influence or infiltration of the Southern Christian Leadership Conference (SCLC).

On 3/10/61, (ANDREW ANGOLETI, Chief Clerk,) Manufacturers Trust Company, 275 West 125th Street, NYC, advised that there is an account maintained at this branch by the SCLC, whose officers are listed as MARTIN LUTHER KING, President; BAYARD RUSTIN, Secretary; and STANLEY D. LEVISON, Assistant Treasurer.

(CG 5824-S#) on 8/6/57, advised that STANLEY LEVISON and his brother, ROY BENNETT, were active in CP financial matters and business ventures which contribute to the CP.

(NY 694-S) advised in October, 1961, that LEVISON continues to donate money to the CP, USA.

During the period of February 9-15, 1957, (CG 5824-S#) advised that the 16th National Convention, CP, USA, was held in NYC on February 9-12, 1957, and that BAYARD RUSTIN attended some of the convention sessions as a guest.

2-Bureau (100-438794) (RM)
2-Atlanta (100-5718) (RM)
1-New York (100-149194)
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(BA 969-S) advised on 11/22/60, (BA 154-13A-615)
that at a National Negro Commission meeting held on
11/19, 20/60, at CP Headquarters, 23 West 26th Street,
NYC, TED BASSETT, a representative of "The Worker",
stated that the CP is now in the process of placing
members of the Party in key positions in the SCLC.
BASSETT continued by saying that a Western Conference of the
Christian Leadership is now being formed and a CP member
is the leader in this conference.

(NY 694-S*) advised on 5/1/62, that on 4/30/62,
ISADORE WOPSY, CP, USA fund functionary, said that
according to LEM HARRIS, he was told by STANLEY LEVISON
that the CP, USA had sent "an experienced, professional,
secret organizer" to New Orleans, who would assist the
MARTIN LUTHER KING group there in its fight for political
equality of Negroes. LEVISON allegedly told HARRIS
that he personally, and not the Party, was financing
this organizer.

(NY 694-S*) advised on 5/2/62, that according to
LEM HARRIS, the secret CP organizer, whom the CP, USA had
sent to New Orleans, was JOSE HUDSON. HARRIS said that
HUDSON would be associated with SERGEANT CAULFIELD in
Baton Rouge.

On 7/2/62, (NO 1084-S) advised that SERGEANT
CAULFIELD returned to Baton Rouge, Louisiana, from Atlanta,
Georgia, on 5/31/62. Informant said that CAULFIELD stated
that he and JOSE HUDSON met HUNTER O'DELL in Atlanta,
O'DELL having flown there from NYC.

(NO 1084-S) stated that CAULFIELD paid CP dues
to O'DELL for several CP members from Louisiana. O'DELL
had come to Atlanta regarding organization of the MARTIN
LUTHER KING movement. O'DELL thought that if an active
MARTIN LUTHER KING movement could be organized in Louisiana, the State Senatorial District where CAULFIELD resides permanently (Lettsworth, Louisiana), CAULFIELD might be furnished as much as $1,000.00 per month to promote this movement. The funds are to come from the MARTIN LUTHER KING movement and the object is to get every eligible Negro to register to vote. CAULFIELD reportedly met MARTIN LUTHER KING, JR., while in Atlanta, and discussed with him the voter registration matter in Louisiana.

On 4/27/62, a pretext telephone call was made to UN 6-2000, which is the NYC listing for the Committee to Defend MARTIN LUTHER KING and also the listing for the SCLC at 312 West 125th Street, NYC. The pretext used was that of a person interested in contributing money to the KING committee. The person answering the phone during the conversation identified himself as JACK O'DELL, and furnished the following information:

His office is the Northern Branch of the SCLC. The Committee to Defend MARTIN LUTHER KING, at 312 West 125th Street, was organized as an "ad hoc" committee to assist in the KING defense, when he was the subject of court action. When the reason for this committee's being in existence was removed, it was formally dissolved. The same personalities then formed the Committee to Aid the Southern Freedom Struggle, which is now operated at 312 West 125th Street.

O'DELL stated that it would make no difference as to whether a person contributing money makes out checks payable to the Committee to Defend MARTIN LUTHER KING, the SCLC or the Committee to Aid the Southern Freedom Struggle, because they are all one and the same.
On 6/1/61, (CP 5824-S*) advised that HUNTER PITTS O'DELL, who was a secret member of the National Committee, CP, USA, has quit the CP and is officially considered by the CP as being out. However, there are no hard feelings existing between O'DELL and the Party and he is still on good terms with the CP and will work with them.

EXTREME CAUTION MUST BE EXERCISED WITH RESPECT TO DISSEMINATION OF THE INFORMATION HEREIN, SINCE BY ITS NATURE IT TENDS TO IDENTIFY (NY 694-S*) A VALUABLE INFORMANT, AS THE SOURCE THEREOF. UNLESS THIS INFORMATION IS OBTAINED FROM A SOURCE OTHER THAN (NY 694-S*), IT SHOULD NOT BE INCORPORATED, EVEN IN PARAPHRASED FORM, IN THE INVESTIGATIVE SECTION OF A REPORT.

On 7/23/62, (NY 694-S*) stated during a personal contact with GUS HALL, General Secretary of the CP, USA, on that date, HALL advised that HUNTER PITTS O'DELL is still representing the CP, USA and that he is still on the National Committee of the CP, USA. HALL further remarked that O'DELL is a "brain-trust" for LUTHER KING and is doing an excellent job.

Current investigation in NYC reflects that O'DELL is spending most of his time in the Atlanta area working with the SCLC. He was last reported in the NY area in June, 1962.

On 2/11/62, (NY 1300-S*) made available photographs of material maintained in the office of STANLEY LEVISON, 6 East 39th Street, NYC. Included in these photographs were the following:

1. Two checks, both dated 12/19/61, bearing the printed name of the "Southern Christian Leadership Conference", 312 West 125th Street, NY, NY. These checks
NY 100-149194

are numbered 397 and 399, one in the amount of $251.90 and one in the amount of $150.00, are marked payable to J. O'DELL, and are signed by STANLEY D. LEVISON.

2. Copy "C" of a Withholding Tax form for 1961, in the name of JOHN HUNTER O'DELL, 312 West 125th Street, NY, NY, Social Security Number 386-14-3707, indicating that he was paid $5,050.00 in 1961 by the SCLC, 312 West 125th Street, NYC.

(ELSUR

(NY 3575-S*) advised on 6/20/62, that STANLEY LEVISON stated that in a recent conversation with MARTIN LUTHER KING, KING said he was thinking of getting another administrative assistant. LEVISON stated that in the past LEVISON had not considered it wise for O'DELL to take on such a position, but he is the only one who could do the job and should be considered for it. LEVISON stated as long as O'DELL did not have the title of Executive Director, there would not be "as much lightening flashing around him". O'DELL could be called a coordinator and still fulfill all the duties of Executive Director.

(ELSUR

LEVISON stated, according to (NY 3575-S*) that KING liked LEVISON's suggestion with respect to O'DELL taking this position, because KING felt that O'DELL must face it sooner or later, stating "that no matter what a man was, if he could stand up now and say he is not connected, then as far as I am concerned, he is eligible to work for me".

(INFORM

(NY 2783-S) advised on 7/11/61, (NY 134-4268A-378), that HAZEL GRAY is working again at the SCLC, 312 West 125th Street, NYC.

On 7/8/61, (NY 1212-S) stated that it had come to his attention that CLAUDE LIGHTFOOT had mentioned that he wanted
to use HAZEL GRAY and her husband, JOHN GRAY, in the Kings County CP organization. The informant stated that LIGHTFOOT highly recommended the GRAYS.

On 3/10/61, MILTON BIRON, Senior Accountant, Controller's Office, New York City, Bureau of Excise Taxes, 120 West 32nd Street, made available an application for exemption from the New York City amusement tax, dated 1/23/61, and signed by STANLEY D. LEWISON. The exemption was requested for a "concert" to be given at Carnegie Hall on 1/27/61, for one evening performance.

The name of the applicant was given as the "Committee to Aid the Southern Freedom Struggle", 312 West 125th Street, an unincorporated association. It is stated that the applicant is a non-profit educational committee which has registered with the Charities Registration Bureau in Albany and the Department of Welfare in NYC. It says that it employs no professional fund raisers and that neither its officers nor executive directors are paid either salaries or expenses.

The, "Purpose for which applicant was organized", are listed as:

"To provide funds for the Southern Christian Leadership Conference to be used for establishment of constitutional rights in the South utilizing voting registration drives, educational meetings, church and synagogue conferences, sit-in techniques, legal actions etc."

The, "Activities of the Applicant", are listed as:

"Mail solicitations, benefits, meetings, educational conferences, church collections."
NY 100-149194

The, "Source and Disposition of Income", is listed as:

"All income less expenses is transmitted to the Southern Christian Leadership Conference or directly paid out to sources indicated by the Southern Christian Leadership Conference. Dr. MARTIN LUTHER KING is President of the Southern Christian Leadership Conference."

A "note" attached to the application stated that the committee was created by resolution as an unincorporated association at an organizing meeting held at the home of HARRY BELAFONTE, 300 West End Avenue, in June, 1960.

The application for exemption from the New York City amusement tax was denied by letter 1/31/61, sent to the Committee to Aid the Southern Freedom Struggle, 312 West 125th Street, attention of STANLEY D. LEVISON.

NY has considered the above facts and is of the opinion that a Cominfil investigation of the NY Chapter of the SCLC is warranted, due to the dominant CP influence on the executives of the NY Chapter of the SCLC.

NY will conduct no further investigation without prior Bureau authority.
The Attorney General

November 19, 1975

Director, FBI

2 - Mr. J.A. Mintz
   (1 - J.B. Hotis)
1 - Mr. W.R. Wannall
1 - Mr. Cregar
1 - Mr. Deegan
1 - Mr. Newman

U. S. SENATE SELECT COMMITTEE
ON INTELLIGENCE ACTIVITIES (SSC)

Reference is made to SSC letter dated November 7, 1975, requesting access to documents regarding informant matters.

Enclosed for your approval and forwarding to the Committee is the original of a memorandum in response to one of the items in referenced request.

Also enclosed for your records is a copy of the memorandum.

Enclosures - 2

62-116395

1 - The Deputy Attorney General
   Attention: Michael E. Shaheen, Jr.
   Special Counsel for
   Intelligence Coordination

HAN: tdp (10)

NOTE:

The material to which access is being granted involves Gary Thomas Rowe, a former Bureau informant who furnished information which led to the Federal prosecution on civil rights charges of 3 Klansmen responsible for the killing of Mrs. Viola Luizzo, a civil rights worker, in Lawrence County, Alabama, on 3/25/65. The material involved includes access to that material contained in the informant's field file as well as information contained in informant's file maintained at FBIHQ. The request addressed itself to other matters which are being handled separately, including access to material regarding other informant.
2 - Mr. Mintz  
(1 - Mr. Hotis)  
1 - Mr. Wannall  
November 18, 1975  
1 - Mr. Cregar  
1 - Mr. Deegan  
1 - Mr. Newman

U. S. SENATE SELECT COMMITTEE TO  
STUDY GOVERNMENTAL OPERATIONS WITH  
RESPECT TO INTELLIGENCE ACTIVITIES (SSC)

Reference is made to SSC letter dated November 7, 1975, requesting access to documents regarding the handling of former FBI informant Gary Thomas Rowe.

These documents have been assembled and are available for review by appropriately cleared SSC staff members at FBI Headquarters.

HAN: tdp (9)

ORIGINAL AND ONE TO AG

MDR 16
ALL INFORMATION CONTAINED HEREIN IS UNCLASSIFIED
DATE 12/17/700 BY SEP ALC HG

This document is prepared in response to your request and is not for dissemination outside the Committee. It is to be held in official proceedings by your Committee and will not be disclosed to unauthorized personnel without the express approval of the FBI.

Memo being prepared by LCO dated 11/6395 showing what documents Comm will have

MAIL ROOM   TELETYPING UNIT

63-116395-1164
Michael E. Shaheen, Jr., Esq.
Special Counsel for Intelligence Coordination
Office of the Deputy Attorney General
U.S. Department of Justice
Washington, D.C. 20530

Dear Mike:

As a follow-up to our briefing of Assistant Director Wannall on November 6 concerning our proposed format and witnesses for public hearings on December 4-5 on the FBI's use of intelligence informants and sources, we would like to make the following requests.

As discussed in our meeting with Mr. Wannall, we invite the Bureau to submit a memorandum on its intelligence informant and source program that could be inserted in the record of the testimony of Bureau representatives at the hearing. This memorandum would enable the Bureau to present to the Select Committee a complete description of its program and to discuss the issues of particular interest to the Committee members. These include, for example, 1) the size, scope and purpose of the program, including an assessment of the program's cost and effectiveness, and the distinctions and relationships between the intelligence informant program and the Bureau's criminal informant activity; 2) the criteria that determine when an informant may be used in an organization or group; and 3) the program's command and control system, including the limits that are placed on the activities of informants and the type of information an informant or source is permitted to report to his handling agent and the Bureau.

In addition, the memorandum would discuss possible legislation in the informant area, such as the imposition of a warrant requirement or additional administrative review. To permit the Select Committee members to study this memorandum in advance of the hearing and to discuss it with FBI representatives during their testimony at the public hearing, we request that the memorandum be submitted by November 24.

Enclosures
Also I am enclosing requests for documents relating to two of the three former FBI informants who are scheduled to testify on December 4. These requests supplement our document request of August 20, 1975 pertaining to informants (Part IV-4).

In addition, we wish to interview and possibly depose the Special Agents who handled the three former informants (Rowe, Hardy and Cook) who are scheduled to testify at the public hearing on December 4. Because of the limited time remaining before the scheduled hearings, we request that the Special Agents be made available for interviews and depositions as soon as possible.

Sincerely,

[Signature]
John Elliff
Director
Domestic Intelligence Task Force

cc: Paul V. Daly
Office of Congressional Affairs
Federal Bureau of Investigation
DOCUMENT REQUEST

The following documents and materials relating to Gary Thomas Rowe, Jr., whether in the custody or possession of the FBI Birmingham, Alabama office or elsewhere:

a) All documents and materials reflecting contacts and reports of contacts (and the substance thereof) between Gary Thomas Rowe, Jr. and FBI Special Agents from January 1, 1960 to April 1, 1965.

b) All documents and materials reflecting information supplied by Gary Thomas Rowe, Jr. to FBI Special Agents (and Bureau Field or Headquarters summaries thereof) from January 1, 1960 to April 1, 1965.

c) All documents and materials relating to any guidance, directions, instructions, or suggestions given to Gary Thomas Rowe, Jr. by FBI Special Agents from January 1, 1960 to April 1, 1965.

d) All documents and materials relating to Bureau and Field Office supervision of the handling of Gary Thomas Rowe, Jr. by FBI Special Agents from January 1, 1960 to April 1, 1965.

e) All documents and materials relating to payments of money to, or other financial arrangements between, Gary Thomas Rowe, Jr., the FBI, and the Justice Department from March 25, 1965 to December 31, 1966.
11/7/75 request (Gary Thomas Rowe)

FBI

Delivered by Richard F. Taylor; Date: 11/25/75

Received by: [Signature]
Title: [Title]

Return this receipt to the Intelligence Division, FBI
TO: Intelligence Community Staff
ATTN: Central Index

FROM: FBI

SUBJECT: Abstract of Information Provided to Select Committees

1. HOW PROVIDED (check appropriate term. If a document was made available for review but not transmitted, so note.)

[ ] DOCUMENT [ ] BRIEFING [ ] INTERVIEW [ ] TESTIMONY [ ] OTHER

2. DATE PROVIDED

11/19/75

FOR REVIEW

3. TO WHOM PROVIDED (check appropriate term; add specific names if appropriate)

[ ] SSC

[ ] HSC

4. IDENTIFICATION (provide descriptive data for documents; give name or identification number of briefer, interviewee, testifier and subject)

Memorandum

5. IN RESPONSE TO (list date and item number if in response to formal request, otherwise state verbal request of (name), initiative, subpoena, etc.)

SSG letter 11/7/75

6. CLASSIFICATION OF INFORMATION (enter U, C, S, TS or Codeword)

U

7. KEY WORDS (enter the appropriate key words from the list provided separately; if key words not listed are used underline for emphasis)

Information handling

8. SUMMARY (see reverse side before completing this item)

Materials made available for review by appropriate SSG Staff Members at FBIHQ, regarding the handling of former FBI informant Gary T. Roe.

62-116395

FMK: fmk

(4) ORIGINAL VIA LIKESON TO CENTRAL COMMUNITY INDEX IN CONNECTION WITH SENSTUDY 75

TREAT AS YELLOW

3791 (6-75)
INSTRUCTIONS

- Type or print clearly in ink.
- Indicate classification of the abstract top and bottom.
- Date the abstract and put on any internal control numbers required.
- "FROM" entry should clearly identify the organization providing the information.
- If additions (as when a copy of document sent to SSC is later sent to HSC) or changes to a previously submitted form are necessary, submit a copy of the original abstract, with the change indicated.

SPECIFIC ITEM NO. 8. SUMMARY - enter brief narrative statement describing substance of information and showing relationship to Intelligence Community matters if appropriate. Any feedback or evidence of investigatory interests should be noted. Commitments made to supply additional information should be noted. Additionally, certain administrative information may be entered here, e.g., restrictions on review of a document, if document was paraphrased, whether interviewee is current or former employee, etc. If actual document or transcript is provided, that fact should be noted and no summary is required. Additional pages may be attached if necessary.
AIRTEL

TO: DIRECTOR, FBI (62-116395)
  (ATTN: INTD - W. O. CREGAR)

FROM: SAC, NEW YORK (134-91)

SUBJECT: FENSTUDY: 1975

Re: Buphone call of Bureau Supervisor SEYMOUR PHILIP.

11/10/75.

This communication is classified "Top Secret" in accordance with established classification procedures.

Enclosed for the Bureau are two copies each of 21 communications pertinent to captioned case.

The original recording of this information is contained in 134-91, unless otherwise noted:

1. NYlet to Bu - CPUSA Reserve Fund - 3/16/61
2. NYlet to Bu - CPUSA Reserve Fund - 10/19/61
3. NYmemo to SAC - CPUSA Funds - 11/20/62
4. NYairtel to Bu - STANLEY LEVISON - 1/4/62
5. NYairtel to Bu - M. L. KING - 2/12/62
6. NYmemo to SAC - CPUSA Reserve Funds - 4/20/62
7. NYtel to Bu - M. L. KING - 5/3/62

Of the 21, 17 are to remain secret. 4 (of which 3 are
Bureau (Encls. 42) (RM) SS CJ redacted.

1 - New York

TJD: jir

(4)

MDR 16

ALL INFORMATION CONTAINED HEREIN IS UNCLASSIFIED

Classified by 2/16

Exempt From GDS, Category 2

Date of Declassification: Indefinite
8. NYmemo to SAC - STANLEY LEVISON - 8/30/62
9. NYairtel to Bu - STANLEY LEVISON - 3/21/63
10. NYairtel to Bu - M.L. KING - 6/13/63
11. NYairtel to Bu - CPUSA Negro Question - 7/10/63
12. NYairtel to Bu - M.L. KING - 7/31/63
13. Memo to SAC NY - STANLEY LEVISON - 7/16/63
14. NYairtel to Bu - STANLEY LEVISON - 9/16/63
15. NYlet to Bu - CPUSA Negro Question - 10/18/63

The following are the original documents set forth in the captioned case files:

16. NYairtel to Bu - CPUSA Negro Question - 2/13/64
17. " " " " " " " - 2/18/64
18. NYmemo to SAC - STANLEY LEVISON - 4/3/64
19. " " " " " - 4/13/64
20. " " " " " - 4/20/64
21. NYlet to Bu - CIRM - 9/23/64

Concerning the above, it should be noted that due to the top level activity of the source, NY 694-OA, and to the highly sensitive area the source operates in, only oral reports are obtained from the source. These oral reports are not reduced to writing for the source's signature. Also, occasionally information is orally obtained from the source and is originally recorded in the case file of the subject or subject matter.
The enclosed represents the best information as obtained from a review of the files of the New York Office of NY 694-OA; STANLEY D. LEVISON and MARTIN L. KING from 1961 - 1965 showing the original recorded information.
The Attorney General

Director, FBI

U.S. SENATE SELECT COMMITTEE ON
INTELLIGENCE ACTIVITIES (SSC)

Reference is made to SSC letter dated October 28, 1975, requesting in part access to cancelled Security Index (SI) cards, delivery of certain materials pertaining to individuals whose identities would be obtained from a review of the cancelled cards, access to other versions of the SI or Reserve Index, and delivery of certain materials from FBI Headquarters files on organizations whose names and file numbers were provided by the SSC.

Enclosed for your approval and forwarding to the SSC is the original of a memorandum with enclosures which constitutes this Bureau's response to the above requests.

A copy of this memorandum is being furnished for your records.

