JFK ASSASSINATION SYSTEM IDENTIFICATION FORM

AGENCY INFORMATION

AGENCY : CIA

RECORD NUMBER : 104-10330-10102

RECORD SERIES : JFK

AGENCY FILE NUMBER : PROJFILES-CORRESPONDENCE

DOCUMENT INFORMATION

AGENCY ORIGINATOR : CIA

FROM : DAVID W. CAREY

TO : HONORABLE JOHN RAYMOND TUNHEIM

TITLE: LETTER: I HAVE JUST RECEIVED INFORMAL WORD OF THE

DECISIONS THE JFK BOARD MADE AT ITS 17 NOVEMBER 1997

MEETING.

DATE: 11/26/1997

PAGES: 2

SUBJECTS : DECISIONS

JFK ASSASSINATION

DOCUMENT TYPE : PAPER CLASSIFICATION : SECRET RESTRICTIONS : 1A 1B

CURRENT STATUS : RELEASED IN PART PUBLIC - RELEASED WITH DELETIONS

DATE OF LAST REVIEW: 03/11/03

COMMENTS: JFK-M-15: F4: 2000.02.07.11:13:49:357035

[R] - ITEM IS RESTRICTED 104-10330-10102

- SECRET

CENTRAL INTELLIGENCE AGENCY WASHINGTON, D.C. 20505

EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR

JFK Act 6 (1)(A) JFK Act 6 (1)(B)

The Honorable John Raymond Tunheim Chairman
Assassination Records
Review Board
600 E. Street N.W.
Washington, D.C.

Dear Judge Tunheim:

If I have just received informal word of the
decisions the JFK Board made at its 17 November 1997
meeting. Most of the Board's decisions those relating to
the CIA presence in CIA's involvement in various
non-governmental organizations, and the details of CTA
funding of the Cuban Revolutionary Council are very
welcome. I must, however, urge the Board to reconsider its
decision to release documents in a form that would reveal
the Agency's use

We stand behind the position that we have presented in writing and in discussions with the Board on previous occasions. I would welcome an opportunity to have a senior CIA representative meet with the Board at your convenience to explain why the Agency considers this information so sensitive and to answer any questions you may have. Meanwhile, I offer the following summary of our fundamental concerns.

181		

CL BY:

2217297

CL REASON: DECL ON: 1.5 (c) X1

DRV FROM:

COV 2-87

Judge Tunheim		cct 6 (1)(A) cct 6 (1)(B)
187		
important intelligence me since the 1960s, and it foreseeable future. I we recent decision insofar forwarding a more compredamage issue prior to the also like to offer the Empreorminate	method. Its use will remain esseurge the Board to as it concerns to In this regard, when sive memorand he next Board mee Board the opportu	ntial for the reconsider its he Agency's use of we will be um addressing the ting, and we would nity for a personal

Sincerely,

David W. Carey