

DATE: 11-14-2017

JFK Assassination System
Identification Form

Date: 5/7/2015

Agency Information

AGENCY : FBI
RECORD NUMBER : 124-10210-10095
RECORD SERIES : HQ
AGENCY FILE NUMBER : 92-3171-2492

Document Information

ORIGINATOR : FBI
FROM : LEG, MX
TO : DIRECTOR, FBI
TITLE :
DATE : 11/04/1974
PAGES : 4
SUBJECTS :
SGI, RES, TRA, ASSOC
DOCUMENT TYPE : PAPER, TEXTUAL DOCUMENT
CLASSIFICATION : Unclassified
RESTRICTIONS : 1B; 1C; 4
CURRENT STATUS : Redact
DATE OF LAST REVIEW : 05/01/1998
OPENING CRITERIA : INDEFINITE
COMMENTS :

DATE: 11-14-2017

OPTIONAL FORM NO. 10
MAY 1962 EDITION
GSA FPMR (41 CFR) 101-11.6

UNITED STATES GOVERNMENT

Memorandum

TO : DIRECTOR, FBI (92-3171)

FROM : ^{RTN} LEGAT, MEXICO CITY (92-13) (P)

SUBJECT: SAMUEL M. GIANCANA, aka
(AR) & U

SEE REVERSE
SIDE FOR
CLASSIFICATION
ACTION

DATE: 9-4-74
APPROPRIATE AGENCIES
AND FIELD OFFICES
ADVISED BY SLIP(S)
DATE: 9/18/78

Re my airtel 10-31-74. U

It is not considered that the following should be reported for dissemination since it is contradictory and speculative. However, it is being listed under a caption for reference purposes. U

BASIS FOR SUBJECT'S DEPORTATION FROM MEXICO

(Information 8-30-74 from MEX 304, who advised he got this information from various sources within the Mexican Secretariat of Government where he has excellent contacts.)

The order for the arrest and informal deportation of subject came directly from MARIO MOYA PALENCIA, the Secretary of Government (Gobernacion) and the top cabinet official. There was some speculation that the Mexican President, LUIS ECHEVERRIA ALVAREZ, himself gave the order and then departed Mexico so as not to be around when it was carried out. However, the most likely possibility is that MOYA PALENCIA gave the order after the presence of GIANCANA in Mexico was brought to the attention of the Mexican Government by unidentified but highly influential people in order to avoid any embarrassment by allowing him to remain in Mexico after his presence was officially known. Agents from Gobernacion were sent to Cuernavaca to confirm subject's presence there before taking any action. The agents were from the Immigration Inspection Section and were cautioned not to take any deportation action until further instructions were received from above. These instructions subsequently were issued, apparently by MOYA PALENCIA himself.

- 5 - Bureau
 - (1 - Foreign Liaison Unit)
 - (2 - Chicago 92-3491)
 - 1 - Mexico City
- RSC:ffs
(6)

NOV 21 1974

EX-101 REC-38 92-3171-2492

NOV 12 1974

Buy U.S. Savings Bonds Regularly on the Payroll Savings Plan

DECLASSIFIED BY: SP2/CLH/STP/95
REASON: 1.5.X(1)
ON 11/18/95

Classified by SP5R/ML/STP/95
Declassify on: OADR

DATE: 11-14-2017

MEX 92-13

Subject en route tried to offer money to secure his release. He was taken to the Offices of Mexican Immigration in Mexico City and asked for his tourist card or passport and how he entered the country. He had no papers or documents and said that he flew in from Laredo, Texas, but they were unable to find any record of this. Since he had no papers and apparently was illegally in Mexico, it was decided to report just that he had no papers and his deportation was arranged on the same date. (S)(u)

Source was certain that subject's attorney, CASTILLO, was in Mexico City at the time but was unable to do anything to stop the deportation. (S)(u)

(Information from CS MEX 287, on 8-22-74 and 10-2 and 10-22-74.) X X (S)(u)

Subject's deportation from Mexico was the source of considerable comment and speculation on the part of acquaintances in Mexico such as the card and golf playing group around his Mexican attorney, JORGE CASTILLO. Reportedly CASTILLO claims that he was not in Mexico at the time of the deportation and that if he had been in Mexico he could have averted it. The speculation was that subject would still have been forced to leave Mexico but could have chosen a destination other than the United States, such as Paris. There were two further fields of speculation concerning the deportation. One is to the effect that the failure of CASTILLO or his associates to avert this action must have the effect of putting CASTILLO in very bad graces with the subject who has undoubtedly been paying him a substantial retainer. A second speculation is to the effect that CASTILLO himself may have arranged for this action because subject's presence in Mexico was a cause of embarrassment to CASTILLO, who has a number of wealthy and important clients and whose business largely depends on good contacts within the Mexican Government. Shortly before deportation one of CASTILLO'S children married the son of a high governmental official and there was a huge reception at the Churubusco Golf Club. Subject reportedly was in attendance and his presence there was commented by other guests. In spite of this, CASTILLO reportedly has spoken about holding a big celebration to welcome the subject should he return to Mexico. There was also comment that the deportation received surprisingly little (S)(u)