Enclosures (12)
62-116395

1 - The Deputy Attorney General
Attention: Michael E. Shaheen, Jr. Special Counsel for Intelligence Coordination

KAM:cap Cc:

SECRET MATERIAL ATTACHED

ENVELOPE IN BULKY ROOM
2 - Mr. J. A. Mintz  
   (1-Mr. J. B. Hotis)  
1 - Mr. W. R. Wannall  
1 - Mr. W. O. Cregar  
1 - Mr. K. A. Mendenhall

November 13, 1975

UNITED STATES SENATE SELECT COMMITTEE  
TO STUDY GOVERNMENTAL OPERATIONS  
WITH RESPECT TO INTELLIGENCE  
ACTIVITIES (SSC)

Reference is made to SSC letter dated October 28, 1975, requesting in part:

1. Access to the Security Index (SI) cards recently discovered at FBI Headquarters (FBIHQ) under the same conditions as the access previously granted to the Administrative Index (ADEX).

2. Delivery of the following materials pertaining to certain individuals on the SI (the names to be supplied following access to the SI cards):
   a. materials reflecting the reasons why the individual was placed on the SI;
   b. materials reflecting any dissemination of information about the individual outside the United States government.

3. Access to any other versions of the SI or the Reserve Index (RI) which may be in the possession of the FBI, including versions compiled by the New York City Field Office.

4. Delivery of materials reflecting the reason why an FBIHQ file was opened and material indicating whether there was subversive or

This document is prepared in response to your request and is not to be disseminated outside your Committee. Its use is limited to official proceedings by you without the express approval of the FBI.

ORIGINAL AND ONE COPY TO THE ATTORNEY GENERAL
extremist activity with respect to the following organizations whose names and FBIHQ file numbers were provided to the Select Committee on October 9, 1975:

a. Communist Infiltration
   Council of Jewish Women  100-432543

b. East Bay Community Forum  100-432848

c. Knoxville Area Human
   Relations Council  100-433348

d. George Orwell Forum
   Yale University  100-434048

e. Emma Lazarus Federation
   of Jewish Women's Clubs  100-434168

f. Saugus Unitarian-
   Universalist Church Forum  100-440365

g. San Diego Peace Action  100-440530

h. Universities Committee on the
   Problems of War and Peace  100-440833

i. Communist Infiltration
   of the National Association
   for the Advancement of
   Colored People  100-441132

j. The Free University at
   Ann Arbor  100-445323

k. Champaign-Urbana Council
   for Discussion on Vietnam  100-446029

l. Wellsprings Ecumenical
   Renewal Association  100-453618
RE: UNITED STATES SENATE SELECT COMMITTEE TO STUDY GOVERNMENTAL OPERATIONS WITH RESPECT TO INTELLIGENCE ACTIVITIES (SSC)

m. Northern Virginia Coalition of Citizens Concerned About the ABM-Sponsored Town Meeting at Alexandria, Virginia, June 3, 1969

n. Reservists Against the War

o. Bald Hill Farm Commune

p. Anti-Crosstown Coalition

q. Washington Area Committee for Soviet Jewry

r. Committee for Chilean Democracy

s. National Conference on Amnesty; Information Concerning

 t. National Conference on Amnesty; Information Concerning

u. Council for United Civil Rights Leadership

v. Canadian Youth Corps

w. Ormondsville Fellowship Club, Inc.

x. Black Student Union, Brevard Junior College, Cocoa, Florida

y. Martin Luther King, Jr., Memorial Center, Inc.

z. Black Student Union University of Nevada Las Vegas, Nevada

- 3 -
RE: UNITED STATES SENATE SELECT COMMITTEE TO STUDY GOVERNMENTAL OPERATIONS WITH RESPECT TO INTELLIGENCE ACTIVITIES (SSC)

aa. Greensboro Association of Poor People (GAPP) 157-15692

bb. American Christian Action Council 157-24293

cc. National Tenants Organization 157-26335

dd. South Carolina Council of Human Relations 157-27014

ee. California Rehabilitation Center, Norco, California 157-32892

Reference is further made to our memoranda dated July 17, 1975, and September 25, 1975, which provided background information and documents pertaining to the SI, ADEX, and RI.

As you are aware, a computerized ADEX listing is prepared monthly at FBHQ. The SI list was prepared in the same manner and, as with the former SI, when a new monthly ADEX list is prepared copies of the old list are destroyed inasmuch as they are outdated and no longer serve a useful purpose. As you have been previously advised, names appearing on the former SI and the ADEX have been printed on 5 x 8 cards with a single name printed on each card. Background information, file numbers, and type of activity in which the individual is engaged are also placed on these cards. As you are aware, approximately 15,000 of these cards, all outdated, have been located at FBHQ. These cards include some which contain the identity of individuals who were maintained on the Priority III level of the former SI and all ADEX cards deleted or cancelled since its inception.

During the initial period of establishing the ADEX, in order to obviate the need for conducting massive file reviews, the ADEX consisted primarily of the identity of those individuals who had been maintained on the former SI. Although these names were originally placed in this manner on the new ADEX, as cases were reviewed under our then existing reporting procedures, each case was to be reviewed on its own merits utilizing the then current criteria for ADEX to determine whether continued inclusion on the ADEX was warranted. If not, the individual's
RE: UNITED STATES SENATE SELECT COMMITTEE TO STUDY GOVERNMENTAL OPERATIONS WITH RESPECT TO INTELLIGENCE ACTIVITIES (SSC)

name was deleted. As previously indicated, during 1972 the ADEX was evaluated and revised. Following this revision, the number of individuals who were included on the ADEX began to decline and thus the high number of cancelled cards. In accordance with Bureau policy, which was instituted during the SI program, these cancelled cards are maintained at FBIHQ for a period of three years and there is no way to reconstruct a former SI or ADEX list for a particular time period by reviewing these cancelled cards. These cards, however, will reflect the identity of those individuals who have been placed on the SI and the ADEX for the period for which these cards were maintained.

Per your request, these outdated SI and ADEX cards are available for review by appropriate SSC representatives at FBIHQ. In this regard, SSC Staff Members Martha Talley and John T. Elliff have had access to these cards on October 30, 1975, and November 7, 1975, respectively.

During Mr. Elliff's review of these cards, the names Shelley Blum, Emanuel James Brisner, Jr., and Gregory Allan Calvert were selected by Mr. Elliff in connection with request number two of your October 28, 1975, letter. Documents requested regarding these individuals are enclosed.

A review of pertinent files at FBIHQ regarding the SI and the RI indicates there are no other versions of the former SI or RI at FBIHQ. Contact was also made with knowledgeable individuals of our New York City Field Office and it was determined these programs had been handled in accordance with then existing FBIHQ policy and no other versions of these programs were compiled by that office.

Materials requested for delivery under item number four of your October 28, 1975, letter are enclosed. In this regard, it is noted the correct FBIHQ file number for the Emma Lazarus Federation of Jewish Women's Clubs is 100-400394 and the correct file number for the file regarding Communist Infiltration of the National Association for the Advancement of Colored People is 61-3176. In order to be responsive to your request, pertinent documents of the type desired are being furnished regarding these organizations from these files.

Enclosures (10)

1 - The Attorney General

- 5 -
NOTE:

During Mr. Elliff's review of the cancelled SI and ADEX cards, he indicated additional access to the cards would be requested to obtain the identity of other individuals besides the three selected by him 11/7/75.

SA James Graham, IS-1 Section, handled the request for documents listed under items 4u through 4ee, all of which pertained to the IS-1 Section.

The matter relating to other versions of the SI and RI compiled by our New York Office were discussed with New York personnel SAC James O. Ingram and Field Supervisor Raymond Ruckel on 11/10/75, and 11/11/75, respectively.
UD-16
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   Intelligence collection
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   For review by appropriate SSC Staff Members at FBIHQ outdated SI and INDEX cards and delivery of materials on three individuals selected by SSC Staff Member Elliff; materials reflecting the reason why an FBI HQ file was opened on various organizations.
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- Type or print clearly in ink.
- Indicate classification of the abstract top and bottom.
- Date the abstract and put on any internal control numbers required.
- "FROM" entry should clearly identify the organization providing the information.
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November 17, 1975

The Attorney General

Director, FBI

UNITED STATES SENATE SELECT COMMITTEE
ON INTELLIGENCE ACTIVITIES

Reference is made to my letter dated July 1, 1975, which enclosed a memorandum of the same date relating to a request for documentary materials made by the Senate Select Committee (SSC) under the date of May 14, 1975.

The referenced memorandum, on page 1, paragraph 4, refers to a briefing by FBI Director J. Edgar Hoover to the National Security Council, presided over by the President, on November 6, 1958. A further review of our records has determined this briefing by Mr. Hoover was actually furnished to the President and members of his Cabinet on November 6, 1958. Although it is likely members of the National Security Council were present, technically it was a briefing of the President and his Cabinet.

Attached for your approval and forwarding to the Committee is the original of a memorandum correcting this technical error and requesting the Committee appropriately change its records.

A copy of the memorandum is provided for your records.

Enclosures - 2
62-116395

1 - The Deputy Attorney General
Attention: Michael E. Shaheen, Jr.
Special Counsel for Intelligence Coordination

1 - 62-116009 (Cointelpros)

DR: Ifj 15 (11)

ENCLOSURE
UNITED STATES SENATE SELECT COMMITTEE
TO STUDY GOVERNMENTAL OPERATIONS
WITH RESPECT TO INTELLIGENCE ACTIVITIES (SSC)

RE: DOCUMENTS PERTAINING TO THE
"HUSTON PLAN," COINTELPRO, AND
OTHER PRACTICES AND PROGRAMS

COINTELPRO

MDR-16

ALL INFORMATION CONTAINED
HEREIN IS UNCLASSIFIED
DATE

Reference is made to memorandum dated July 1, 1975,
which responded to a SSC request under the date of May 14, 1975,
for documents relating to this Bureau’s former Counterintelligence
Programs (Cointelpros).

Page 1, paragraph 4, of referenced memorandum refers
to a briefing by FBI Director J. Edgar Hoover to the National Secu-
ritv Council, presided over by the President, November 6, 1958.
Enclosed with the memorandum was a document with a cover page
alluding to this briefing of the National Security Council.

A current review of the records of this Bureau has deter-
mined the briefing by FBI Director J. Edgar Hoover on November 6,
1958, was actually furnished to the President and members of his
Cabinet. Although it may be presumed that some members of the
Cabinet were also members of the National Security Council, it is
requested you correct your records to more accurately indicate the
true nature of the briefing.

This is also to confirm information orally furnished by
Supervisor David Ryan of the FBI to SSC staff member Barbara
Banoff on November 13, 1975, at SSC offices. At that time,

1 - 62-116009 (Cointelpros)

SEE NOTE PAGE 2

This document is prepared in response to your request and is not for dissemi-
nation outside your Committee. Its use is limited to official proceedings of
your Committee and the content may not be disclosed to unauthorized perso-
nel without the express approval of the FBI.
Cointelpro

Miss Banoff was advised of the above change and was specifically requested to correct pages 47-49 of Special Agent Ryan's deposition to the SSC dated October 21, 1975. It was also requested any other references to the briefing on November 6, 1958, which might appear in the deposition of Special Agent Ryan dated October 16, 1975, and October 21, 1975, be appropriately changed.

1 - The Attorney General

NOTE:

See letter to the Attorney General dated 11/17/75 captioned "United States Senate Select Committee on Intelligence Activities," prepared by DR:lfj.
Addressed: SENATE SELECT COMMITTEE


Caption of Document:

5/14/75 request (COINTELPRO)

Originating Office: FBI

Delivered by: Richard J. Taylor
Date: 11/25/75

Received by: Sherry Forell
Title: HOMO

Return this receipt to the Intelligence Division, FBI

MDR-16
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4. IDENTIFICATION (provide descriptive data for documents; give name or identification number of briefer, interviewee, testifier and subject)

Memorandum

5. IN RESPONSE TO (list date and item number if in response to formal request, otherwise state verbal request of (name), initiative, subpoena, etc.)

SSC letter 5/14/75

6. CLASSIFICATION OF INFORMATION (enter U, C, S, TS or Codeword)

U

7. KEY WORDS (enter the appropriate key words from the list provided separately; if key words not listed are used underline for emphasis)

Counterintelligence

8. SUMMARY (see reverse side before completing this item)

Submitting to the SSC corrections to be made on information previously furnished them.
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- Type or print clearly in ink.

- Indicate classification of the abstract top and bottom.

- Date the abstract and put on any internal control numbers required.

- "FROM" entry should clearly identify the organization providing the information.

- If additions (as when a copy of document sent to SSC is later sent to HSC) or changes to a previously submitted form are necessary, submit a copy of the original abstract, with the change indicated.

SPECIFIC ITEM NO. 8. SUMMARY - enter brief narrative statement describing substance of information and showing relationship to Intelligence Community matters if appropriate. Any feedback or evidence of investigatory interests should be noted. Commitments made to supply additional information should be noted. Additionally, certain administrative information may be entered here, e.g., restrictions on review of a document, if document was paraphrased, whether interviewee is current or former employee, etc. If actual document or transcript is provided, that fact should be noted and no summary is required. Additional pages may be attached if necessary.
Reference is made to a memorandum dated 11/12/75 from Legal Counsel to Mr. J. B. Adams, Deputy Associate Director, in which a recommendation was made that the Intelligence Division determine whether there is a memorandum describing a briefing of former Attorney General Ramsey Clark by Mr. Cartha DeLoach, former Assistant to the Director, concerning the Counterintelligence Program.

Mr. DeLoach, during an interview under oath by staff members of the Senate Select Committee on 11/11/75, advised that he had briefed Ramsey Clark regarding the Counterintelligence Program and, when asked whether he had written a memorandum regarding that briefing, advised that he could not specifically recall whether a memorandum was prepared. During the interview by Committee staff members, they advised Mr. DeLoach that they had asked for such documentation from the Bureau, but a memorandum indicating Mr. DeLoach had briefed Ramsey Clark regarding the Counterintelligence Program was not received among the documents furnished by the Bureau.

This will advise that additional review of Bureau files by personnel of the Analytical Research Unit has failed to disclose any memorandum which describes a briefing of Clark by Mr. DeLoach regarding the Counterintelligence Program.

ACTION:

None. For information.

62-116395
1 - 62-116009 (Cointelpros)

DKP:Ifj (7)
Enclosed for Denver and Chicago are one copy each of a letter dated 11/14/75 from Michael B. Shaheen, Jr., Office of Deputy Attorney General, to John A. Mintz, Assistant Director, Legal Counsel Division, FBI, with enclosed letter to Mr. Shaheen from John W. Elliff, Staff Member, Senate Select Committee to Study Governmental Operations with Respect to Intelligence Activities (SEC).

Denver is requested to immediately prepare a communication including responses to FBI questions 4, 5, 12, 13 and 14 and 15, in addition to conducting indices searches on names listed in question 16.

Chicago is requested to immediately prepare a communication including responses to FBI questions 10, 11 and 16. Include in communication any information relating to Leonard Carlin, Susan Breeze and the World University Service in Chicago considered to be of possible significance to the Bureau in preparing its response to the SEC.

Enclosures - 2

2 - Chicago (105-8544)(Enclosures - 2) 62-116395 NOT RECORDED

1 - 62-116395 (Senstudy-75)

RLM:emj

(11)

SEE NOTE, PAGE TWO
Letter to Denver
Re: Thomas Riha
105-78256

NOTE:

The SSC has proposed 19 questions to the FBI and 9 questions to INS relating to the disappearance of Associate Professor Thomas Riha, University of Colorado, Boulder, Colorado, in March, 1969. A number of the questions from the Committee require input from the Denver and Chicago Offices.
The Attorney General

November 14, 1975

Director, FBI

UNITED STATES SENATE SELECT COMMITTEE ON INTELLIGENCE ACTIVITIES

Reference is made to the letter of the Senate Select Committee (SSC) dated November 4, 1975, setting forth the identities of a number of documents relating to this Bureau’s discontinued Counterintelligence Programs (Cointelpros), which have been previously furnished to the Committee, and requesting these documents be declassified for public hearings.

Attached for your approval and forwarding to the SSC is an original of a memorandum which responds to the request of the SSC.

Although this Bureau has declassified the documents requested by the SSC, you should note that one of these documents consists of excerpts from former FBI Director Hoover’s briefing of the President and his Cabinet on November 6, 1958, and a second document is a memorandum to Mr. J. Edgar Hoover from Attorney General Nicholas DeB. Katzenbach dated September 3, 1965. You may desire to clear the declassification of the former with the White House and to afford your consideration to the declassification of the latter which originated within the Department.

A copy of this memorandum is being furnished for your records.

Enclosures - 2

62-116395

1 - The Deputy Attorney General
Attention: Michael E. Shaheen, Jr.
Special Counsel for Intelligence Coordination

1 - 62-116009 (Cointelpros)

DR:lfj \( (11) \)
UNITED STATES SENATE SELECT COMMITTEE
TO STUDY GOVERNMENTAL OPERATIONS
WITH RESPECT TO INTELLIGENCE ACTIVITIES (SSC)

Reference is made to the letter of the SSC dated
November 4, 1975, requesting certain documents relating to this
Bureau’s Counterintelligence Programs (Cointelpros) be declassi-
ified for public hearings.

This Bureau has declassified the documents listed in the
SSC letter dated November 4, 1975, for utilization at public hearings,
provided the excisions which were incorporated in the documents
when they were originally referred to you are continued and also with
the provision that the following additional excisions are made.

As regards material excerpted from former FBI Director
Hoover’s "off-the-record" statements, as presented to the House
Subcommittee of the Committee on Appropriations, the following
additional material should be excised:

In the material prepared for Fiscal Year (FY) 1959,
page 1, paragraph 3 of the text which begins "Through informant
coverage..." should be excised.

In the material prepared for testimony for FY 1960, on
the second page the paragraph beginning "Although leaders of the
Communist Party..." should be excised.

In the material prepared for FY 1961, page 2, the remain-
ing portions of the paragraph beginning "Among these documents was
a report..." should be excised. It is noted this paragraph ends with
the words "...was written in the Czech language."

1 - 62-116009 (Cointelpros)

DR:ljf (10)

SEE NOTE PAGE 2

This document is prepared in response to your request and is not to be
nation outside your Committee. Its use is limited to in your Committee and the content may not be released to person
nel without the express approval of the FBI. ENCLOSED

November 14, 1975
United States Senate Select Committee
To Study Governmental Operations with
Respect to Intelligence Activities (SSC)

In the FY 1963 material, on page 2, paragraph 1, the sentence beginning with "For example..." and ending "...the Bolshevik Revolution" should be excised.

In the last paragraph on page 2 of this document, the word "key" in line 3 should be excised.

In the enclosure to former Director Hoover's letter to the Honorable Robert F. Kennedy dated January 10, 1961, on page 2 the paragraph which has already been partially excised and which begins "A CP leader..." and which ends "...of the world" should be excised in its entirety. On page 3, the last remaining paragraph which begins "Through our security..." and ends "...aware of its plans" should be excised. On page 4 in the last paragraph, the sentence beginning with "In one phase..." and ending with "...monolithic unity" should be excised.

In the Director's letter to the Attorney General dated September 2, 1965, paragraph 2, the following words or numbers should be excised: "two thousand," "774," and "two each day." These same excisions should be made in the Director's letter to the Honorable Marvin Watson dated September 2, 1965.

With regard to the declassification of item number 1 identified in the SSC letter of November 4, 1975, it should be noted that the briefing by former Director Hoover on November 6, 1958, was afforded the President and his Cabinet rather than the President and the National Security Council.

1 - The Attorney General

NOTE:

See letter to the Attorney General dated 11/14/75, captioned "United States Senate Select Committee on Intelligence Activities," prepared by DR:lfj.
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TO: Intelligence Community Staff
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FROM: [Initial]

SUBJECT: Abstract of Information Provided to Select Committees

1. HOW PROVIDED (check appropriate term. If a document was made available for review but not transmitted, so note.)
2. DATE PROVIDED 11/14/75

3. TO WHOM PROVIDED (check appropriate term; add specific names if appropriate)
X SSC

HSC

4. IDENTIFICATION (provide descriptive data for documents; give name or identification number of briefer, interviewee, testifier and subject)

Memoandum and enclosures

5. IN RESPONSE TO (list date and item number if in response to formal request, otherwise state verbal request of (name), initiative, subpoena, etc.)

SSC letter 11/4/75

6. CLASSIFICATION OF INFORMATION (enter U, C, S, TS or Codeword)

U

7. KEY WORDS (enter the appropriate key words from the list provided separately; if key words not listed are used underline for emphasis)

Counterintelligence

8. SUMMARY (see reverse side before completing this item)

Materials previously delivered have been declassified for utilization at public hearing, provided the excisions which were incorporated in the documents when they were originally referred to SSC are continued and also additional excisions were made.

MDR 16

ALL INFORMATION CONTAINED HEREIN IS UNCLASSIFIED

DATE 12/20/76

BY [Initial]
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INSTRUCTIONS

- Type or print clearly in ink.

- Indicate classification of the abstract top and bottom.

- Date the abstract and put on any internal control numbers required.

- "FROM" entry should clearly identify the organization providing the information.

- If additions (as when a copy of document sent to SSC is later sent to HSC) or changes to a previously submitted form are necessary, submit a copy of the original abstract, with the change indicated.

