

~~CONFIDENTIAL~~

Released under the John F. Kennedy
Assassination Records Collection Act
of 1992 (44 USC 2107 Note).
Case#:NW 54464 Date: 10-11-2017

NY 100-42479

ADMINISTRATIVE CONT'D

of \$199.50 had been made at another branch office in NYC but had not been credited to the subject's account. As a result, the check made out in May, 1961, for rent for the apartment at 110 Christopher Street, NYC, was not honored inasmuch as there were insufficient funds to cover this rent. Mr. STRINING said that he called a telephone number in NYC, the exact number he is unable to recall at the present time, in connection with this matter. Mr. STRINING said he spoke to an unknown female who answered his phone call, and this unknown female advised him that "RICHARD FRANK and his wife are in Red China, don't you know that?". Mr. STRINING was unable to recall this number but will endeavor to locate the original deposit of \$199.50 to determine if there is any clue there as to the phone number he called.

An FD-122 noting the subject's residence and employment status is being prepared and will be forwarded separately.

INFORMANTS

<u>Identity of Source</u>	<u>File Number Where Located</u>
---------------------------	----------------------------------

NY T-1 CSNY LENORE SERKANIC (conceal personal request)	Instant report
NY T-2 NY 2101-S	Characterization of ANN DRAPER TOMPKINS
NY T-3 JOHN ROCHFORD, Manager Chemical NY Trust Company Grove Street Branch NYC (conceal personal request)	Instant report
NY T-4 NY 2023-S*	Characterization of RICHARD CYRIL FRANK Other confidential informants who were unable to furnish any information concerning the subject are set forth below:

<u>Source</u>	<u>Date Contacted</u>	<u>Contacting Agent</u>
NY 2395-S	6/7/61	SA JOHN P. DI MARCHI

~~CONFIDENTIAL~~ B -
COVER PAGE

~~CONFIDENTIAL~~

NY 100-42479

<u>Source</u>	<u>Date Contacted</u>	<u>Contacting Agent</u>
NY 2517-S	6/7/61	SA JOHN P. DI MARCHI
NY 1621-S	6/8/61	SA JOHN P. DI MARCHI
NY 2214-S	6/8/61	SA JOHN P. DI MARCHI
NY 2917-S	5/25/61	SA SEBASTIAN S. MIGNOSA
NY 3144-S	5/25/61	SA SEBASTIAN S. MIGNOSA
NY 646-S	5/26/61	SA JOHN A. HAAG
NY 367-S	5/31/61	SA JOHN A. HAAG
NY 972-S	6/1/61	SA JOHN A. HAAG
NY 1086-S	5/31/61	SA DAVID RYAN
NY 1991-S	5/31/61	SA DAVID RYAN
[X] NY 2705-S [X] (u)	6/6/61	SA MORRIS W. HADDOCK
[X] NY 2816-S [X] (u)	6/6/61	SA MORRIS W. HADDOCK

LEADS

NEW YORK

At New York, New York

1. Will attempt to determine the subject's employment.
2. Will attempt to ascertain through CSNY LENORE SERKANIC, any information she might be able to obtain re the subject's whereabouts since November, 1959.
3. Will report on subject's activities since return to his former residence.

- C -
COVER PAGE

~~CONFIDENTIAL~~

NY 100-42479

- ~~CONFIDENTIAL~~
1. Subject's name is included in the Security Index.
 2. The data appearing on the Security Index card are current.
 3. Changes on the Security Index card are necessary and Form FD-122 has been submitted to the Bureau.
 4. A suitable photograph is is not available.
 5. Careful consideration has been given to each source concealed and T symbols were utilized only in those instances where the identities of the sources must be concealed.
 6. Subject is employed in a key facility and _____ is charged with security responsibility. Interested agencies are _____
 7. This report is classified "Confidential" because (state reason)

it contains information from security informants, the unauthorized disclosure of which might jeopardize their future effectiveness and be detrimental to the national defense. (CSNY LENORE SERKANIC)

8. Subject previously interviewed (dates) 4/5/56
 Subject was not reinterviewed because (state reason) _____

subject has just returned from foreign travel after having been away from her residence since November, 1959. CSNY LENORE SERKANIC has regularly reported on the subject's past activities and is at present the sole source of information concerning the subject. At an appropriate time in the near future, consideration will be given to an interview of the subject.

9. This case no longer meets the Security Index criteria and a letter has been directed to the Bureau recommending cancellation of the Security Index card.
10. This case has been re-evaluated in the light of the Security Index criteria and it continues to fall within such criteria because (state reason)

NY 1086-S advised on 11/3/59 that the subject was a member of club number 2, Greenwich Village Section, NY County CP, as of 10/28/59. (Report of SA GERALD P. REIDY dated 11/25/59.) In addition, it is noted that on 9/13/59, CG 5824-S* advised that the subject's trip to China did have the approval of the CP, USA. (Report of SA GERALD P. REIDY dated 11/25/60.)

11. Subject's SI card is is not tabbed Detcom.
 Subject's activities do do not warrant Detcom tabbing.

- D* -

COVER PAGE

~~CONFIDENTIAL~~