SPECIFIC ITEM NO. 8. SUMMARY - enter brief narrative statement describing substance of information and showing relationship to Intelligence Community matters if appropriate. Any feedback or evidence of investigatory interests should be noted. Commitments made to supply additional information should be noted. Additionally, certain administrative information may be entered here, e.g., restrictions on review of a document, if document was paraphrased, whether interviewee is current or former employee, etc. If actual document or transcript is provided, that fact should be noted and no summary is required. Additional pages may be attached if necessary.
November 11, 1975

The Attorney General

Director, FBI

U. S. SENATE SELECT COMMITTEE
TO STUDY GOVERNMENTAL OPERATIONS
WITH RESPECT TO INTELLIGENCE
ACTIVITIES (SSC)

The enclosed memorandum is to report contact
between Staff Members of the SSC and the FBI's
representatives at the U. S. Embassies, Brasilia,
Brazil; and Buenos Aires, Argentina.

An extra copy of the memorandum is provided
in the event you wish to furnish it to Mr. James A.
Wilderotter, Associate Counsel to the President.

Enclosures (2)

62-116395

1 - The Deputy Attorney General
Attention: Michael E. Shaheen, Jr.
Special Counsel for
Intelligence Coordination

SECRET MATERIAL ATTACHED
2 - Mr. J. A. Mintz
   (1 - Mr. J. B. Rotis)
1 - Mr. W. R. Wannall
1 - Mr. V. V. Kolombatovic
1 - Mr. W. O. Cregar
1 - Mr. R. L. Moore

November 11, 1975

U. S. SENATE SELECT COMMITTEE TO
STUDY GOVERNMENTAL OPERATIONS WITH
RESPECT TO INTELLIGENCE ACTIVITIES (SSC)

RE: VISITS OF SSC STAFF
MEMBERS TO FBI
LEGAL ATTACHES

On September 15, 1975, Mr. David Aaron, an
investigator for SSC was briefed at FBI Headquarters
(FBIHQ) in preparation for his planned visit to
Brasilia, Brazil, on September 22, 1975, and
Buenos Aires, Argentina, on September 25, 1975, in
connection with the Committee's inquiry into U. S.
intelligence activities. Mr. Aaron was briefed on
the overall role and mission of the FBI's Legal Attachés
(Logats), and specifically on the activities and
functions of the Logats at Brasilia and Buenos Aires.
He was advised that Logat, Brasilia, utilized two
confidential sources in order to facilitate the prompt
coverage of leaks in Brazil. He was also told that
Logat, Mexico City, and Logat, Brasilia, were the only
Logat offices that utilized paid confidential sources. (9)

Logat, Buenos Aires, informed FBIHQ that the
U. S. Embassy at Buenos Aires received instructions,
classified "Secret," from the U. S. Department of State
with regard to the visit of David Aaron, a former U. S.
Department of State Foreign Service Officer, and
William Truchart, a former U. S. Ambassador, to the
U. S. Embassies at Brasilia, Buenos Aires, and Montevideo
and the U. S. Consul General in Rio de Janeiro. The

ORIGINAL AND ONE COPY TO ATTORNEY GENERAL

SEE NOTE, PAGE FOUR
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Date of Declassification Indefinite
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U. S. SENATE SELECT COMMITTEE
TO STUDY GOVERNMENTAL OPERATIONS
WITH RESPECT TO INTELLIGENCE
ACTIVITIES (SSC)

RE: VISITS OF SSC STAFF
MEMBERS TO FBI
LEGAL ATTACHES

Department of State advised that the primary objective of
the visitors was to discuss with the Ambassador and
members of his staff the relationships and exercise of
authority by the Ambassador of the intelligence activities
in the field. The Department of State further advised that
operational details, sources and methods were not construed
to be legitimate avenues of inquiry by the SSC Staff
Members.

Legat, Brasilia, was interviewed on September 22,
1975, by Messrs. Aaron and Truehart, with Aaron being
dominant in the interviewing process. The interview was
directed mainly toward attempts to elicit examples whereby
Central Intelligence Agency (CIA) had withheld dissemination
of information of interest to other agencies. Inquiries
were made concerning CIA activities inside and outside the
Embassy. Specific questions were placed with Legat to
ascertain if the FBI was involved in Soviet Embassy
"walk-ins" as well as recruitment of double agents and CIA-
oriented operations. Discussion concerning Legat's role
and functions in Brasilia appeared to be routine, with few,
if any, pointed questions directed at FBI operations
overseas. The subject of two paid confidential sources in
Brasilia was briefly discussed and both SSC investigators
appeared understanding and in agreement with the necessity
of each confidential source to serve FBI's and U. S.
Government's best interests in Brazil. The question
seemingly bearing the most weight during the interview
concerned possible unauthorized activities of CIA and its
lack of cooperation and coordination with FBI and the
Defense Attache's Office. The duration of the interview
was approximately forty-five minutes.

Legat, Buenos Aires, was interviewed by the two
SSC Staff Members on September 26, 1975. Again Aaron
played the dominant role during interview. He commenced
U. S. SENATE SELECT COMMITTEE
TO STUDY GOVERNMENTAL OPERATIONS
WITH RESPECT TO INTELLIGENCE
ACTIVITIES (SSC)

RE: VISITORS OF SSC STAFF
MEMBERS TO FBI
LEGAL ATTACHES

the interview by stating that the operations of Legats, Brasilia, and Buenos Aires, were not the type of Legat operations he desired to review, indicating that Mexico City Legat's operations were more of the type of interest to them. Aaron asked pointed questions concerning the mechanics of Legat reporting on intelligence information developed locally to FBIHQ and the local coordination of this information with the Embassy components. Aaron requested the Legat provide him with the general nature of intelligence developed and reported by the Legat to the Embassy and FBIHQ. He was told that generally international and local terrorism were the prime topics of Legat reporting. Inquiry was also made into the reason for FBI expansion of foreign liaison activities in 1970. He was told that the Legat was not personally aware of any special reason other than that the FBI liaison operations required additional personnel and Aaron was referred to FBIHQ for any additional information in this regard. Legat was asked whether the CIA Station had ever requested Legat to secure data from FBI computer banks on Argentine residents of CIA interest who had previously resided in the U. S. Legat's reply was in the negative. Other questions mainly dealt with cooperation and coordination activities between the FBI and the CIA at the Embassy. Inquiry was also made into the relationship of the Drug Enforcement Agency and FBI overseas. The interview lasted approximately thirty-five minutes.

Upon arrival in Buenos Aires, Mr. Aaron was suffering from an intestinal problem and remained in his Buenos Aires hotel on September 25, 1975. The Charge D'Affaires hosted a small luncheon for former Ambassador Truchart on that date which Legat, Buenos Aires, attended. Truchart was very open regarding the operations of the SSC.

SECRET
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U. S. SENATE SELECT COMMITTEE
TO STUDY GOVERNMENTAL OPERATIONS
WITH RESPECT TO INTELLIGENCE
ACTIVITIES (SSC)

RE: VISITORS OF SSC STAFF
MEMBERS TO FBI
LEGAL ATTACHES

and stated that he felt the Committee had lost its
perspective and that he believed that various Senators
were engaging in a game of "one-upmanship" in revealing
so-called sensational disclosures of CIA activities.
Truchart stated he personally felt that the original
purpose of the Committee to afford an objective review
of U. S. intelligence operations had been lost.

NOTE:

Classified "Secret" in conformance with the
classification applied to information furnished to the
U. S. Embassies at Brasilia, Buenos Aires, Montevideo
and the U. S. Consul General in Rio de Janeiro, by the
U. S. Department of State. The information was transmitted
by the U. S. Department of State via its Secret Roger
Channel. (5)

The details of the interviews are located in
Legat, Erasilia, teletype to the Bureau 9/23/75 and
Legat, Buenos Aires, teletype to the Bureau 9/26/75, both
classified "Secret" and both captioned "Senstudy-75."

Mr. Aaron was briefed at FBIHQ by Section Chief
Vadja V. Kolombatovic, Harvey C. Flemister and Robert A.
Bermingham of the Liaison Section, Intelligence Division.
TO: Intelligence Community Staff
ATTN: Central Index

FROM: FBI
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   - HSC

4. IDENTIFICATION (provide descriptive data for documents; give name or identification number of briefer, interviewer, testifier and subject)
   Memorandum reporting results of interview by SSC Staff Members of FBI's representatives at the U.S. Embassies, Brasilia, Brazil and Buenos Aires, Argentina.

5. IN RESPONSE TO (list date and item number if in response to formal request, otherwise state verbal request of (name), initiative, subpoena, etc.)

6. CLASSIFICATION OF INFORMATION (enter U, C, S, TS or Codeword)

7. KEY WORDS (enter the appropriate key words from the list provided separately; if key words not listed are used underline for emphasis)
   - Information handling
   - Diplom, foreign

8. SUMMARY (see reverse side before completing this item)
   In Brasilia the interview was directed mainly toward attempts to elicit examples whereby CIA had withheld dissemination of information of interest to other agencies. In the Buenos Aires office questions concerning the mechanics of Legal reporting on intelligence information developed locally to FBIHQ and the local coordination of this information with the Embassy components, the general nature of intelligence developed and reported. Inquiry was also made into the reason for FBI expansion of foreign liaison activities in 1970.
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Memorandum

TO : Mr. W. R. Wannall

FROM : W. O. Cregar

SUBJECT: U. S. SENATE SELECT COMMITTEE ON INTELLIGENCE ACTIVITIES (SSC)

Memorandum advises concerning proposed SSC hearing on FBI foreign counterintelligence (CI) matters.

On 10/30/75 Mr. John Elliff, SSC Staff Member, met with Assistant Director W. R. Wannall, Intelligence Division (INTD). Also present were Section Chiefs W. A. Branigan (CI-1) and W. O. Cregar (CI-2) and Acting Section Chief F. J. Cassidy (IS-3) and Unit Chief E. W. Larson, Training Unit (IS-3). Mr. Elliff advised that the Committee proposed to have an executive session tentatively scheduled for the morning of Friday, 11/14/75, which would deal with foreign CI operations. In addition to representatives from the FBI they envisioned also having present representatives from CIA and the United States military intelligence services. He stated that the session would be scheduled for approximately 2 and one-half hours.

During the conference the following three themes were outlined by Mr. Elliff as the probable areas of discussion:

1. Changes in CI operations during recent years

Items discussed as probably worthy of attention were physical surveillances, the walk-in operations, defection (recruitment-in-place), CI training programs. Also suggested as a prime topic was the future projections concerning administration of foreign CI operations in the United States, i.e., new division for CI work within FBI; separate CI service; or other modifications.

CONTINUED - OVER

Memorandum to Mr. W. R. Wannall
Re: U. S. Senate Select Committee on Intelligence Activities (SSC)

2. Counter-subversion

In this category Mr. Elliff indicated a particular interest in foreign influence in domestic groups and the assessment of danger/risk in investigations undertaken to detect such influence. He brought up the disclosures of surveillances by CIA of Americans abroad at the request of the FBI. He also indicated an interest in borderline cases, such as those in which domestic counter-subversion investigations develop a CI aspect, and was particularly interested in the policies and coordinating mechanisms affecting such instances.

3. Positive intelligence collection

In this category Mr. Elliff was interested in the responsibility for collection of positive intelligence within the United States and the apparent gap which existed in this field. He was also interested in situations under which the FBI might target friendly foreign intelligence services in the United States for CI attention.

Considerable discussion was held during the conference during which INTD representatives set forth the FBI's position and experience in the many areas noted. They are being set forth here to illustrate the probable scope and nature of the executive session which is being planned. Further discussions will be held with the SSC staff to clarify these matters and a firmer agenda for the proposed 11/14/75 hearing will be developed.

With respect to the proposed plan, to include representatives of CIA and the military intelligence services along with the FBI in the proposed session of 2 and one half hours, it was pointed out to Mr. Elliff that this would not appear to be a very productive procedure. The CI perspectives and responsibilities of CIA and the military services are much more narrow and generally in a completely different context than the CI perspectives and responsibilities of the FBI. These differences, particularly in the short

CONTINUED - OVER
Memorandum to Mr. W. R. Wannall  
Re: U. S. Senate Select Committee  
on Intelligence Activities (SSC)

Time allotted, appear to represent a disadvantage to all parties concerned, including the Committee. It was pointed out that the FBI, in particular, would have considerable difficulty in presenting a comprehensive and valid picture of its own CI operations under the conditions imposed by such a session. Mr. Elliff, after considering these objections, stated that he could understand the FBI position but was somewhat doubtful considering the pressures under which the Committee was operating that he would be able to alter this situation.

ACTION:

None. For information.

See Addendum Next Page By Legal Counsel Div.
Memo Cregar to Mr. Wannall, 10/31/75
Re: U. S. SENATE SELECT COMMITTEE
ON INTELLIGENCE ACTIVITIES (SSC)

ADDENDUM, LEGAL COUNSEL DIVISION, JCF:mtm, 11/5/75:

This matter will be pursued with Mr. Elliff by the Office of Congressional Affairs.

\[\text{Signed: JAM JCF}\]

\[\text{Re-scheduled to 1/3-4/75}\]

\[\text{Per Sisy: 11/26}\]
To: SAC, Washington Field Office
From: Director, FBI (62-116395) — 1158%

SENSSTUDY 75
BUDED 1/30/76

Enclosed is a self-explanatory letter from the Attorney General dated 1/12/76.

Joseph Kraft, the subject of the inquiry, is the well-known newspaper columnist and a Washington, D. C. resident. During the past year, information contained in FBI Headquarters files relating to Kraft has been furnished to the U. S. Senate Select Committee on IntelligenceActivities (SSC) pursuant to SSC requests. Kraft and his attorneys have reviewed the material furnished to the SSC regarding him in accordance with an agreement between Kraft and the Attorney General, referred to in paragraph one of the enclosure. As noted in the enclosure, Kraft, as a result of a review of information regarding the burglary of his residence on 9/3/69, raised certain questions.

WFO should furnish FBIHQ with Xeroxes of all serials in its files which relate to the 9/3/69 burglary except WFO airtel and letter to the Bureau dated 9/4/69 and 10/17/69, respectively, captioned "UNSUB: Burglary of residence of Joseph Kraft, 3021 N. Street N. W., Washington, D. C., Loss of silverware, 9/3/69 in excess of $5,000.00 ITSP (OO:WFO)" file number 87-17564. This material should of course include any serials which would indicate the manner in which the burglary was reported to WFO and how the conversation about the burglary took place.

Enclosure

1 - 87-107157

ALL INFORMATION CONTAINED
HEREIN IS UNCLASSIFIED

SEE NOTE PAGE 2
Airtel to Washington Field Office
Re: Senstudy 75
62-116395

In addition to the review of all files pertinent to this inquiry, WFO should review the newspaper morgues of daily newspapers published in Washington, D.C., at such time for articles concerning the burglary and which may shed some additional light on this matter.

This matter must be given expeditious attention and results submitted by airtel to reach Bureau by c.o.b. 1/30/76.

NOTE:

Bureau memorandum dated July 23, 1973, referred to in enclosure and to which Kraft raised a question sets forth the information that Kraft advised WFO on 9/4/69 of the burglary of his residence 9/3/69. Kraft now has no recollection of having spoken to the FBI about the burglary. Pertinent FBIHQ main case files on Kraft (62-115682, 65-75629, 87-107157 and 105-68548) were reviewed by Supervisor T. E. Burns in connection with this inquiry. Such review failed to develop required information. Review by WFO as directed within should develop appropriate information necessary to resolve this matter.
Memorandum

TO: Clarence Kelley, Director
FBI

FROM: Edward H. Levi
Attorney General

SUBJECT: Joseph Kraft burglary

Among the documents from Federal Bureau of Investigation files provided to my office under a cover memorandum dated October 7, 1975, there was a memorandum dated July 18, 1973 and titled "Joseph Kraft." This document, along with the others, was provided to Mr. Kraft's attorneys pursuant to the agreement of August 5, 1975, for review before the department furnished it to the Senate Select Committee.

When Mr. Kraft and his attorneys reviewed the July 18, 1973, document they raised a question about a reference on page two of the document which stated:

"On September 4, 1969, Joseph Kraft advised the Washington Field Office of the FBI that he left his home (3021 N Street, N. W., Washington D. C.) at approximately 9:20 P.M. on September 3, 1969, to visit with former Governor Averell Harriman who resided a few doors from the Kraft "residence. At approximately 9:45 P.M. Mrs. Kraft, who was in the second floor bedroom of the residence, came down the stairs and found the door open.

"Subsequently, it was discovered by Mr. and Mrs. Kraft several items of sterling silver were missing from the dining room and the pantry which items, according to the Krafts, had an estimated value of approximately $10,000.

"A crime scene search and processing of the crime scene by the Metropolitan Police Department produced negative results. No forcible entry was noted and Mr. Kraft advised investigative officers of the Metropolitan Police Department that he had probably left the front door ajar when he departed the residence.

Buy U.S. Savings Bonds Regularly on the Payroll Savings Plan
"On the morning of September 4, 1969, the Metropolitan Police Department was advised by the Washington National Airport Police that some silverware had been found near one of the entrances to the terminal at the Washington National Airport. The silverware was recovered by the Metropolitan Police Department and processed for latent fingerprints without success and the items were returned to Mrs. Kraft upon her identification of the silverware. No suspects were developed by either the FBI or the Metropolitan Police Department in the investigation of the matter."

Mr. Kraft does not recall having spoken to the FBI about the burglary. Documents provided more recently to Mr. Kraft pursuant to the August 5, 1975, agreement do not shed any light on this question.

The incident is curious in that the only reference to it comes in the memorandum of July 18, 1973, discussing a press report about the activities of John D. Erlichman. Could you have someone look into this matter for me? The obvious questions are: What other documents in FBI files say about the September 3, 1969, burglary? What files of the Bureau or its Washington field office indicate about how the conversation about the burglary took place?
U. S. SENATE SELECT COMMITTEE
TO STUDY GOVERNMENTAL OPERATIONS
WITH RESPECT TO INTELLIGENCE ACTIVITIES (SSC)

RE: REQUEST FOR DELIVERY OF MATERIALS
WHICH ARE SUPPLEMENTARY TO PRIOR
SSC REQUESTS OF JULY 28, 1975,
PART 3, D., AUGUST 20, 1975,
PART 3, I. A., AND SEPTEMBER 18,
1975, PART 1, D. AND PART 2.

Reference is made to SSC letter dated September 18,
1975, which requested access or delivery of materials, many
of which are supplementary to prior SSC requests of July 28,

On October 29, 1975, Mr. Lester Seidel, SSC Staff
Member, requested delivery of materials relating to the
following categories of SSC request of September 18, 1975:

1. D. Democratic National Convention 1968

Enclosed materials were prepared by or for Marlin
Johnson, Special Agent in Charge of the Chicago Field Office
of the FBI, during the Democratic National Convention.

Information relating to the current location and
employment of Marlin Johnson was the subject of SSC request
of August 19, 1975, and was furnished to the SSC by FBI
memorandum dated September 5, 1975.

2. Files on Warren Commission Critics

Efforts to locate original authority and/or order
to provide for dissemination of materials furnished to the
SSC under this category on October 7, 1975, have been made
without success.
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TO: DIRECTOR, FBI
ATTN: ASSISTANT DIRECTOR WILLIAM C. SULLIVAN
FROM: SAC, CHICAGO (100-44963)
SUBJECT: DEMCON

As the Bureau is aware, I am gravely concerned over the potential for serious violence occurring in Chicago during the period of the Democratic Convention, which commences August 26, 1968 and the days immediately preceding this convention. Had the convention occurred within 30 days after the President made his announcement that he was not going to run for reelection and after the Viet Nam peace talks started in Paris, I feel that we might not have had serious violence as these two occurrences took much of the steam out of many of the organizations comprising the "New Left". Their direction at that time was primarily against President JOHNSON and against Viet Nam and much of the steam went out of their programs following these two announcements.

It is our observation, however, that these "New Left" organizations have now had time to redirect their programs and are now concentrating primarily on demonstrations and activities against Vice President HUMPHREY, characterized by them as the "Dump Humphrey Campaign". The fact that the war still continues in Viet Nam, has again given emphasis to the organizations which exist on the basic theme of anti-Viet Nam. I am thinking particularly of such organizations as the National Mobilization Committee to End the War in Viet Nam, Students for Democratic Society, Student Mobilization Committee to End the War in Viet Nam and the Youth International Party (Yippies).

REC 43 16 AUG 20 1968
Memorandum

TO: DIRECTOR, FBI (157-8589)  
FROM: SAC, CHICAGO (100-44963)  
SUBJECT: DEMCON  
DATE: 8/23/68

On August 12, 1968, USA THOMAS A. FORAN contacted SAC, Chicago, advising that WARREN CHRISTOPHER, Deputy U.S. Attorney General, desired to meet with the SAC, Chicago.

As a result of the above request, SAC, Chicago, and Supervisor RICHARD L. STRAIN met briefly with Mr. WARREN CHRISTOPHER and Mr. WES POMEROY of the U.S. Department of Justice, Washington, D.C., in the presence of USA FORAN in his offices.

Mr. CHRISTOPHER was most congenial and expressed his high regard for the FBI and asked for a current evaluation of activities in the Chicago area which could possibly affect the Democratic National Convention (DNC) being held the last week of August, 1968. Mr. CHRISTOPHER was advised that all information has been previously furnished to him by letterhead memoranda and was in his possession and he was assured all information coming to our attention would be immediately furnished to the Department and to the USA in Chicago. A brief discussion of current trends followed relative to current intelligence regarding the activities of anti-war and Negro militant organizations which have proposed disruptive tactics at the DNC.

At one point in the discussion mention was made of the fact that the Youth International Party, aka Yippies, was currently holding talks with the city administration in an effort to obtain necessary park permits to have their followers live and sleep in one of the Chicago area parks during the week of the convention and hold a "happening" which the Yippies referred to as the "Festival of Life." During this discussion USA FORAN confidentially advised that when he had received the FBI's notification of the anticipated plans on the part of the Yippies, he had contacted certain city officials known to him and attempted to persuade them against the issuance of such a permit in view of the known moral conduct of such groups. USA FORAN advised he had been assured that such permits would not be granted. He stated these permits have as yet not been granted and that his sources have indicated no serious consideration has been given to the request by responsible Chicago city officials.
For the Bureau's information, Sgt. JOSEPH GRUBISIC, Intelligence Section, Chicago PD, advised previously that he had learned from DAVID STAHL of the Mayor's Office, Chicago, that they had received a telephone call, a couple of days ago, from one WES POMEROY of the U.S. Attorney General's Office, to the effect that the Attorney General wanted the Chicago city officials to give every consideration to the granting of the permits requested by the Yippies.

Sgt. GRUBISIC was unable to comment on the feeling of the city administration to this request but was of the opinion that such permits would not be granted as requested. Sgt. GRUBISIC based his opinion on the fact that four representatives of the Yippies, from New York City, had gone to the office of the Deputy Superintendent of the Chicago PD and had requested immunity from arrest for all Yippies during the convention for crimes around the possession of narcotics. The Yippies stated that any arrest would cause the Yippie community to cause large scale riots, and the blame of any riots resulting from such arrests would fall on the Chicago PD.

These representatives of the Yippies were advised in no uncertain terms that the laws of the City of Chicago would be strictly enforced fairly on all persons and that no one would be granted any immunity during this period.

The Bureau's attention is invited to the August 9, 1968, issue of the "Daily World," daily publication of the Communist Party, USA (CP), wherein an article appeared on page one captioned "Rallies Will Ring Demo Convention" written by CARYL/DAVIAU, current member, CP of Illinois, in which the reporter indicates that the National Mobilization Committee to End the War in Vietnam, which is working around the clock to mobilize demonstrations against the convention, has petitioned the Justice Department to investigate the Chicago Police to determine if there is any conspiracy to deprive "us" of our full civil liberties.

Chicago will continue to follow these matters closely and immediately advise the Bureau, and continue to disseminate all information of intelligence collected to interested agencies.

No recommendation is being made nor is Chicago involving itself in any plans affecting the issuance of the abovementioned park permit.

-2-
SSC- Request of 9/18/75

Part II D

62-16-055-1157
As the Bureau is aware, Chicago is in receipt of information from confidential sources indicating a potential for demonstration arising out of the "New Left" calling for direct confrontation with the Chicago Police Department as a diversionary tactic for a "crash-in" at the convention site. Sources have also reported independent action on the part of individuals to cause some form of "sabotage" activity inside the convention itself. Information received from confidential informants in other divisions indicates attempts on the part of dissident young people not organizationally affiliated with the "New Left", to hire 50 buses out of New York to bring demonstrators to Chicago and a caravan of militant Latin Americans to come from the Miami, Florida area to Chicago for the specific purpose of disrupting the Democratic National Convention.

The Chicago area activists in the Youth International Party are currently planning a "Festival of Lights" in one of the parks on Chicago's lakefront during the period of the Democratic National Convention. This "Festival of Lights" characterized by Chicago area Yippie leadership as being peaceful to show America that there is an alternative to the "festival of death", or the Democratic National Convention. However, sources report that there are elements within the Youth International Party which are calling for direct confrontation with the Chicago Police Department during the "Festival of Lights".

There is a very definite indication that more and more plans are being made by these groups to cause violence and trouble and undoubtedly this will increase even more as we approach the time of the convention. I strongly feel that we must use every possible means at our command to insure that the Director, the President of the United States and other pertinent officials are furnished every possible piece of intelligence information which we can gather from any source.

I am specifically asking for the services of two Special Agents who proved during their assignment in Chicago that they are especially well qualified to handle informants and make contacts in the "New Left" area. They proved during their assignments in Chicago that they have the knowhow, the interest, the dedication and the personality to be highly successful in
this area. I would like to utilize them specifically to work cases in the "New Left" area, make contacts and would also request authority where necessary to utilize them in undercover work under my personal supervision and guidance. They would be specifically assigned full-time to solicit information from the leadership of the "New Left" concerning their plans for demonstrations, confrontations and disruptions of the Democratic Convention and matters relating thereto.

I am, therefore, urgently requesting that [SA WILLIAM J. DILLON, III, presently assigned to Los Angeles and SA WAYNE F. MANIS, under transfer to Anniston, Alabama Resident Agency,] be assigned on a temporary basis in Chicago for the above stated purpose from August 15, 1968 through August 31, 1968. Both men, while young in years in the Bureau, demonstrated outstanding judgment and I am convinced that their assignments, as recommended above, would prove to be most beneficial in carrying out our responsibilities in connection with the Democratic Convention.
To:  Director, FBI (157-8589)

From:  SAC, Chicago (100-44963)

Subject:  DEMCON

This will confirm telephonic contact between SAC, Chicago, and Assistant Director N. P. Callahan on August 13, 1968.

As the Bureau is aware, the Democratic National Convention will be held in Chicago from August 26 - 28, 1968. Voluminous information is being received from all offices which require immediate handling. In addition, tension is growing in the ghetto areas of Chicago particularly the west side, the south side and the near north side. Also, tension has been growing in such Chicago suburban areas as Harvey, Chicago Heights, East Chicago Heights and Maywood. Incidents are occurring every night and throughout the night requiring immediate preparation of teletypes, letterhead memoranda and other communications. It is, therefore, necessary as approved by Mr. Callahan for one and on some shifts two stenographers to be on duty from 4:00 PM to midnight and from midnight to 8:00 AM, seven days a week through August 30, 1968. These stenographers have been selected on a purely voluntary basis. The authority for these special shifts will be considered to expire close of business August 30, 1968, and thereafter we will revert back to the regularly approved stenographic shifts.
To: THE FILE (100-44963)  
From: SAC M. W. JOHNSON  
Subject: DEMCON

DATE: 8/15/68

At 9:15 AM today, the Director telephonically contacted me to advise that he had just been in touch with the Vice President of the United States and had just gotten off the phone with the Vice President's Executive Assistant, Mr. WILLIAM CONNELL. Mr. HOOVER stated that the Vice President has requested that we furnish the same type of service in connection with the Democratic National Convention in Chicago as was furnished to the President during the Atlantic City Convention four years ago. This, of course, refers to intelligence information which will be of value to the Vice President and specifically relates to the possibility of demonstrations, violence and related matters. Mr. HOOVER stated that he had advised the Vice President and Mr. CONNELL that this service would be performed and that Mr. CONNELL should contact me for the necessary arrangements.

Mr. HOOVER advised me that Mr. CONNELL is presently in New York and it is not known when he will arrive in Chicago. I advised Mr. HOOVER that his instructions would be followed, that we had set up appropriate arrangements so that we would be in a position to furnish valuable intelligence and that upon Mr. CONNELL's arrival in Chicago, I would immediately contact him and perfect the necessary arrangements.

My secretary has been instructed to notify all switchboard operators, complaint agents and supervisors that any call from Mr. CONNELL should be immediately referred to me when I am in the office or if I am not in the office to determine where I can contact Mr. CONNELL immediately.
The Attorney General

Director, FBI

U. S. SENATE SELECT COMMITTEE ON INTELLIGENCE ACTIVITIES (SSC)

Reference is made to the November 24, 1975, SSC request forwarded to the Department of Justice by letter dated November 24, 1975, requesting certain documents desired from the FBI.

Enclosed for your approval and forwarding to the Committee are the original of two memoranda in response to this request.

Also enclosed for your records are copies of the memoranda which are being delivered to you with a set of the materials which are being delivered to the SSC.

Your attention is invited to the fact that amongst the documents being furnished to the SSC are communications concerning negotiations between the FBI, former FBI informant Gery Thomas Rowe and the Department pertaining to financial arrangements with Rowe.

Enclosures - 96
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1 - The Deputy Attorney General
Attention: Michael E. Shaheen, Jr.
Special Counsel for Intelligence Coordination

SK: tdp (10)
NOTE:

Single copies of the 11/24/75 communications are attached to the file copy of the enclosed LNM. Exact copies of the materials being furnished are maintained in the office of the Senstudy '75 Project and a detailed record has been maintained of the materials furnished. Documents pertaining to financial arrangements between the FBI, Rowe and the Department of Justice are being furnished to the SSC for the information of the Committee and the Staff in refuting allegations by Rowe that he was not financially rewarded for his efforts on behalf of the FBI.
November 26, 1975

UNITED STATES SENATE SELECT COMMITTEE ON INTELLIGENCE (SSC)

RE: MARY JO COOK

Reference is made to letter dated November 18, 1975, to Special Counsel for Intelligence Coordination, from John Elliff, Director, Domestic Intelligence Task Force, requesting a review of documents pertaining to Mary Jo Cook. Reference is also made to letter dated November 24, 1975, to Special Counsel for Intelligence Coordination from Mr. Elliff requesting delivery of the documents.

On November 25, 1975, Mr. Robert Kelley, Senate Select Committee Staff Investigator, reviewed these documents and requested a summary be prepared of certain of them. Pursuant to Mr. Kelley's request, enclosed is a summary of the contents of six documents furnished by Mary Jo Cook.

Enclosures - 6

1 - The Attorney General

JEM: dew (10)

NOTE:

The above information being furnished to the SSC was prepared pursuant to the requests contained in the letters referred to and at the requests of Robert Kelley, SSC Staff Investigator. The information was taken from informant reports furnished the Buffalo Office by Mary Jo Cook and more precisely from those six reports selected by Mr. Kelley. For ease in reference, the summaries were prepared from informant reports dated as follows:

11/9/73
11/20/73
12/17/73

NOTE CONTINUED PAGE TWO
NOTE CONTINUED:

The summary of the report dated 6/19/74 has as an attachment a four-page document obtained by Cook captioned "Jury Selection." Mr. Kelley specifically requested this document, which essentially is a treatise on the techniques of selecting a jury most sympathetic to a defendant.
SENATE SELECT COMMITTEE

U.S. Senate Select Committee (SSC). Re: Mary Jo Cook & Gary Thomas Rowe. (11/18/75 SSC Int & 11/24/75 SSC Int)
TO: Intelligence Community Staff
ATTN: Central Index

FROM: FBI

SUBJECT: Abstract of Information Provided to Select Committees

1. HOW PROVIDED (check appropriate term. If a document was made available for review but not transmitted, so note.)

   x DOCUMENT

   BRIEFING

   INTERVIEW

   TESTIMONY

   OTHER

2. DATE PROVIDED

   11/26/75

3. TO WHOM PROVIDED (check appropriate term; add specific names if appropriate)

   x SSC

   NMC

4. IDENTIFICATION (provide descriptive data for documents; give name or identification number of briefer, interviewee, testifier and subject)

   Memorandum

5. IN RESPONSE TO (list date and item number if in response to formal request, otherwise state verbal request of (name), initiative, subpoena, etc.)

   SSC letter 11/18/75

6. CLASSIFICATION OF INFORMATION (enter U, G, S, TS or Codeword)

   U

7. KEY WORDS (enter the appropriate key words from the list provided separately; if key words not listed are used underline for emphasis)

   Information handling

8. SUMMARY (see reverse side before completing this item)

   Summary of contents of six documents furnished by Mary Jo Cook.
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The following is a summary of a four page report, dated 11/20/73, furnished by Mary Jo Cook, a former FBI informant.

Report concerns a meeting of the VVAW/WSO Steering Committee held 11/10/73. Five identified individuals were present. There was a discussion of finances and some displeasure at the financial record system. Plans for a benefit at a bar were discussed. Information was presented concerning a newsletter to be mailed out which will discuss the VVAW/WSO's position on amnesty, the upgrading of discharges, information about the Women's group and about a strike at a Buffalo firm.

Some objections were raised concerning the wording of some VVAW/WSO objectives.

Plans for a future coalition meeting organized by two individuals were discussed, the same coalition that worked on the Impeach Nixon rally.

Matters concerning possible new members and/or attendees at future meetings were discussed. Plans for a VVAW/WSO team on a television sports quiz show were discussed.

One member raised four criticisms of the VVAW/WSO, all listed.

One member wrote a regional newsletter.
The following is a summary of a 17-page report dated 12/17/73 furnished by Mary Jo Cook, former FBI informant.

A regional conference of the New York/Northern New Jersey Region, VVAW/WSO, was held at a college on 12/8-9/73. 20 listed people attended.

A member reported another individual had supposedly run guns to Cuba.

A member requested information attesting to the bad conditions in a Vietnamese jail.

A member said he had 2600 names on a mailing list.

Old business was discussed.

Changes in one VVAW/WSO objective were turned down.

In other matters, the following was discussed:
Correspondence with prisoners.
Plans for selling the organization's newspaper.
Support for a prison fast.
Approval of amnesty for war resisters.
Impeach Nixon campaign.
U.S. involvement in Korea.
Organization and communication.
Coalition with other groups.
Possible underground VVAW group.
Possible sponsorship of a college lecture.

The VVAW's connection with the Revolutionary Union with some displeasure voiced.

A recent military alert and the possibility of a mail opening and telephone tap alleged.
The general feeling of a future military takeover in the U.S.

Proposed delegates to the National Steering Committee Meeting.

Disapproval of a workshop introduction as "Red-baiting."

Buffalo chapter was considered one of the five strongest chapters in the organization.

Possible VVAW Summer Camp over Labor Day.

Information about members contacting members of other chapters.

Reports were presented from other chapters.
The following is a summary of a five page report, dated 7/19/74, furnished by Mary Jo Cook, former FBI informant.

A meeting of the National Defense Committee of the VVAW/WSO was held on 6/7-9/74 at St. Louis, Missouri. Eleven identified individuals attended.

The purpose was to ascertain the feasibility of setting up a National Defense Committee which would be operational throughout the United States. As concerns VVAW/WSO, the meeting sought to advise members that the organization's Defense Committee will take steps to secure the release or acquittals of any members arrested.

A representative from the National Collective in Chicago talked about the Gainesville 8 in a derogatory manner, implying the Government did not try the Gainesville 8 for purely political reasons, but that the leader and some others planned some kind of illegal activity. He also said if there had been no Agent provocateur there would never have been a trial and that the National Collective would not have permitted violent activity. 17 items were handed out, a large portion of which were reprints from national and "movement" publications covering such topics as how to avoid being questioned by the FBI. One of these, on jury selection, is attached.
The jury component is the people's component in the existing system of criminal justice; it is only quasi-organized and partially managed. The use of systematic jury selection can be an important tool in the practice of people's law, but at present it is being developed and used selectively as a successful foil in cases of overt political attack. The minimal program in jury selection defense work is to secure a group of twelve people who will take their function as jurors seriously and assume that the defendants are innocent until proven guilty. The maximal program is to secure a jury which will acquit the defendants.

Since 1970, serious inroads have been made on the jury system: proposed abolition of 12-person juries, non-unanimous verdicts, abolition of voir dire of prospective jurors by lawyers, disciplining of "disruptive" lawyers and other "reforms" to "speed up" decision-making. Jurors are under attack by the narrow, elite group who seek to rule this country only because this vehicle of the people's power cannot be relied upon to tax political prisoners of us all.

Challenging jury composition

18 U.S.C. Sec. 1861 is a federal statute which places an obligation on the courts to assure the right to a jury selected at random from a fair cross-section of the community. Data, gathered from observing juries, which suggests an imbalance can be used as grounds for access to jury selection records. Through a study of the qualifications and preferences of the voter jury wheel, a demography (the study of the characteristics of a population) of the voter registration list can be determined.
Those findings, compared with census demographic data, will reveal areas of underrepresentation. In the case of the Attica Fair Jury Project, a systematic analysis of jury selection records obtained by court order revealed that third world people are underrepresented by 34.5%, women by 68%, and people between the ages 21-29 by 85.6%. This study yielded concrete evidence that the Erie County jury system is illegal and unconstitutional, and the system has been challenged on those grounds.

There are four practical advantages to be gained from a jury challenge even if it does not result in the immediate modification of the composition of the master jury wheel which might provide a larger proportion of people from the less authoritarian sectors of the population.

1. Pursuing a challenge can gain time for the defense.
2. Examination of the jury questionnaire and the jury process raises the defense's consciousness of what the problems are likely to be in jury selection.
3. A challenge introduces some accountability to the jury process and may change the behavior of the jury clerk and/or judge.
4. A verdict for conviction can be overturned and a new trial obtained on the basis of an objection to jury composition and selection, if a later court upholds the jury challenge.

Demographic model of jury selection.

There are three separate elements to the demographic model of jury selection.

1. A survey must be taken of a representative cross-section of population that may be called for jury service; this is usually done by telephone.
2. A system for rating prospective jurors on the basis of voir
3. A network of people must be set up to gather outside third-party information to corroborate the results of the survey and voir dire.

The demographic-attitudinal survey is used to obtain precise information on the range of attitudinal patterns prevalent in a given judicial district. At a minimum, the following must be covered: demographic information (age, sex, race, education, etc.), organizational affiliations, media contact, knowledge of the case, political ideology and attitudes toward core American values, social change, divergent life styles and alternative institutions, and toward the type of defendants and the charges specific to the case. A random sample of any of these groups will give the defense committee the demographic information necessary: 1) those who have already been called for jury duty, 2) the voter registration list, or 3) 1% of a cross-section of the population.

The voir dire ratings are constructed by combining observations of behavior and attitudes expressed during the voir dire. This procedure is still being developed but there seems to be a high correlation between ratings of individual authoritarianism and types composed of demographic variables. For example, in the Rap Brown case, the attitudinal study pinpointed the most "dangerous" defense juror as a male manager, engineer, government employee, or real estate broker, over 45, and who lived in a rural area or small,
quiet town. His authoritarianism rating was very high, just as you would expect from a juror whose values embraced authority, patriotism, conformity, government right or wrong, etc. The authoritarianism ratings can further be used as a reference point to make sense of the body language, costume and verbal ratings. These ratings become even more essential when faced with a limited voir dire.

Schulman stresses that voir dire ratings should be done collectively. Defendants and trial lawyers should participate, but past trials have shown them to have definite problems with objective judgments in this area.

A core of people from a cross-section of the community should be established to obtain information about the people on the venire list so that any inconsistencies between the voir dire ratings and the demographic ratings can be resolved. This can be done by asking 10 people to contact 3 friends, who in turn will contact 3 friends, who in turn etc. This should be done with a clear presentation of the kind of information needed and a clear understanding of what constitutes jury tampering.
The following is a summary of a two-page report dated 7/31/74 furnished by Mary Jo Cook, a former FBI informant.

The report covers the following matters:

It appears as if the Revolutionary Union is making its move and focusing on the upcoming National Conference. An issue of "Winter Soldier" was received and its editorial was an RU editorial.

The VVAW/WSO newsletter arrived from the National Office and listed three possible directions VVAW/WSO can take:

1) Anti-imperialist veteran's organization with the supporters.

2) A veteran's organization for veteran's only.

3) A mass anti-imperialist organization with no base.

A discussion concerning the National Office supporting or becoming aligned with the RU.
The following is a summary of a two page report, dated 10/2/74, furnished by Mary Jo Cook, former FBI informer.

The report is entirely concerned with a demonstration held 9/11/74 in Buffalo protesting the policies of the American Government regarding the overthrow of the Allende Regime in Chile. Eight members of the WWA/WSO attended, two from the Attica Brothers Legal Defense, one from Youth Against War and Fascism, two from Revolutionary Union, two from Anti-Monopoly Committee, three from Committee for Chilean Democracy, and four from a University in Buffalo.

All of the above attendees were named, either by first or full name. One name was phonetic.
U. S. SENATE SELECT COMMITTEE TO STUDY GOVERNMENTAL OPERATIONS WITH RESPECT TO INTELLIGENCE ACTIVITIES (SSC)

Reference is made to the letter from the Director of the Domestic Intelligence Task Force (SSC) to the Deputy Attorney General's Office dated November 24, 1975, requesting delivery of certain documents pertaining to Gary Thomas Rowe.

Enclosed with this memorandum are a summary of information supplied by former FBI informant Gary Thomas Rowe, and other documents relating to the SSC request of November 24, 1975.

In accordance with Mr. Robert Kelley of the SSC Staff, the documents pertaining to the financial arrangements between the FBI, Gary Thomas Rowe and the Department of Justice are not to be made public or used as exhibits in the course of testimony before the SSC.
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I. INFORMANT REPORTS SUBMITTED BY GARY THOMAS ROWE

1. On 5/14/61 Rowe advised that the burning of a bus and the beating of individuals at a bus depot in Alabama during May, 1961, was instituted by a local Ku Klux Klan unit.

2. On 5/17/61 Rowe stated that the Klan would try to intercept a bus which was due to arrive in Birmingham, Alabama, at 11 P.M. on 5/17/61. He further stated that Klansmen should intercept the bus on the highway and give all persons present, whether black or white, an opportunity to get off. They would be allowed to enter privately owned automobiles and have a safe journey to the nearest town. Rowe was advised by another Klansman that those who refused to leave the bus would be beaten.

3. On June 4, 1962, Rowe furnished copies of five documents expected to be distributed by Klansmen in order to discourage voters from voting for certain candidates who allegedly supported various civil rights groups.

4. During March, 1962, Rowe furnished information regarding a local Klan meeting at which 23
Klansmen were present. The meeting centered around a Klansman's plan to run for a high state office. Also discussed was another candidate for this office.

5. During July, 1962, Rowe advised that a Klansman told a select group of other Klansmen that "it looks as if the Negroes should be stopped now." This group of Klansmen was told that police officials had promised them protection and that a high state official would pardon them if arrested. This Klansman further advised that if a particular "militant Negro Integrationist" would appear at a certain restaurant with other Negroes he should be attacked and killed. Instructions were also issued by this Klansman that if this "militant Negro Integrationist" did not appear at this restaurant but sent other Negroes to stage a sit-in, then there would be no killing. He stated that these Negroes should be beaten with chains and clubs instead.

6. During October, 1964, Rowe reported on Klan discussions regarding a plan to handle alleged "race mixing" at a local night club. Also discussed was a plan by Klansmen to bomb this night club.
II. BUREAU HANDLING OF INFORMANT

On 4/17/64, the Bureau advised S&G, Birmingham, to immediately instruct Rowe to withdraw from his position as squad leader of an "action squad."

S&G, Birmingham, was further advised that in the event Rowe does not desire to withdraw from this leadership position, he should be discontinued as an informant.

On 4/18/64, Rowe was advised by the Birmingham Office that he must remove himself as a squad leader of an "action squad."

On 5/4/64, the Bureau advised S&G, Birmingham, that "in those cases where you have an informant who is a member of a violent squad...you should insure that the informant understands he is not to direct, lead, or instigate any acts of violence."

It was also pointed out that informant should be instructed to avoid participation in any act of violence.

III. KLAN VIOLENCE AT THE BIRMINGHAM BUS DEPOT 5/14/61

By letter from the Bureau to 23 field offices, it was stated that a civil rights organization intended to make a nonviolent attempt to complete integration of bus service and related public accommodations in the South during the month of May.
By internal Bureau memorandum dated 5/13/61, it was noted that information from a confidential informant (Rowe) was received concerning the possibility that local authorities may allow disturbances to generate against above civil rights group on 5/14/61, when they were due to arrive in Birmingham, Alabama. This memorandum shows that appropriate local law enforcement authorities were advised of the potential for violence in Birmingham prior to 5/14/61.
5/26/65

Mr. Boyd

Airtel

SAC, Birmingham

From: Director, FBI (44-28601)

EUGENE THOMAS, ET AL;
VIOLA LUIEZO, AKA, ET AL - VICTIMS
CIVIL RIGHTS - ELECTION LAWS

Re: Airtel to Birmingham 5/21/65 and SFairtel to Director 5/22/65 captioned "BH 218-R.")

Re: SFairtel furnished information concerning outstanding indebtedness of Gary Thomas Rowe, Jr. The financial obligations he has incurred with the banking institutions and with the State of Alabama regarding unpaid sales tax appear to be dormant debts which would not necessarily have to be paid on a current basis as part of his living expenses. Following completion of the trials in this case, consideration will be given by the Bureau to a recommendation of a lump-sum payment which informant might use to help liquidate these obligations.

With reference to the sums owed to the power, gas, telephone and realty companies, it is not clear whether these are current monthly expenses to the informant or rather dormant bills. Advise Bureau if these are present expenses to the informant and, if not paid or services discontinued, what parties would be affected.

Re: SFairtel reflects informant has advised that the indebtedness with the Boothby Realty Company is accumulating day by day for rent of a residence going back to 2/1/65. The Bureau desires to know the purpose of informant maintaining this residence (presumably in Birmingham) and of informant's

2 - Tampa (44-313)
2 - San Francisco (JJB/rd)
(8)

SEE NOTE ON PAGE TWO.
Aixtel to Birmingham
RE: EUGENE THOMAS, ET AL;

intentions to continue to maintain this residence inasmuch as both he and his ex-wife are residing elsewhere.

Informant's ex-wife has obtained employment at a salary of approximately $223 per month. She has fixed obligations that will amount to approximately $424 a month and feels she could better meet her obligations if she were receiving her alimony payments. Mrs. Rowe has stated she is to receive alimony of $115 a month and that her ex-husband is in arrears for a total of $690. The Bureau desires to know what informant's thoughts are as to meeting this obligation to his ex-wife. Also advise if informant considers these payments as necessary monthly obligations that he would meet under normal circumstances.

The Birmingham Office is to give this your immediate attention and promptly advise the Bureau.

NOTE:

The Birmingham Office has pointed out that Rowe has performed an invaluable service to the Bureau and has saved an untold amount of manpower and various expenses in connection with the shooting of Mrs. Viola Liuzzo. Informant has been in protective custody of the Bureau since 4/1/65 and not had opportunity to be gainfully employed to meet various obligations. The Birmingham Office has recommended that the Bureau pay informant's outstanding bills in order to continue the excellent relationship developed. We are presently paying him $16 per day subsistence in lieu of expenses which figure was decided upon after discussion with the Department. It is not desired to make a large payment at this time which could be construed as a payment to Rowe for his testimony in the up-coming trials. Birmingham previously requested to submit a detailed accounting of Rowe's debts showing their basis, when contracted and schedule of payments. The information received from the field needs to be further clarified after which time this matter will be discussed with the Department and its views obtained as to whether or not payment of these debts would be judicious at this time.
TO: DIRECTOR, FBI (44-28601)
FROM: SAC, TAMPA (44-313) (P)
EUGENE THOMAS, ET AL.;
VIOLA LIUZZO, aka
ET AL. - VICTIMS
CR; EL
(00:EH)

On 5/20/65, Mrs. DOROTHY M. ROWE again advised that she has not received any alimony payments from her ex-husband since the October, 1964, payment, which means he is in arrears 6 months, total due $690.

Mrs. ROWE will commence work on 5/24/65 at a salary of approximately $57 per week, $229 per month. She has fixed obligations that will amount to approximately $424 per month. She has stated that if she were receiving her alimony payments she could better meet her obligations.

Please advise what you have been able to accomplish re the alimony payments.
Memorandum

TO: Mr. Belmont

FROM: A. Rosen

DATE: 5-21-65

SUBJECT: EUGENE, THOMAS, ET AL; VIOLA LIUZZO, AKA, ET AL - VICTIMS
CIVIL RIGHTS - ELECTION LAWS

The Birmingham Office has advised that our informant Gary Thomas Rowe has current outstanding debts in the Birmingham, Alabama, area totaling $2350.08, and the SAC, Birmingham recommends that these debts be paid by the Bureau in full.

Birmingham points out that Rowe has performed invaluable service to the Bureau in connection with the case involving the shooting of Mrs. Viola Liuzzo, and his services have saved the Bureau an untold amount of Agent manpower and various expenses connected with an extended major investigation. They point out that informant has been in protective custody of the Bureau since 4-1-65, and as such, has not had an opportunity to be gainfully employed in order to meet various financial obligations that he has been meeting in the past. Birmingham points out that it is absolutely imperative to insure the possible success of the next trial tentatively scheduled for September, 1965, that the excellent relationship developed with Rowe must be retained, and to accomplish this Rowe should be free as much as possible from the receipt of bills from various creditors when he is not in a position to make payment.

Birmingham listed outstanding bills of informant Rowe as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Company</th>
<th>Amount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Alabama Power Company</td>
<td>$69.16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Southern Bell Telephone Company</td>
<td>38.78</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Boothby Realty Company (Apartment)</td>
<td>297.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>State of Alabama (Sales Tax)</td>
<td>266.11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Birmingham Trust National Bank</td>
<td>1,062.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sears-Roebuck (Toys)</td>
<td>75.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alabama Gas Corporation</td>
<td>29.58</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cousin Joe's Loan Company</td>
<td>60.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>First National Bank of Birmingham</td>
<td>451.95</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Total: $2,350.08

Enclosure: Rcv'd 5-21-65

(7) WWH: mmh
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DATE 06-10-65

BY 302

NW 65360 Docid:328989550 Page:415
Memorandum to Mr. Belmont
RE: EUGENE THOMAS, ET AL

OBSERVATIONS OF GENERAL INVESTIGATIVE DIVISION

The Bureau is currently paying Gary Thomas Rowe
$16.00 per day subsistence in lieu of expenses. This figure
was initially decided upon after discussion with the Department,
and with the Department's approval, as it was recognized that
the informant had to be removed from the Birmingham area for
his protection and safety. The Department pointed out that
it would not be desirable to make any lump sum or large
payment to the informant so long as he might be called upon
to testify, as any such large payments might be construed
in court as a payment for his testimony, whereas payment
of his living expenses can readily be justified as a necessity.

In the trial of subject Collie Leroy Wilkins which
began 5-3-65 and resulted in a hung jury, the Bureau was called
upon to produce a record of payments to the informant. In a
future trial, it is reasonable to expect that we will again be
called upon to produce such a record. The payment of Rowe's
debts at this time amounting to over two thousand dollars
would appear in this record of payments to Rowe, and we
certainly could not agree to pay such a large amount at this
time without the Department's approval.

With regard to the debts themselves, it would not
appear from information furnished by Birmingham that they are
all due and payable immediately, as the larger debts to the
two banks would probably be payable in monthly installments.
We could, with Department's approval, agree to payment of
his smaller debts and monthly installments on these larger
debts as they come due.

RECOMMENDATION

In view of the above, it is recommended that
Birmingham be instructed to submit a detailed accounting of
Rowe's debts showing their basis, when they were contracted,
due dates and a full breakdown of any installment contracts
showing detailed schedule of payments. Upon receipt of this
information, the matter will be discussed with the Department
and their views as to whether or not payment of these debts
at this time would be judicious, will be obtained. Airtel
attached.
Memorandum

TO: Mr. DeLoach

DATE: January 14, 1966

FROM: A. Rosen

SUBJECT: EUGENE THOMAS, ET AL;
VIOLA LIUZZO, AKA, ET AL - VICTIMS
CIVIL RIGHTS - ELECTION LAWS

All information contained herein is classified.

In response to the Director's inquiry concerning correspondence relating to the demands made by Gary Thomas Rowe, prior to his testifying in the Federal trial of the three subjects responsible for the shooting of Mrs. Viola Liuzzo, the following items are attached:

(1) The teletype from Inspector J. L. McGovern dated 11-28-65, setting forth the substance of the discussion of John Doar, Assistant Attorney General, Civil Rights Division with Gary Thomas Rowe concerning demands made by Rowe. The teletype indicates that McGovern was present during Doar's discussion with Rowe and on page six of the teletype McGovern states that although Bureau personnel were present throughout the interviews between Doar and Rowe, Bureau personnel made no assurance, promises, nor commitments to him.

(2) A copy of the teletype from Mr. McGovern dated 11-23-65, which sets forth in detail the demands made by Rowe. Because of the urgency of relaying Rowe's demands to the Department, a Xerox copy of the teletype was prepared deleting the transmittal data at the top of page one and the last paragraph on page one continuing to the top of page two wherein Rowe expressed his confidence in Bureau personnel and felt he had been let down by the Department and does not trust its representatives.

(3) A copy of the memorandum as prepared from McGovern's teletype of 11-23-65 as actually furnished to Mr. John Doar on 11-24-65.

(4) Attached is a memorandum from Mr. Rosen to Mr. Belmont dated 11-24-65 recording the discussion of Supervisor J. William Hines with Mr. Doar wherein he was furnished the memorandum (Item 3) and the demands were discussed.

CONTINUED - OVER
Rosén to DeLoach Memorandum
RE: EUGENE THOMAS

Doar indicated in this discussion that the Department
would assume responsibility for handling Rowe’s demands as
noted in paragraph three, where he said that he would
personally meet with Rowe in Tampa, Florida, and that he,
Doar, would make every effort to build Rowe’s confidence,
resolve the conditions set forth by Rowe, and obtain his
testimony.

ACTION:

For your information.
6:33 PM EST URGENT 11-28-65 RLS

TO DIRECTOR

FROM TAMPA

MDR-14

ALL INFORMATION CONTAINED
HEREIN IS UNCLASSIFIED
DATE 12/30/65
BY L. A. SMITH

FROM INSPECTOR J. L. MC GOVERN.

EUGENE THOMAS, ET AL, VIOLA LIUZZO-VICTIM, CR-EL,

BIRMINGHAM ORIGIN.

RE TAMPA TELEPHONE CALL NOVEMBER 27 LAST.

ASSISTANT ATTORNEY GENERAL JOHN DOAR ARRIVED TAMPA
AFTERNOON NOVEMBER 26 AND FOLLOWING BRIEFING CONFERENCE
INTERVIEWED GARY THOMAS ROWE AT GREAT LENGTH. INTERVIEW
CONCERNED CONDITIONS UNDER WHICH ROWE WOULD TESTIFY AS
OUTLINED SAN FRANCISCO TELETYPE NOVEMBER 23.

AT OUTSET OF INTERVIEW DOAR INDICATED THAT FEDERAL
GOVERNMENT RECOGNIZED OBLIGATION TO PROVIDE FOR ROWE AND
FAMILY WITH RESPECT TO FUTURE EMPLOYMENT, RELOCATION AND
REIMBURSEMENT FOR INCONVENIENCES SUFFERED BY ROWE AND
FAMILY INCLUDING LOSS OF INCOME DURING PERIOD OF RELOCATION.

MR. DOAR POINTED OUT TO ROWE THAT THE CONDITIONS PREVIOUSLY OUTLINED BY ROWE WERE NOT CONSIDERED UNREASONABLE. ALSO INDICATED THAT THE FEDERAL GOVERNMENT DID NOT CONSIDER ROWE'S INABILITY TO IDENTIFY LEROY MOTON AS THE OCCUPANT OF THE CAR WITH MRS. LIUZZO AS BEING HARMFUL TO THE GOVERNMENT'S CASE; IF ROWE FELT MOTON WAS NOT THE NEGRO OCCUPANT, HE SHOULD SO TESTIFY.

MR. DOAR ADVISED ROWE THAT THE GOVERNMENT COULD NOT IN GOOD CONSCIENCE GIVE HIM AN AGREEMENT IN WRITING WHEREBY HE WOULD BE ASSURED THAT HE WOULD NOT BE REQUIRED TO TESTIFY IN ANY FUTURE PROCEEDINGS REGARDLESS OF THE OUTCOME OF THE TRIAL TO COMMENCE NOVEMBER 29. MR. DOAR POINTED OUT THAT SUCH AN AGREEMENT, IF GIVEN, WOULD BE OF NO VALUE TO ROWE AS IT WOULD BE A WORTHLESS COMMITMENT RELATING TO EVENTS WHICH MIGHT OCCUR IN THE FUTURE AND NOT UNDER THE CONTROL OF PRESENT GOVERNMENT OFFICIALS.
Throughout the interview, Rowe appeared to be aware of the position of the government; and while not antagonistic, remained adamant in refusing to change the position he had previously taken in refusing to testify unless the conditions outlined were met.

At the conclusion of the interview by Mr. Doar, at which time Rowe had not changed his position, he was further interviewed at great length by bureau personnel. Rowe finally indicated that if it were agreeable to his wife, he would testify without receiving a written agreement relating to future testimony provided he did receive written assurance relating to his testimony which might involve Leroy Moton.

On the morning of November 27 the results of the interview with bureau personnel were made known to Mr. Doar, and thereafter contact was made with Rowe's wife. Rowe
DISCUSSED IN DETAIL WITH HER HIS CONFERENCE WITH MR DOAR
AND SHE INDICATED THAT SHE WOULD BE WILLING TO RELOCATE
TOGETHER WITH HER CHILDREN TO AN AREA IN WHICH ROWE
HIMSELF WOULD BE RELOCATED.

THIS INFORMATION WAS MADE KNOWN TO MR. DOAR. THEREAFTER,
ROUGH DRAFT LETTER OVER THE SIGNATURE OF THE ATTORNEY GENERAL
WAS DELIVERED BY DOAR TO ROWE. THIS LETTER OUTLINED THE
FACT THAT THE ATTORNEY GENERAL WAS AWARE OF THE SERVICES
PERFORMED BY ROWE IN THE PAST, THE FACT THAT HIS PUBLIC
EXPOSURE HAD RESULTED IN HARDSHIP ON HIM AND HIS FAMILY,
WHICH WILL NECESSITATE PERMANENT RELOCATION FOR THE SECURITY
OF THE ROWE FAMILY. THE LETTER ALSO INDICATED THAT THE
ATTORNEY GENERAL WAS PREPARED TO ASSIST ROWE IN OBTAINING
SUITABLE EMPLOYMENT WITH EITHER THE FEDERAL GOVERNMENT OR
ELSEWHERE IN A POSITION FOR WHICH HE IS QUALIFIED AND TO
ASSIST HIM IN RELOCATING IN A SECURE PLACE. THE LETTER
CONCLUDED WITH THE COMMENT THAT THE ASSISTANCE OUTLINED
IS NOT CONTINGENT ON HIS PERFORMANCE OF ANY FURTHER SERVICE OR ASSISTANCE WHICH HE MAY GIVE TO THE UNITED STATES AT ANY TIME IN THE FUTURE.

THEREAFTER MR. DOAR PRESENTED TO ROWE A LETTER OVER HIS SIGNATURE AS ASSISTANT ATTORNEY GENERAL ASKING THAT ROWE PRESENT HIMSELF AT MONTGOMERY, ALABAMA BEFORE TRIAL DATE SO THAT THE FACTS TO WHICH ROWE WOULD TESTIFY MAY BE CAREFULLY REVIEWED WITH HIM. THE LETTER POINTS OUT THAT THE FEDERAL TRIAL WILL DIFFER FROM THE PAST STATE PROCEEDINGS INDICATING THAT A CONSPIRACY CASE IS INVOLVED CHARGING THREE DEFENDANTS WITH CONSPIRACY TO INJURE UNITED STATES CITIZENS FOR HAVING EXERCISED CERTAIN FEDERAL RIGHTS. THE LETTER POINTS OUT THAT PROOF IN THE CASE WOULD DEAL PRIMARILY WITH THE EVENTS COMMENCING ON MARCH 21 AND THAT ROWE WILL BE EXPECTED TO BE AS SPECIFIC AND ACCURATE ABOUT DETAILS AS HE CAN TRUTHFULLY BE. THE LETTER INDICATES THAT MR. DOAR IS AWARE THAT ROWE DOES NOT BELIEVE THAT LEROY MOTON WAS THE
NEGRO MALE OCCUPYING THE FRONT SEAT OF THE LIUZZO CAR; AND, THAT IF HE WERE ASKED ABOUT THIS, HE SHOULD SAY SO. FURTHER, ROWE'S IDENTIFICATION OF THE PASSENGER IN THE LIUZZO CAR IS IMMATERIAL TO THE GOVERNMENTS PROOF IN THE CASE.

FOLLOWING REVIEW OF THESE LETTERS BY ROWE, IT WAS INDICATED TO HIM THAT THEY WOULD BE DELIVERED IN CARE OF INSPECTOR MC GOVERN AT MONTGOMERY, ALABAMA, AND WOULD BE HELD BY MC GOVERN UNTIL AFTER THE CONCLUSION OF ROWE'S TESTIMONY.

ROWE INDICATED THAT THIS WAS AGREEABLE TO HIM AND INDICATED THAT HE WOULD WILLINGLY APPEAR AT MONTGOMERY, ALABAMA TO TESTIFY.

ALTHOUGH BUREAU PERSONNEL WAS PRESENT THROUGHOUT THE INTERVIEWS BETWEEN AAG DOAR AND ROWE, BUREAU PERSONNEL MADE NO ASSURANCES, PROMISES, NOR COMMITMENTS TO HIM.

AS MR. DOAR HAS INDICATED DESIRE FOR FURTHER PRETRIAL CONFERENCES WITH ROWE, ROWE WILL BE TRANSPORTED THIS DATE, NOVEMBER 28 TO MONTGOMERY, ALABAMA BY BUREAU PERSONNEL VIA
EASTERN AIRLINES FLIGHT 274, DEPARTING TAMPA 12:30 PM.
TRANSFER POINT ATLANTA, GEORGIA, DEPARTURE ATLANTA VIA
EASTERN AIRLINES FLIGHT 763 AT 2:55 PM EASTERN STANDARD
TIME, ARRIVAL MONTGOMERY, ALABAMA 2:55 PM CENTRAL STANDARD
TIME.

MOBILE HAS BEEN ALERTED AND ARRANGEMENTS MADE FOR
BUREAU PERSONNEL TO BE PRESENT AT AIRPORT MONTGOMERY
TO PROVIDE FULL SECURITY FOR ROWE AND TRANSPORTATION TO
MAXWELL AIR FORCE BASE WHERE ROWE WILL REMAIN DURING TRIAL
COMMENCING NOVEMBER 29.

RECEIVED: 6:54 PM RPT
R-15
URGENT 11-23-65 9:38 PM
TO DIRECTOR
FROM SAN FRANCISCO 232211
FROM: INSPECTOR J. L. MC GOVERN
EUGENE THOMAS, ET AL; VIOLET LIUZZO-VICTIM, CR-EL.
OO: BIRMINGHAM.

REBUTEL CALL TO BIRMINGHAM NOVEMBER 19 LAST.

GARY THOMAS ROWE HAS BEEN INTERVIEWED ALMOST CONTINUOUSLY
SINCE LATE EVENING NOVEMBER 20 BY INSPECTOR J. L. MC GOVERN,
SA NEIL P. SHANAHAN, AND FREQUENTLY IN PRESENCE OF SAC LYNUM
AND SA JAMES G. CARLISLE.

AT OUTSET AND THROUGHOUT INTERVIEWS ROWE HAS BEEN ADAMANT
IN HIS REFUSAL TO FURTHER TESTIFY IN THE STATE OF ALABAMA
CONCERNING CAPTIVATED CASE OR OTHER MATTERS. DURING INTERVIEW
ROWE WAS HIGHLY EMOTIONAL, DISTRAUGHT, TEARFUL AND INDICATED
THAT HE DOES NOT FEEL THAT HE IS PHYSICALLY CAPABLE OF GOING
THROUGH WITH ANOTHER TRIAL. HE EXPRESSED DEEP CONCERN FOR
THE SECURITY OF HIS CHILDREN, AS WELL AS HIMSELF, AND
COMMENTSED THAT HE WOULD NEVER RETURN TO ALABAMA. HE INDICATED
THAT SO LONG AS THE THREAT OF FURTHER TESTIMONY HANGS OVER
HIM HE CANNOT SECURE REGULAR EMPLOYMENT.

WHILE EXPRESSING A DEEP SENSE OF OBLIGATION TO THE BUREAU
AND CONFIDENCE OF BUREAU PERSONNEL, HE INDICATED THAT HE FEELS
THAT HE HAS BEEN "LET DOWN" BY THE DEPARTMENT AND DOES NOT
TRUST ITS REPRESENTATIVES. HE COMMENTED THAT HE QUESTIONS THE

PAGE TWO FROM SAN FRANCISCO 232211

MOTIVES OF THE DEPARTMENT, AS WELL AS THE STATE PROSECUTORS, EXPRESSING THE OPINION THAT THE MOTIVES ARE POLITICALLY INSPIRED. ALSO INDICATED THAT HE DOES NOT FEEL HIS TESTIMONY WOULD BE OF VALUE, AS HE CANNOT FORESEEANY POSSIBILITY OF CONVICTION.

IN TAKING THE POSITION THAT HE WOULD NOT VOLUNTARILY RETURN TO ALABAMA TO TESTIFY, HE RECOGNIZED THAT A SUBPOENA COULD BE ISSUED FOR HIM, BUT THAT IF ONE WERE ISSUED HE STATED THAT HE WOULD RETURN ONLY IF FORCE WERE USED TO TRANSPORT HIM TO ALABAMA. IF SUCH FORCE WERE USED HE WOULD REFUSE TO ANSWER QUESTIONS, EVEN THOUGH HE MAY BE HELD IN CONTEMPT AND CONFINED TO JAIL.

SHOULD HE BE FORCED TO TESTIFY HE SAID THAT HE WOULD INSURE THAT HIS TESTIMONY WOULD INCLUDE THE FACT THAT HE DOES NOT BELIEVE WITNESS LEROY MOTON IS THE SAME NEGRO WHO OCCUPIED THE CAR WITH THE VICTIM AT THE TIME OF HER SLAYING.

HE ALSO RECOGNIZES THAT SHOULD HE REFUSE TO TESTIFY THAT HIS SERVICES OVER THE PAST SIX YEARS AND HIS PRIOR TESTIMONY IN THE STATE PROSECUTIONS WOULD BE DISCREDITED.

AFTER DISCUSSION WITH ROWE THIS MORNING, HE INDICATED THAT HE WOULD TESTIFY UNDER THE FOLLOWING CONDITIONS:

1. THAT THE UNITED STATES ATTORNEY GENERAL GIVE HIM AN AGREEMENT IN WRITING PRIOR TO HIS ENTERING THE STATE OF ALABAMA, WHICH AGREEMENT WOULD PROVIDE THAT HE, ROWE, WOULD NOT BE REQUIRED TO TESTIFY IN ANY FURTHER PROCEEDINGS FOLLOWING THE TRIAL AT MONTGOMERY, ALABAMA, TO BE HELD NOVEMBER 29 NEXT, REGARDLESS OF THE OUTCOME OF THAT TRIAL, namely, ACQUITTAL.

If the intelligence contained in the above message is to be disseminated outside the Bureau, it is suggested that it be suitably marked and handled in accordance with the Bureau's cryptographic systems.
Hung Jury or Conviction.

2. That he have an agreement in writing from the Attorney General that he, Rowe, would be assisted in securing employment, either with ONI or the Border Patrol, such employment to be secured within 45 days upon the completion of the trial.

3. That this agreement would include an assurance that if he did testify he would be asked no questions concerning Leroy Moton.

4. Because of his concern for the security of his children, providing such would be agreeable with his wife, that she and his four children would be relocated in an area in close proximity to here he will be located to afford an opportunity for frequent visits and to check on their safety. Also, that the Bureau would assist his wife in securing employment in the place of relocation.

5. Provided such would be agreeable to his parents and to his sister, that they, too, be relocated to the same general vicinity as his wife and children. Because his father must work approximately two additional years to secure maximum retirement annuities from his place of employment, that provision be made to his parents for any monetary loss or reduction in the retirement annuity covering the period from date of relocation to the date on which maximum retirement would ordinarily begin.

6. He recognizes that his services have resulted in a vast saving of investigative time from his knowledge of cases in which informants have been severed that he probably would
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RECEIVE A SUBSTANTIAL SUM AT TIME OF SEVERANCE, ONE FIFTH OF THIS SUM TO BE USED BY HIM TO DEFRAAY OUTSTANDING INDEBTEDNESS AND FOUR FIFTHS TO BE SET UP IN TRUST FOR HIS CHILDREN TO BE USED FOR THEIR FUTURE EDUCATION.

7. HE EXPRESSED A DESIRE TO VISIT HIS WIFE AND CHILDREN OVER THE THANKSGIVING HOLIDAYS.

8. IN THE EVENT OF HIS TESTIMONY, DESIRES TO KNOW PRECISELY THE NATURE OF THE TESTIMONY EXPECTED OF HIM.

RECOMMENDATIONS:

1. BUREAU IMMEDIATELY ADVISE CONCERNING ROWE'S TRAVEL TO TAMPA, FLORIDA, TO VISIT HIS WIFE AND CHILDREN, WHERE HE WOULD REMAIN UNTIL CALLED AS WITNESS, ASSUMING HIS CONDITIONS ARE MET. ROWE INDICATES HE PLANS TO VISIT FAMILY THANKSGIVING IN ANY EVENT.

2. THAT THIS MATTER BE IMMEDIATELY DISCUSSED WITH THE DEPARTMENT, OUTLINING THE PRESENT ATTITUDE OF ROWE AND THE CONDITIONS WHICH HE IMPOSES BEFORE TESTIFYING AT MONTGOMERY, ALABAMA.

3. IT IS FELT THAT MOST SERIOUS CONSIDERATION MUST BE GIVEN TO THE MANNER IN WHICH ROWE WOULD APPEAR IN MONTGOMERY, EITHER AS A WILLING WITNESS UNDER THE CONDITIONS OUTLINED ABOVE, OR AS A RELUCTANT AND HOSTILE WITNESS SHOULD HE BE SUBPOENAED AND FORCIBLY TRANSPORTED TO MONTGOMERY. THE RESULTANT PUBLICITY INVOLVING SUCH FORCIBLE TRANSPORTATION WOULD UNQUESTIONABLY HAVE A MOST ADVERSE AFFECT ON THE ENTIRE INFORMANT PROGRAM.

4. THAT IN THE EVENT THE DEPARTMENT IS AGREEABLE TO THE
CONDITIONS OUTLINED, AND PROVIDING ROWE'S TRANSPORTATION TO TAMPA, FLORIDA, IS AUTHORIZED, THAT THE DEPARTMENT MAKE AVAILABLE THROUGH THE TAMPA OFFICE THE AREAS OF TESTIMONY EXPECTED OF ROWE SO THAT THEY MAY BE REVIEWED WITH HIM AND HE MAY HAVE AN OPPORTUNITY TO REFRESH HIS RECOLLECTION.

THE ABOVE IS forwarded TO THE BUREAU FOR ITS CONSIDERATION AND THAT OF THE DEPARTMENT. NO PROMISES OF ANY KIND HAVE BEEN MADE TO ROWE, OTHER THAN HIS CONDITIONS WOULD BE forwarded FOR CONSIDERATION.

RECEIVED: 9:50 PM RPT

I think some of his conditions are grossly unreasonable.

COMR. F. SEN
Memorandum

TO
Mr. Belmont

FROM
A. Rosen

DATE: 11/24/65

SUBJECT: EUGENE THOMAS, ET AL;
VIOL/LIUZZO, AKA, ET AL - VICTIMS
CIVIL RIGHTS - ELECTION LAWS

Inspector James McGovern, San Francisco, has advised that
Gary Thomas Rowe, our informant whose testimony is needed in the
Federal civil rights trial of the Liuzzo shooting in Montgomery,
Alabama, beginning 11/29/65, had exhibited feelings of reluctance
to testify for the Government and set forth certain conditions
under which he would agree to testify.

These conditions consist of an agreement from the
Attorney General that his testimony would not be required in any
future proceedings, that he be assisted in obtaining employment
and that some assurances be given him for the future security of
his ex-wife and children and parents. He also expressed a desire
to visit his wife and children in the Tampa, Florida, area over
the Thanksgiving holiday.

Rowe's conditions were discussed in detail with
Assistant Attorney General John Doar. Mr. Doar advised that he
would discuss these conditions immediately with the Attorney
General and requested that we arrange for Rowe to visit with his
family in Tampa over Thanksgiving, 11/25/65, and that he would
then personally meet with Rowe in Tampa on Saturday morning,
11/27/65. He said that he is planning to try the case personally
in Montgomery and that Rowe's testimony is vital to a successful
trial. He will make every effort to build Rowe's confidence,
resolve the conditions set forth by Rowe and obtain his testimony.

ACTION:

Inspector McGovern has been telephonically instructed to
proceed immediately with Rowe to Tampa where appropriate
arrangements will be made for Rowe to meet with Assistant Attorney
General Doar on the morning of 11/27/65.

Attached for approval is a teletype to Mobile and Tampa
advising them that Inspector McGovern and Rowe are proceeding to
Tampa and that a meeting will be arranged in Tampa between Rowe
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Memorandum to Mr. Belmont
RE: EUGENE THOMAS, ET AL

ACTION CONTINUED:

and Assistant Attorney General Doar, 11/27/65. It is noted
that Mr. Doar is going to Montgomery, Alabama, on 11/25/65,
where he will remain throughout the trial.
July 9, 1965

Mr. John Doar
Assistant Attorney General

Director, FBI

ALL INFORMATION CONTAINED HEREIN IS UNCLASSIFIED

DATE LOPED BY SCALABAR

EUGENE THOMAS, ET AL;
VIOLA LIuzzo, ET AL - VICTIMS
CIVIL RIGHTS - ELECTION LAWS

Mr. Sullivan
Mr. Belmont
Mr. Rosen
Mr. Malley
Mr. McGowan
Mr. Hines

This will confirm a discussion of Mr. J. William Hines of this Bureau with Mr. St. John Barrett of the Department on July 7, 1965, concerning outstanding personal debts of Gary Thomas Rowe, Jr., who furnished the initial information identifying and leading to the indictment of three subjects on charges of shooting Mrs. Viola Liuzzo on March 25, 1965.

Rowe's debts totaling approximately $2,350 consist primarily of personal loans at two banks in Birmingham amounting to about $1,500 and an assessment for Alabama State sales taxes in the amount of $728.50 which resulted from Rowe's operation of a tavern and restaurant from September, 1964, through March, 1965. The business was a losing enterprise and has reverted to its original proprietor. The balance of the debts are to local utility companies and for apartment rental. The utilities have been disconnected and the apartment reclaimed.

On July 7, 1965, Departmental Attorney St. John Barrett advised Mr. Hines that the Department was of the opinion that the Bureau should not assume responsibility for payment of Rowe's personal debts. He stated that if any payment is made to Rowe, it should be for personal services. Any large payment for personal services should not be made until after Rowe has testified at the upcoming trials of the subjects charged with the shooting of Mrs. Liuzzo, scheduled for September and October, 1965.

Mr. Barrett's attention was called to the fact that the Alabama State tax authorities had an outstanding assessment against Rowe for State sales taxes in the amount of $228.50. Mr. Barrett stated he was aware of this and that Mr. Paul Johnston, the attorney representing Rowe in the action for legal fees brought against Rowe by Attorney Matt Murphy, had brought this assessment to the Department's attention.
Mr. John Doar

In view of the Department's opinion, this Bureau will not assume responsibility for payment of any of Rowe's personal debts incurred prior to March 25, 1965, and no lump sum or large payments to Rowe for personal services rendered will be considered without prior approval of the Department. You will be promptly advised of any legal action which may be instituted against Rowe for collection of any of the outstanding debts.

NOTE: See memorandum A. Rosen to Mr. Belmont dated 7/8/65, same caption, JWH/pak.
San Francisco, California  
January 14, 1966

I, GARY THOMAS ROWE, on this date, January 14, 1966, have accepted ten thousand dollars cash ($10,000.00) from the undersigned, representatives of the FBI.

This amount has been accepted by me in full and complete satisfaction of services rendered voluntarily to representatives of the FBI up to and including January 14, 1965.

My acceptance of this amount in fulfillment of the above brings to a close a very pleasant relationship which I have enjoyed with representatives of the FBI, who have on all occasions treated me fairly and with the utmost consideration and I wish to take this opportunity to express my appreciation.

Is/ GARY THOMAS ROWE

Witnessed by: James D. Earle  
Special Agent, FBI

Elia M. Larrum  
Special Agent in Charge, FBI

At San Francisco, California  
January 14, 1966
November 24, 1975

TO: John A. Mintz, Assistant Director
   Legal Counsel Division
   Federal Bureau of Investigation

FROM: Michael E. Shaheen, Jr.
   Special Counsel for Intelligence Coordination

SUBJECT: Senate Select Committee Request

Attached is a Senate Select Committee letter requesting delivery of certain documents pertaining to Mary Jo Cook and Gary Thomas Rowe. Please prepare an appropriate response.

cc: Paul Daly
November 24, 1975

Michael E. Shaheen, Esq.
Special Counsel for Intelligence Coordination
Office of the Deputy Attorney General
U.S. Department of Justice
Washington, D.C. 20530

Dear Mike:

With respect to the documents pertaining to Mary Jo Cook and Gary Thomas Rowe which the Bureau has made available for review in response to our request, we request that the documents specified by our staff members be delivered to the Committee.

Sincerely,

[Signature]

[Signature]

cc: Paul V. Daly
Office of Congressional Affairs
Federal Bureau of Investigation

[Stamp: ALL INFORMATION CONTAINED HEREIN IS UNCLASSIFIED]

[Stamp: Dated 11/4/75 by [Signature]]
On 11/21/75, Inspector John B. Hotis met with Doug Marvin, Counselor to the Attorney General; Mark Wolf, Special Assistant to the Attorney General, and Michael E. Shaheen, Jr., Special Counsel for Intelligence Coordination, concerning Mr. Levi's forthcoming appearance before the Senate Select Committee on Intelligence Activities. The Attorney General will have a prepared statement which will probably deal with the future relationship between the Bureau and the Department and the kinds of contacts needed to prevent abuse of authority. Mr. Marvin asked that the Bureau provide the following materials, which will be used in the preparation of a briefing book for Mr. Levi's testimony:

(1) A general synopsis of major areas of the Bureau's activities that have been investigated by the Senate and House Select Committees. Mr. Marvin gave as examples: the "Official and Confidential" files, COINTELPRO, use of informants, and interception of mails. He said the purpose is to identify those topics which have been of primary concern to the Committees. Mr. Marvin asked that the synopses contain a brief statement of the Bureau's position on each of the subjects.

(2) A general statement concerning the organizational structure and functions of the FBI, with particular emphasis on the responsibilities of the Inspection Division. This should include a description of how complaints are received, the review process, and any recent changes in the operations of that Division. In addition, the Attorney General wants a write-up of all investigations of alleged misconduct presently being conducted by the Bureau. He is particularly concerned about any incidents that may have occurred during Mr. Levi's tenure as Attorney General, such as those in Richmond, Detroit, and Houston.

1 - Mr. Adams
1 - Mr. Ash
1 - Mr. Bassett
1 - Mr. Cleveland
1 - Mr. Cochran
1 - Mr. Decker
1 - Mr. Gallagher
1 - Mr. Hoxie
1 - Mr. McDermott
1 - Mr. Mintz
5 - Mr. Mooney
1 - Mr. Moore
1 - Mr. Walsh
1 - Mr. Wannall
1 - Mr. Hotte
Legal Counsel to Mr. Adams
RE: TESTIMONY BY THE ATTORNEY GENERAL BEFORE THE
SENATE SELECT COMMITTEE ON INTELLIGENCE ACTIVITIES

(3) A history of Congressional oversight of the Bureau. Mr. Marvin asked that we identify those Subcommittees of Congress which have exercised some oversight responsibilities over the Bureau. In particular, he asked that we review appropriations testimony going back to 1955 to determine whether we discussed topics which are presently of concern to the Select Committees. This will be very useful in demonstrating Congressional awareness of these matters. He also asked that we furnish a statement of the Director's position concerning Congressional oversight which he has previously expressed in speeches or testimony before Congress.

(4) A list of major investigative techniques employed by the Bureau, specifying in each case whether we seek Departmental approval. The more obvious examples are electronic surveillance, use of body recorders, pen registers, informants, and photographic surveillances.

(5) A list of any formal or informal proposals which have been made concerning organizational changes of the FBI. Examples are the creation of an Inspector General's Office in the Department or elsewhere having review authority over the FBI, transfer of counterintelligence functions to another agency or the creation of a separate Division within the Bureau for that function, transfer of drug enforcement responsibilities to the Bureau, and the proposed statutory limitation on the term of the office for the Director.

It should be noted that several position papers concerning such topics were prepared at the request of former Acting Director L. Patrick Gray which might be useful in responding to this request.

Although no date has been set for the Attorney General's testimony, it is expected that he will testify after Mr. Kelley's appearance on 12/9/75. In view of the limited time available, Mr. Marvin asked that we expedite the preparation of these materials and furnish them to his office as soon as they are completed. It is requested that these materials be submitted to the Office of Congressional Affairs, when completed, but no later than cob, Friday, 11/28/75. They should be submitted in insert form suitable for inclusion in a letterhead memorandum.

CONTINUED - OVER

\[\_

\]
Legal Counsel to Mr. Adams
RE: TESTIMONY BY THE ATTORNEY GENERAL BEFORE THE
SENATE SELECT COMMITTEE ON INTELLIGENCE ACTIVITIES

RECOMMENDATIONS:

(1) That the Intelligence Division prepare a synopsis of the major topics concerning the Bureau which have been investigated by the Senate and House Select Committees.

(2) That the Administrative Division and the Inspection Division prepare materials concerning the organizational structure and function of the Bureau.

(3) That the Administrative Division in conjunction with the Legal Counsel Division prepare materials concerning Congressional oversight of the Bureau.

(4) That the Intelligence Division and the General and Special Investigative Divisions prepare materials concerning major investigative techniques.

(5) That the Office of Planning and Evaluation prepare materials concerning proposals for organizational changes of the FBI.

(6) In addition to the above specified assignments, it is recommended that every Division review this request and make appropriate recommendations or submit any other materials that might be useful in the preparation of the Attorney General’s briefing book.
December 2, 1975

Mr. Al Vecchione  
Executive Director  
NPACT  
955 I Enfant Plaza, S.W.  
Washington, D.C. 20024

Dear Al:

This will confirm our conversation on November 26, 1975, wherein you authorized the Bureau to use a videotape recording of your "live" telecast concerning the appearance of Deputy Associate Director James B. Adams before the Church Committee. You indicated that this was authorized for the exclusive viewing by FBI personnel.

This is to advise you that the videotape of Mr. Adams' testimony is being restricted solely to FBI personnel. We certainly appreciate your consideration in this regard. Thank you for this authority.

Sincerely,

Don Moore
Donald W. Moore, Jr.  
Assistant Director  
External Affairs Division
Reference is made to SSC letter dated November 7, 1975, containing requests for materials concerning Martin Luther King, Jr.

Enclosed for your approval and forwarding to the SSC is an original of a memorandum in response to Item 1 requested in SSC letter dated November 7, 1975.

Also enclosed for your records is a copy of the memorandum which is being delivered to you with a set of the materials which are being delivered to the SSC. Your attention is invited to the fact that included in the materials to be delivered to the SSC is correspondence originated in the Department of Justice.

Enclosures (2)
62-116395

1 - The Deputy Attorney General
Attention: Michael E. Shaheen, Jr.
Special Counsel for Intelligence Coordination

NOTE:
Exact copies of the materials being furnished are maintained in the office of the SENSTUDY 75 Project. Arrangements have been made for a representative of the Legal Counsel Division to deliver the attached memorandum as well as the materials being provided to the SSC. Included in materials being furnished the SSC which were located in the files of Mr. Sullivan is a copy of a chronology of events and a summary of information developed concerning the assassination of Martin Luther King, Jr., by James Earl Ray and a press release concerning Ray made by Attorney General Ramsey Clark on April 18, 1968. Supervisor E. J. McDonough of the General Investigative Division reviewed these items and interposed no objection to the release to the SSC in their entirety.
Reference is made to SSC letter dated November 7, 1975, and specifically to Item 1 of an accompanying Document Request of same date which requested all materials contained in the files of William C. Sullivan pertaining to Martin Luther King, Jr., and/or the Southern Christian Leadership Conference (SCLC) which have not previously been made available to the Committee. Excluded from consideration in connection with this request were any portions containing transcripts or summaries or tapes of electronic surveillances of King.

The purpose of this memorandum is to effect delivery to the SSC of materials in response to Item 1 of the Document Request.

With respect to the excisions in materials being delivered herewith, where no notation appears as to reason for excision, the excision was made for the reason that the information actually summarizes or quotes from conversations monitored during electronic surveillances of King. In some cases it can be ascertained precisely what information in these materials came from electronic surveillances of King; however, there exist areas where documentation of the information is not precise and no accurate determination can be made. Therefore, no assurances can be given that portions of the materials being furnished do not contain information developed as a result of electronic surveillances of King.

In addition to materials not being furnished the SSC as stipulated by the SSC is a folder classified "Top Secret" bearing a label captioned "Communications Concerning Martin Luther King, Jr., Volume 1" which was located in the files of Mr. Sullivan. A review of that document indicates it contains information which could result in the identification of confidential sources of this Bureau; data obtained in connection with
SENATE SELECT COMMITTEE ON INTELLIGENCE ACTIVITIES

a sensitive ongoing foreign intelligence operation; and other
data concerning King which by prior agreement has been excluded
from delivery to the SSC (product of electronic surveillances
of King).

In view of the above and since most, if not all, of
the information contained in this document which does not fit
the above exclusions, has previously been furnished the SSC,
the document is not being delivered herewith.

1 - The Attorney General
TO: Intelligence Community Staff
ATTN: Central Index
FROM: FBI

SUBJECT: Abstract of Information Provided to Select Committees

1. HOW PROVIDED (check appropriate term. If a document was made available for review but not transmitted, so note.)
   - DOCUMENT

2. DATE PROVIDED
   - 11/28/75

3. TO WHOM PROVIDED (check appropriate term; add specific names if appropriate)
   - SSC
   - HSC

4. IDENTIFICATION (provide descriptive data for documents; give name or identification number of briefer, interviewee, testifier and subject)
   - Memorandum and enclosures

5. IN RESPONSE TO (list date and item number if in response to formal request, otherwise state verbal request of (name), initiative, subpoenas, etc.)
   - SSC letter 11/7/75, item 1

6. CLASSIFICATION OF INFORMATION (enter U, C, S, TS or Codeword)
   - TS

7. KEY WORDS (enter the appropriate key words from the list provided separately; if key words not listed are used underline for emphasis)
   - Surveillance, electronic
   - Information handling

8. SUMMARY (see reverse side before completing this item)
   - Materials relating to Martin L. King, Jr and/or the Southern Christian Leadership Conference as they were contained in the files of William C. Sullivan.

62-116395
FMK: fmk

(4) ORIGINAL VIA LIAISON TO CENTRAL COMMUNITY INDEX IN CONNECTION WITH SENSTUDY

TREAT AS YELLOW

3791 (6.75)

NOTE: SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON REVERSE BEFORE COMPLETING.
INSTRUCTIONS

- Type or print clearly in ink.
- Indicate classification of the abstract top and bottom.
- Date the abstract and put on any internal control numbers required.
- "FROM" entry should clearly identify the organization providing the information.
- If additions (as when a copy of document sent to SSC is later sent to HSC) or changes to a previously submitted form are necessary, submit a copy of the original abstract, with the change indicated.

SPECIFIC ITEM NO. 8. SUMMARY - enter brief narrative statement describing substance of information and showing relationship to Intelligence Community matters if appropriate. Any feedback or evidence of investigatory interests should be noted. Commitments made to supply additional information should be noted. Additionally, certain administrative information may be entered here, e.g., restrictions on review of a document, if document was paraphrased, whether interviewee is current or former employee, etc. If actual document or transcript is provided, that fact should be noted and no summary is required. Additional pages may be attached if necessary.
RE - HOUSTUDY 62-116464-

OR

SENSTUDY 62-116395-1153 EBF

NOTE: THIS IS A PERMANENT CHARGE OUT FOR A XEROX COPY/Copies OF "JUNE" MAIL THAT WAS INCLUDED IN COPIES OF FBI DOCUMENTS FURNISHED TO THE ATTORNEY GENERAL BY MEMO/LETTER DATED 11-28-75 IN RESPONSSE TO REQUEST(S) MADE BY EITHER THE U.S. SENATE OR HOUSE SELECT COMMITTEES ON INTELLIGENCE. THE COPY/Copies OF THE "JUNE" MAIL DATED AS INDICATED BELOW HAS/HAVE BEEN REMOVED FROM THIS ENCLOSURE MATERIAL TO BE FILED IN THE APPROPRIATE HOUSTUDY OR SENSTUDY "JUNE" FILE INDICATED ABOVE, LOCATED IN THE SPECIAL FILE ROOM OF THE RECORDS SECTION.

DATE(S) OF MAIL: 11-7-75

REMOVED BY: Wardlaw DATE REMOVED: 9-28-76

MDR 16
ALL INFORMATION CONTAINED HEREIN IS UNCLASSIFIED

DATE MARKED BY [signature]

NW 65360 DocId:32989550 Page 147
The Attorney General

Director, FBI

U. S. SENATE SELECT COMMITTEE
ON INTELLIGENCE ACTIVITIES (SSC)

Reference is made to the request of the SSC dated November 7, 1975, for delivery of FBI materials.

Attached is a memorandum which is a partial response to items raised in referenced request. Enclosed for your records is a copy of the memorandum prepared for the Committee.

Enclosures (2)

62-116395

1 - The Deputy Attorney General
Attention: Michael E. Shaheen, Jr.
Special Counsel for Intelligence Coordination
2 - Mr. J. A. Mintz  
   (1-Mr. J. B. Hotis)  
1 - Mr. W. R. Wannall  
1 - Mr. W. O. Cregar  

November 25, 1975  

1 - Mr. A. J. Duffin  
1 - Mr. J. W. Johnson  

U.S. SENATE SELECT COMMITTEE  
TO STUDY GOVERNMENTAL OPERATIONS WITH  
RESPECT TO INTELLIGENCE ACTIVITIES (SSC)  

Reference is made to the November 7, 1975,  
request by the SSC for delivery of FBI materials.  

Item 1 of referenced SSC letter requested the  
following:  

1) All materials pertaining to the opening of  
mail to or from Gilbert Stuart and Virginia R. Stuart  
during the years 1950 and 1961, by FBI Agents in or  
around the cities of New York and Providence, Rhode Island.  
(This request encompasses materials located in FBI  
Headquarters, the New York Field Office, and the Resident  
Agency in Providence, Rhode Island).  

Pursuant to your request, the indices and the  
files of the FBI Headquarters, the New York Office and the  
Boston Office, which includes the Resident Agency in  
Providence, Rhode Island, were reviewed for any material  
pertaining to the opening of mail to or from Gilbert Stuart  
and Virginia R. Stuart with negative results.  

1 - The Attorney General  

ORIGINAL AND ONE COPY TO THE ATTORNEY GENERAL, MDR-1k  

JWJ:emg (9)  

NOTE:  

A copy of SSC request dated 11/14/75 is attached.  
In response to Bureau teletype 11/17/75, New York and Boston  
teletypes, 11/18/75, advised no information in their files  
as to any openings of mail to or from the Stuarts.
FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION
WASHINGTON, D. C. 20535

Addressee: Senate Select Committee

Caption of Document: U.S. SENATE SELECT COMTE TO STDY GOVMNT OPTNS W/RSPCT TO INTEL ACTVTS. Ref mad to 11/7/75 rqst by SSC for dlvry of matri1 Itm 1 of ref let.

Originating Office: FBI

Delivered by: Richard T. Taylor, Jr. Date: 11/28/75

Received by: [Signature]

Title: [Signature]

Return this receipt to the Intelligence Division, FBI

MDR-14

ALL INFORMATION CONTAINED HEREIN IS UNCLASSIFIED DATE 10/20/00 BY SPA/ALM LEHL
TO: Intelligence Community Staff
ATTN: Central Index
FROM: FBI

SUBJECT: Abstract of Information Provided to Select Committees

1. HOW PROVIDED (check appropriate term; If a document was made available for review but not transmitted, so note.)
   X DOCUMENT
   BRIEFING
   INTERVIEW
   TESTIMONY
   OTHER

2. DATE PROVIDED
   11/25/75

3. TO WHOM PROVIDED (check appropriate term; add specific names if appropriate)
   X SSC
   HSC

4. IDENTIFICATION (provide descriptive data for documents; give name or identification number of briefer, interviewee, testifier and subject)

   Memorandum

5. IN RESPONSE TO (list date and item number if in response to formal request, otherwise state verbal request of (name), initiative, subpoena, etc.)

   SSC letter 11/7/75

6. CLASSIFICATION OF INFORMATION (enter U, C, S, T5 or Codeword)
   U

7. KEY WORDS (enter the appropriate key words from the list provided separately; if key words not listed are used underline for emphasis)

   Information handling

   MDR-116
   ALL INFORMATION CONTAINED HEREIN IS UNCLASSIFIED
   DATE 10/19/76
   BY 2PA/ALIM/FLS

8. SUMMARY (see reverse side before completing this item)

   No materials located at FBIHQ, New York Field Office or Providence, R.I., Resident Agency regarding opening of mail to or from Gilbert and Virginia R. Stuart,

   62-116395
   FMK: fmk
   (4) ORIGINAL VIA LIAISON TO CENTRAL COMMUNITY INDEX IN CONNECTION WITH SENSTUDY 75

   TREAT AS YELLOW

3791 (6.75)
INSTRUCTIONS

- Type or print clearly in ink.
- Indicate classification of the abstract top and bottom.
- Date the abstract and put on any internal control numbers required.
- "FROM" entry should clearly identify the organization providing the information.
- If additions (as when a copy of document sent to SSC is later sent to HSC) or changes to a previously submitted form are necessary, submit a copy of the original abstract, with the change indicated.

SPECIFIC ITEM NO. 8. SUMMARY — enter brief narrative statement describing substance of information and showing relationship to Intelligence Community matters if appropriate. Any feedback or evidence of investigatory interests should be noted. Commitments made to supply additional information should be noted. Additionally, certain administrative information may be entered here, e.g., restrictions on review of a document, if document was paraphrased, whether interviewee is current or former employee, etc. If actual document or transcript is provided, that fact should be noted and no summary is required. Additional pages may be attached if necessary.
TO: John A. Mintz, Assistant Director  
Legal Counsel Division  
Federal Bureau of Investigation  

FROM: Michael E. Shaheen, Jr.  
Special Counsel for Intelligence Coordination  

SUBJECT: Senate Select Committee Request  

Attached is a letter from the Senate Select Committee dated November 5, 1975, which was received by this Office on November 10, 1975. Please prepare an appropriate response to the attached request.

cc: Paul Daly
United States Senate
SELECT COMMITTEE TO
STUDY GOVERNMENTAL OPERATIONS WITH RESPECT TO INTELLIGENCE ACTIVITIES
(PURSUANT TO S. RES. 1, 94TH CONGRESS)
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20510

November 5, 1975

Michael E. Shaheen, Jr., Esq.
Special Counsel for Intelligence Coordination
Office of the Deputy Attorney General
U. S. Department of Justice
Washington, D. C. 20530

Dear Mike:

This is a supplementary request to our request of August 20, 1975, under Part IV, 3.

All FBI reports, memoranda, interviews, and all other documents from FBI Agents Frank Watts and Jack Rucker concerning the time period April 1968 from August 1968 concerning Meridian, Mississippi, bombings, meetings with FBI informant Raymond Roberts and members of the Meridian, Mississippi, Police Department involving Thomas A. Tarrants III, Joe Danny Hawkins, Kathy Ainsworth, and other members or alleged members of the Ku Klux Klan.

Sincerely,

John T. Elliff
Director
Domestic Intelligence Task Force

Enclosure

D2-1638 = 1150Y

NW 65360 Docld:32989550 Page 154
Attached copy of Senate Select Committee (SSC) letter 11/7/75 indicates in last paragraph, page 2, the request of the SSC Staff to interview and possibly depose FBI Agents who have handled three former Bureau informants (Rowe, Hardy and Cooke). At a conference with SSC representatives (details being reported in a separate memorandum from W. O. Cregar to Mr. W. R. Wannall), agreements were reached between Bureau and SSC representatives as to the specific Agents the SSC Staff desired to interview. The identities of these Agents are set out below under the headings as to the particular former informants.

**Former Informant Mary Jo Cooke**

SA Garry George Lash - Assigned Buffalo.

**Former Informant Robert Hardy**

SA Michael M. Ryman - Assigned Philadelphia Office.
Retired SAC Roy K. Moore - Resides Jackson, Mississippi; employed by Deposit Guaranty National Bank, Jackson 39201.

**Former Informant Gary Thomas Rowe, Jr.**

Former SA Barrett George Kemp - Resides Saint Marys, Ohio; Attorney at Law, Home Bank Building, Saint Marys, Ohio 45884.
Former SA Byron Edward McFall - Resides Oklahoma City, Oklahoma; employed Special Judge, District Court, Seventh Judicial District, State of Oklahoma, Oklahoma City 73102.

Enclosure
62-116395
SFP: 1hb 1hb
(9)
Memorandum to Mr. W. R. Wannall
Re: Senstudy 75
62-116395

Former SA James Brooke Blake, Jr. - Employed as Director of Bank Security, Trust Company Bank, Atlanta, Georgia 30302, Business Telephone (404) 588-8216.

For information, interview of former SAC Moore desired by SSC Staff as he was in charge of the Bureau Special "Camden Action" involving use of former informant Hardy (destruction of Selective Service records involved).

The SSC has emphasized desire of very expedite attention to its request relating to interviews of the above personnel.

RECOMMENDATIONS:

1. All of the foregoing listed current and former employees be released from their confidentiality agreement for purposes of the interviews which are to be confined to their respective handling of the indicated former informants.

2. Upon approval of the above recommendation, and because of the expedite nature of this matter, the Legal Counsel Division will orally advise the SSC (Staff Member Bob Kelley is coordinating for SSC) of the current whereabouts of the above listed and that they have been released from their confidentiality agreement. The addresses as given are the latest available in their personnel files.
Memorandum to Mr. W. R. Wannall
Re: Senstudy 75
62-116395

3. Legal Counsel Division will coordinate with Kelley of the SSC the times and places for interviews of incumbent employees. PSRROSE advised re: cash by PJD 11/16/75
PL/ASAC LAS/ER advised re: Sherahorn and
Lyman 11/16/75

4. Because of the expedite nature of this matter, Supervisor S. F. Phillips of the Senstudy 75 Project has already telephoned the appropriate field offices to have them alert the former employees of possible contact of them by the SSC Staff and that, once so contacted, the former employees may call the Legal Counsel Division collect for further advice. This is in accordance with established procedures.

Telephone instructions given 11/11/75 to have 4596 alerted:
- SAC Whittaker, SC — Byron McFall
- SAC Dunn, AT — James Blake
- SAC Willman, Jackson — Roy Wooten
- Supw. Kemp, DC — Donald Kemp

- 3 -
Michael E. Shaheen, Jr., Esq.
Special Counsel for Intelligence Coordination
Office of the Deputy Attorney General
U.S. Department of Justice
Washington, D.C. 20530

Dear Mike:

As a follow-up to our briefing of Assistant Director Wannall on November 6 concerning our proposed format and witnesses for public hearings on December 4-5 on the FBI's use of intelligence informants and sources, we would like to make the following requests.

As discussed in our meeting with Mr. Wannall, we invite the Bureau to submit a memorandum on its intelligence informant and source program that could be inserted in the record of the testimony of Bureau representatives at the hearing. This memorandum would enable the Bureau to present to the Select Committee a complete description of its program and to discuss the issues of particular interest to the Committee members. These include, for example, 1) the size, scope and purpose of the program, including an assessment of the program's cost and effectiveness, and the distinctions and relationships between the intelligence informant program and the Bureau's criminal informant activity; 2) the criteria that determine when an informant may be used in an organization or group; and 3) the program's command and control system, including the limits that are placed on the activities of informants and the type of information an informant or source is permitted to report to his handling agent and the Bureau. In addition, the memorandum would discuss possible legislation in the informant area, such as the imposition of a warrant requirement or additional administrative review. To permit the Select Committee members to study this memorandum in advance of the hearing and to discuss it with FBI representatives during their testimony at the public hearing, we request that the memorandum be submitted by November 24.

United States Senate
SELECT COMMITTEE TO
STUDY GOVERNMENTAL OPERATIONS WITH
RESPECT TO INTELLIGENCE ACTIVITIES
(PURSUANT TO S. RES. 21, 94TH CONGRESS)
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20510

November 7, 1975
Michael E. Shaheen, Jr., Esq.
November 7, 1975
Page 2

Also I am enclosing requests for documents relating to two of the three former FBI informants who are scheduled to testify on December 4. These requests supplement our document request of August 20, 1975 pertaining to informants (Part IV-4).

In addition, we wish to interview and possibly depose the Special Agents who handled the three former informants (Rowe, Hardy and Cook) who are scheduled to testify at the public hearing on December 4. Because of the limited time remaining before the scheduled hearings, we request that the Special Agents be made available for interviews and depositions as soon as possible.

Sincerely,

[Signature]
John Elliff
Director
Domestic Intelligence Task Force

cc: Paul V. Daly
Office of Congressional Affairs
Federal Bureau of Investigation
1. The following documents and materials relating to Gary Thomas Rowe, Jr., whether in the custody or possession of the FBI Birmingham, Alabama office or elsewhere:

a) All documents and materials reflecting contacts and reports of contacts (and the substance thereof) between Gary Thomas Rowe, Jr. and FBI Special Agents from January 1, 1960 to April 1, 1965.

b) All documents and materials reflecting information supplied by Gary Thomas Rowe, Jr. to FBI Special Agents (and Bureau Field or Headquarters summaries thereof) from January 1, 1960 to April 1, 1965.

c) All documents and materials relating to any guidance, directions, instructions, or suggestions given to Gary Thomas Rowe, Jr. by FBI Special Agents from January 1, 1960 to April 1, 1965.

d) All documents and materials relating to Bureau and Field Office supervision of the handling of Gary Thomas Rowe, Jr. by FBI Special Agents from January 1, 1960 to April 1, 1965.

e) All documents and materials relating to payments of money to, or other financial arrangements between, Gary Thomas Rowe, Jr., the FBI, and the Justice Department from March 25, 1965 to December 31, 1966.
MEMORANDUM FOR: Members of the USIB Ad Hoc Coordinating Group

SUBJECT: Security Clearances

Attached is an updated listing of staff personnel certified by the Staff Director/Senate Select Committee as meeting the requirements of Executive Order 10450 for access to classified information up to and including TOP SECRET. Some of these individuals have been certified as meeting the requirements of DCID 1/14 and have been provided the appropriate briefing.

Jack E. Thomas
Major General, USAF (Ret.)
Chief Coordination Staff/ICS
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Compartmented Clearance</th>
<th>Date and Place of Birth</th>
<th>Social Security No.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Aaron, David 1</td>
<td>* B.4/15</td>
<td>8-21-38 Ill.</td>
<td>JFK Act 5 (g) (2) (D)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oder, William 1</td>
<td>* B.4/17</td>
<td>9-8-31 NJ.</td>
<td>545-30-3377</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bayly, John</td>
<td>* B.5/19</td>
<td>1-26-44 DC</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Banoff, Barbara</td>
<td>* B.6/26</td>
<td>12-20-45 Cal.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Barkey, Howard</td>
<td>* B.6/2</td>
<td>1-26-17 Mo.</td>
<td>490-01-6758</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Baron, Frederick</td>
<td>* B.5/19</td>
<td>12-2-47 Conn.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Benz, Charity I.</td>
<td>* B.5/23</td>
<td>7-23-46 NY</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Binkin, Martin</td>
<td>* B.8/5</td>
<td>5-6-28 Mo.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Birdsell, Wayne</td>
<td>* B.8/5</td>
<td>5-2-06 DC</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bloomfield, Beth Susan</td>
<td>* B.7/14 (H, G, K)</td>
<td>12-23-51 Ohio</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bouschard, Samuel</td>
<td>* B.6/10</td>
<td>3-12-24 Maine</td>
<td>007-18-3292</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bramble, Anne Harriet Owens</td>
<td>B.10/30</td>
<td>12-15-36 Md.</td>
<td>JFK Act 5 (g) (2) (D)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brissett, Belva</td>
<td>* B.6/10</td>
<td>6-23-41 Ok.</td>
<td>440-44-6374</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brooks, Nancy</td>
<td>* B.5/23</td>
<td>2-14-40 Ky</td>
<td>313-38-6773</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brown, C. Harold</td>
<td>* B.6/26</td>
<td>6-6-38 Ohio</td>
<td>JFK Act 5 (g) (2) (D)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brown, Maxine</td>
<td>* B.6/26</td>
<td>8-8-28 Ida.</td>
<td>568-40-3123</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

All Footnotes at the end.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Designated</th>
<th>Briefed</th>
<th>Date and Place of Birth</th>
<th>Social Security No.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Bushong, David</td>
<td>*</td>
<td>B 7/14</td>
<td>8-10-47, Ohio</td>
<td>JFK Act 5 (g)(2)(D)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carpenter, Margaret</td>
<td>*</td>
<td>B 5/19</td>
<td>8-27-44, Cal.</td>
<td>567-56-8854</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carter, Barry</td>
<td>*</td>
<td>B 4/24</td>
<td>12-14-42, Cal.</td>
<td>JFK Act 5 (g)(2)(D)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cesnik, Barbara</td>
<td>*</td>
<td>B 7/14</td>
<td>4-18-46, WI</td>
<td>240-64-9040</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Culbreth, Elizabeth</td>
<td>*</td>
<td>B 7/2</td>
<td>6-23-42, NC</td>
<td>JFK Act 5 (g)(2)(D)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Davis, Lynn</td>
<td>*</td>
<td>B 4/17(Port)</td>
<td>9-6-43, Fl.</td>
<td>471-60-1688</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Davis, Spencer</td>
<td>*</td>
<td>B 10/1</td>
<td>5-3-10, Minn.</td>
<td>JFK Act 5 (g)(2)(D)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dawson, Thomas</td>
<td>*</td>
<td>B 6/26</td>
<td>9-9-52, Ill.</td>
<td>286-36-9097</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dawson, Rhett</td>
<td>*</td>
<td>B 5/19</td>
<td>12-9-43, W. Va.</td>
<td>JFK Act 5 (g)(2)(D)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DeMarco, James</td>
<td>*</td>
<td>B 6/26</td>
<td>3-12-43, W. Va.</td>
<td>JFK Act 5 (g)(2)(D)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dennin, Joseph Francis</td>
<td></td>
<td>B 6/23</td>
<td>6-9-43, NY</td>
<td>394-20-5968</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DeOreo, Mary</td>
<td>*</td>
<td>B 6/26</td>
<td>6-13-47, Ohio</td>
<td>JFK Act 5 (g)(2)(D)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DeTemple, Mary</td>
<td>*</td>
<td>B 7/29</td>
<td>6-16-25, Wisc.</td>
<td>235-22-9235</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Genova, Joseph E.</td>
<td>*</td>
<td>B 6/26</td>
<td>2-22-45, Del.</td>
<td>JFK Act 5 (g)(2)(D)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dick, James</td>
<td>*</td>
<td>B 6/26</td>
<td>7-25-47, Mass.</td>
<td>345-34-2468</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dillon, Molly</td>
<td>*</td>
<td>B 6/10</td>
<td>4-16-51, Va.</td>
<td>JFK Act 5 (g)(2)(D)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Donock, Mary Jane</td>
<td>*</td>
<td>B 6/10</td>
<td>9-12-23, W. Va.</td>
<td>JFK Act 5 (g)(2)(D)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Doolittle, Patricia</td>
<td>*</td>
<td>B 6/26</td>
<td>8-12-43, NC</td>
<td>JFK Act 5 (g)(2)(D)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dwyer, Daniel</td>
<td>*</td>
<td>B 7/14</td>
<td>8-24-52, Ma.</td>
<td>JFK Act 5 (g)(2)(D)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Elliff, John</td>
<td>*</td>
<td>B 5/23</td>
<td>6-30-41, DC</td>
<td>235-22-9235</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Epstein, Michael Taylor</td>
<td></td>
<td>B 6/26</td>
<td>3-10-37, Mass.</td>
<td>JFK Act 5 (g)(2)(D)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Erno, Joan</td>
<td>*</td>
<td>B 6/26</td>
<td>8-30-46, DC</td>
<td>345-34-2468</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Name</td>
<td>Clearance Designated</td>
<td>Briefed (SI, TK, B)</td>
<td>Date and Place of Birth</td>
<td>Social Security No.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----------------------------</td>
<td>----------------------</td>
<td>---------------------</td>
<td>-------------------------</td>
<td>---------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fenn, Peter</td>
<td>*</td>
<td>B 4/24</td>
<td>12-12-47, Ma.</td>
<td>JFK Act 5 (g)(2)(D)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fiske, Marie Waite</td>
<td>*</td>
<td></td>
<td>1-21-40, Wisc.</td>
<td>550-16-4630</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ford, Harold</td>
<td>*</td>
<td>B 6/26</td>
<td>3-23-21, Cal.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fortman, Bernard Louis</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>12-5-25, Md.</td>
<td>216-20-2565</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fortman, Donald Thomas</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>8-31-33, Md.</td>
<td>213-30-8943</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gitzenstein, Mark Henry</td>
<td></td>
<td>B 5/28</td>
<td>3-7-46, Ala.</td>
<td>JFK Act 5 (g)(2)(D)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grant, Bruce</td>
<td></td>
<td>B 7/2</td>
<td>6-16-16, Neb.</td>
<td>507-20-1311</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Greissing, Edward</td>
<td></td>
<td>B 7/14</td>
<td>11-27-50, Germany</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hanback, Sara Susan</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2-4-46, W. Va.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Harrigan, Arthur</td>
<td>*</td>
<td>B 5/19</td>
<td>3-16-44, NY</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Harris, Susan</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>3-30-49, Ky.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Harris, William R.</td>
<td></td>
<td>already Briefed</td>
<td>7-30-41</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hatry, Audrey Helen</td>
<td>*</td>
<td>B 5/19</td>
<td>6-10-23, Md.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Herbst, Deborah</td>
<td></td>
<td>B 10/11</td>
<td>2-18-50, D. C.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Herrington, Arthur</td>
<td>*</td>
<td>B 9/15</td>
<td>3-24-31, D. C.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hodges, Paulette</td>
<td>*</td>
<td>B 7/29</td>
<td>4-17-43, Okla.</td>
<td>JFK Act 5 (g)(2)(D)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Horowitz, Anne</td>
<td></td>
<td>B 4/29</td>
<td>4-17-43, NY</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inderfurth, Mark K.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>9-29-46, NC</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jefferson, Arthur M.</td>
<td>*</td>
<td>B 6/26</td>
<td>3-16-44, NY</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Johnson, Dorothy</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>6-8-25, Or.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Johnson, Loch</td>
<td>*</td>
<td>B 4/29</td>
<td>2-21-42, N. Zealand</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Johnston, James</td>
<td>*</td>
<td>B 7/29</td>
<td>1-6-44, Kan.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Karakekas, Anne</td>
<td>*</td>
<td>B 5/28</td>
<td>11-6-46, Ma.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kayden, Jeffrey</td>
<td>*</td>
<td>B 7/14</td>
<td>3-22-51, NY</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kelley, Robert</td>
<td>*</td>
<td>B 5/19</td>
<td>10-29-40, Ind.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kieves, Lawrence</td>
<td>*</td>
<td>B 5/19</td>
<td>1-4-48, NY</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kirchheimer, Joseph</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>6-3-17, Ill.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Koppal, Diane</td>
<td>*</td>
<td>B 6/26</td>
<td>6-24-48, NC</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Name</td>
<td>Compartmented Clearance</td>
<td>Date and Place of Birth</td>
<td>Social Security No.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----------------------------</td>
<td>-------------------------</td>
<td>-------------------------</td>
<td>---------------------</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Koscis, Susan</td>
<td>* B 9/17</td>
<td>11-5-49 NY</td>
<td>JFK Act 5 (g)(2)(D)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LaVoy, Diane</td>
<td>* B 6/10</td>
<td>11-10-48 Venezuela</td>
<td>JFK Act 5 (g)(2)(D)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Liebengood, Howard</td>
<td></td>
<td>12-29-42 In.</td>
<td>307-44-9707</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lombard, Charles</td>
<td>* B 4/24</td>
<td>6-2-30 France</td>
<td>578-44-9471</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lynch, Mary</td>
<td>* B 4/15</td>
<td>3-16-26 W.Va.</td>
<td>TF Badge</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MacDonald, Naldeen</td>
<td>* B 8/20</td>
<td>10-19-51 Brazil</td>
<td>JFK Act 5 (g)(2)(D)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Madigan, Michael James</td>
<td>* B 4/15</td>
<td>4-18-43 DG</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Marshall, Benjamin</td>
<td>* B 4/17</td>
<td>5-4-19 NE</td>
<td>485-28-4503</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Marshall, Burke</td>
<td></td>
<td>10-1-22 NJ</td>
<td>577-44-5241</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mascioli, Cynthia</td>
<td>* B 6/26</td>
<td>1-4-51 NY</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mason, Judy</td>
<td>* B 6/10</td>
<td>1-6-46 DC</td>
<td>JFK Act 5 (g)(2)(D)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maxwell, Elliot Everett</td>
<td>* B 4/15</td>
<td>7-24-46 NY</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mayo, Betty</td>
<td>* B 6/26</td>
<td>2-13-22 Ohio</td>
<td>277-14-4062</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>McCorkle, Daniel</td>
<td>* B 8/20</td>
<td>6-25-55 Ohio</td>
<td>JFK Act 5 (g)(2)(D)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>McIntyre, Dorothy</td>
<td></td>
<td>5-16-16 Canada</td>
<td>220-48-9104</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mechem, Martha Evans</td>
<td>* B 5/23</td>
<td>2-11-40 Cal.</td>
<td>528-48-6848</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Metsky, Ellen Jo</td>
<td>* B 8/14</td>
<td>9-24-53 N.J.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Michel, Paul</td>
<td>* B 8/14</td>
<td>2-3-41 Pa.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Miller, William G.</td>
<td>* B 4/15</td>
<td>8-15-31 NY</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Monaco, Patricia</td>
<td>* B 5/23</td>
<td>10-17-35 NJ</td>
<td>157-26-8100</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Name</td>
<td>Designated</td>
<td>Briefed</td>
<td>Date and Place of Birth</td>
<td>Social Security No.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----------------------</td>
<td>------------</td>
<td>---------</td>
<td>-------------------------</td>
<td>--------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Moore, Janet</td>
<td>B 5/23</td>
<td></td>
<td>4-9-43 Iowa</td>
<td>JFK Act 5 (g)(2)(D)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Murphy, Michael</td>
<td>B 7/1</td>
<td></td>
<td>10-13-54 Tex.</td>
<td>399-14-4589</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nash, Dorothy</td>
<td>B 4/15</td>
<td></td>
<td>7-24-12 Wisc.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O'Flaherty, James Daniel</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>11-4-42 Ill.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Orlöff, Jan</td>
<td>B 6/26</td>
<td></td>
<td>11-14-47 Cal.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oster, Lynsey Lee</td>
<td>B 6/2</td>
<td></td>
<td>9-14-53 SD</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Owens, Drena</td>
<td>B 6/2</td>
<td></td>
<td>12-8-42 NC</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Peterson, John F.</td>
<td>B 6/26</td>
<td></td>
<td>6-15-41 Cal.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Piland, Susan</td>
<td>B 6/10</td>
<td></td>
<td>10-5-50 Cal.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pitts, Susan</td>
<td>B 7/23</td>
<td></td>
<td>10-24-53 Canada</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Postal, Andrew</td>
<td>B 6/26</td>
<td></td>
<td>5-11-48 NY</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Quanbeck, Elton</td>
<td>B 5/19</td>
<td></td>
<td>5-15-26 N. Dak.</td>
<td>501-14-4534</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ralston, Theodore</td>
<td>B 7/23</td>
<td></td>
<td>8-25-48 Wash.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Richardson, Eric</td>
<td>B 7/10</td>
<td></td>
<td>12-27-49 NY</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Romberg, Alan</td>
<td>B 4/22</td>
<td></td>
<td>12-1-38 NY</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rowe, James</td>
<td>B 5/19</td>
<td></td>
<td>6-6-51 DC</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Schneider, Ruth</td>
<td>B 5/23</td>
<td></td>
<td>10-31-44 Conn.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Schwartz, Paula</td>
<td>B 11/4</td>
<td></td>
<td>9-18-53 D. C.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Schwarz, Frederick A. O. III</td>
<td>B 4/15</td>
<td></td>
<td>4-20-35 NY</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Seidel, Lester</td>
<td>B 6/26</td>
<td></td>
<td>7-17-44 DC</td>
<td>216-38-5204</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Name</td>
<td>Compartmented Clearance Designated</td>
<td>Briefed (SI, TK, B)</td>
<td>Date and Place of Birth</td>
<td>Social Security No.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------------------</td>
<td>------------------------------------</td>
<td>---------------------</td>
<td>-------------------------</td>
<td>---------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shea, Patrick</td>
<td>*</td>
<td>B 4/15</td>
<td>2-28-48 Utah</td>
<td>JFK Act 5 (g)(2)(D)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shelburn, Frank</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>5-30-13 Va.</td>
<td>JKF Act 5 (g)(2)(D)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Smith, Elizabeth</td>
<td>*</td>
<td>B 5/19</td>
<td>6-21-49 NY</td>
<td>338-24-6934</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Smith, John L.</td>
<td>*</td>
<td>B 6/26</td>
<td>3-3-39 Ky.</td>
<td>JFK Act 5 (g)(2)(D)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Smith, Stephanie</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>3-23-54 Ohio</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Smothers, Curtis</td>
<td>*</td>
<td>B 5/16</td>
<td>8-26-43 Md.</td>
<td>220-38-9869 Badge</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Snider, Lewis Brittle</td>
<td>*</td>
<td>B 4/24</td>
<td>1-12-45 NC</td>
<td>JFK Act 5 (g)(2)(D)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Talley, Martha</td>
<td>*</td>
<td>B 6/26</td>
<td>9-7-50 NC</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tascione, Rosemary</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>6-23-45 NY</td>
<td>085-34-6648</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Theocharis, Athan George</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>8-3-36 Wisc.</td>
<td>JFK Act 5 (g)(2)(D)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thoben, Florence</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>6-2-10 Ind.</td>
<td>313-12-1857</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thomas, Robert</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>12-16-25 Md.</td>
<td>219-14-5186</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thompsonis, Alexandra</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>6-1-48 Md.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Towell, Mary</td>
<td>*</td>
<td>B 6/10</td>
<td>4-25-44 Tenn.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Treverton, Gregory</td>
<td>*</td>
<td>B 6/2</td>
<td>1-21-47 Colo.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Turner, James</td>
<td>*</td>
<td>B 7/14</td>
<td>6-29-53 Cal.</td>
<td>JFK Act 5 (g)(2)(D)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tschirgi, James 6</td>
<td>*</td>
<td>B 6/26</td>
<td>2-23-25 Wyo.</td>
<td>520-16-7290</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vance, James</td>
<td>*</td>
<td>B 7/23</td>
<td>4-6-36 Ohio</td>
<td>078-28-3950</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wallach, Paul</td>
<td>*</td>
<td>B 6/26</td>
<td>5-24-47 NY</td>
<td>JFK Act 5 (g)(2)(D)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ward, Fred</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>11-20-40 DC</td>
<td>225-50-9983</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Name</td>
<td>Designated</td>
<td>Briefed</td>
<td>Date of Birth</td>
<td>Place of Birth</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----------------------</td>
<td>------------</td>
<td>---------</td>
<td>---------------</td>
<td>----------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>White, William</td>
<td>*</td>
<td>B 7/10</td>
<td>8-8-39</td>
<td>Pa.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wides, Burton. Victor</td>
<td>*</td>
<td>B 4/17</td>
<td>6-14-41</td>
<td>NJ</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wiik, Carol Ann</td>
<td>*</td>
<td>B 5/23</td>
<td>4-21-51</td>
<td>Mass.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wilson, Otis</td>
<td>*</td>
<td>B 6/10</td>
<td>5-16-20</td>
<td>Va.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zimmerman, Peter Brown</td>
<td>already</td>
<td></td>
<td>3-26-46</td>
<td>Ill.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zimmerman, Phebe</td>
<td>*</td>
<td>B 8/5</td>
<td>12-1-50</td>
<td>Ohio</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Footnotes:
1. Also briefed on Hx, Mat, Rh & Ke
2. Detailed from Ward and Paul Reporters
3. Detailed from General Printing Office
4. Consultant to SSC, also associated with RAND Corp (has SI, TK BYC)
6. Also has A, G, E, K, H, C & R
Memorandum

TO: Mr. J. B. Adams
FROM: Legal Counsel
SUBJECT: SENSTUDY 75

DATE: 11/26/75

1 - Mr. Mintz
1 - Mr. Adams
1 - Mr. Wannall
1 - Mr. Cregar
1 - Mrs. Metcalf
1 - Mr. Hotis
1 - Mr. Daly

On 11/25/75, John T. Elliff, Task Force Director of the Domestic Intelligence Task Force for the Senate Select Committee, requested the Bureau designate a witness to appear in executive session before the Senate Select Committee on 12/3 or 12/4 in the afternoon to discuss counterintelligence investigations by the Bureau. Elliff stated because of Chairman Frank Church's interest in a study as to whether counterintelligence investigations should be taken out of the FBI and placed in another agency or a separate component of the Justice Department, this particular topic would be the main focal point of the hearing. Elliff stated that the questions asked of the Bureau's witness would deal primarily with the feasibility of removal of counterintelligence out of the FBI including problems of a logistical and practical nature resulting from such a change. Elliff asked that he be advised as to the identity of the Bureau witness as soon as possible.

Inasmuch as the aforementioned topic is a question of policy, the Director may desire to appear or designate a Bureau witness to appear before the Committee. In this matter, Michael E. Shaheen, Jr., Special Counsel for Intelligence Coordination in the Department, is aware of the aforementioned testimony and the possibility exists that the Department may make a decision with regards to the Bureau's providing a witness concerning this matter.

MDR:110
ALL INFORMATION CONTAINED HEREIN IS UNCLASSIFIED
DATE 10/2/75 BY SPX
RECOMMENDATIONS - OVER

PVD:lad 14D (9)
Legal Counsel to Mr. Adams
RE: SENSTUDY 75

RECOMMENDATIONS:

(1) That the Director designate the Bureau's witness to appear before captioned Committee.

(2) That the Office of Congressional Affairs advise the Senate Select Committee of the Bureau's decision in this matter.
TO: Mr. J. B. Adams
FROM: Legal Counsel
SUBJECT: SENSTUDY 75

DATE: 12/3/75
1. Mr. Mintz
2. Mr. Wannall
3. Mr. Cregar

ALL INFORMATION CONTAINED HERIN IS UNCLASSIFIED
DATE 11/20/75 BY GPM LEHL

John T. Elliff, Domestic Task Force Director of the Senate Select Committee, advised at 9:15 a.m. on 12/3/75 that the following is a statement to be read by Senator Frank Church of that Committee on 12/3/75.

Attorney General Kennedy authorized wiretaps in 1962 on New York Times reporter Hanson Baldwin and his secretary that lasted for about one month. In addition to the wiretap on New York Times reporter Hanson Baldwin in 1962, the Committee has received materials from the FBI reflecting authorization by Attorney General Kennedy of a wiretap on a reporter for Newsweek Magazine in 1961 during the investigation of another leak of classified information. Further materials reflected authorization by Attorney General Nicholas Katzenbach for a wiretap on the editor of an anti-Communist newsletter in 1965, also during the investigation of a leak of classified information. The Committee has received materials from the FBI reflecting authorization by Attorney General Robert F. Kennedy of wiretaps on at least six American citizens, including three Executive Branch officials, a Congressional Staff Member, and two registered lobbying agents for foreign interests. The materials also reflect that these wiretaps relate to an investigation of agents by foreign interests to influence United States economic policies.

Elliff was advised by SA Paul V. Daly of this Division that an impression would be created by the aforementioned statement that this Bureau may have conducted an electronic surveillance on Capitol Hill and that this, of course, was inaccurate. The electronic surveillance concerning the Congressional Staff Member was not located on Congressional property. Elliff agreed with this observation and indicated that he would check the material to insure the electronic surveillance in question was not on Congressional property and as agreed, make a change in the aforementioned statement.

RECOMMENDATION:

For information.

PVD: lad 12/73

Buy U.S. Savings Bonds Regularly on the Payroll Savings Plan Capital Hill