76 15 608/1

OLC 76-3094 27 October 1976

MEMORANDUM FOR THE RECORD

SUBJECT:

Relationships with House Select Committee on Assassinations

1. This proposes a course of action to undertake with the Select Committee.

2. Discussion

- a. In looking to the road ahead, which may well eventually prove bumpy for reasons beyond our control, it is absolutely imperative that we fortify ourselves with the Committee so that they have the most positive attitude towards our desire to be cooperative. The simplest way to do this is to put ourselves in their shoes, anticipating what we can do for them to ease their work.
- b. Whatever merits there are to a passive course (waiting for specific requests), it is much less likely to create the positive attitude which can be of such significance in the long run.
- c. Although we can't anticipate the Committee's complete line of inquiry, certain lines can be, and if we do, and facilitate their work as a result, we will have earned their respect and proved our willingness to cooperate. More importantly, we will have created a climate conducive to their objective viewing of problems which will eventually arise. Finally, and practically, any other approach will only feed their apprehension over our real willingness to cooperate.

3. Proposal

a. Inventory - Provide the Committee an inventory of the kinds of material we may have on hand and in which we may safely presume they have an interest, e.g., collections on John Kennedy and Martin Luther King, material made available to the Senate Select Committee on Intelligence, the Warren Commission, the Rockefeller Commission, Agency responses to related Freedom of Information requests, and related IG and other conclusionary reports. (This inventory should be sized for them, how big, and recommendations

CIA HISTORICAL REVIEW PROGRAM RELEASE IN FULL 1999

HATERMAL USE ONLY

on how to approach its review.)

b. Material - Offer the Committee copies of those collections which do not present a security problem.

c. Briefings - Offer introductory background briefings on lines of inquiry concerning (a) above, as well as other areas where it could be helpful to them and could also help to place events and developments in perspective, e.g., the general purpose of our past involvement with anti-Castro groups.

With the preceding in hand, with suggestions on the size of the job (e.g., the number of man-hours required to review the material and recommendations on how to get into it, etc.), and with the offer of introductory briefings on our collections and some of the central issues, we should be in the strongest possible position for exacting from the Committee the prerequisite quid pro quos on security and other matters in which we have strong equities.

4. The credibility of our effort depends upon assurance that those in the Agency working in this area have full access to all relevant information. We must not operate on less than a full and complete informational base. It is requested that the Working Group established under the chairmanship of Scott Breckinridge, Deputy Inspector General, seek to satisfy on an expeditious basis the requirement set forth in paragraph 3 above.

Lyle L. Miller
Deputy Legislative Counsel

Distribution:

1 - DCI

1 - DDCI

1 - ER

1 - Scott Breckinridge

1 - OGC

1 - IG

1 - DDA

1 - DDO

1 - OLC Subject

1 - OLC Chrono

OLC:LLM:ndl (27 October 1976)

	SMITTAL SLIP	DATE		
T0:	ER			
ROOM NO.	BUILDING			
REMARKS				
O A	ist 60	eys returned as Rewrite		
4		2 recurred		
10	OLC &	ac Rewrite.		
2 2	nov to			
03	100 /	<i>o</i> .		
P.O.V.				
ROM:				
юм но.	BUILDING	EXTENSION		
		[==11510]		

egas (T.) Series (Series (Series

	OUTING	3 AND	RECORI	D SHEET
SUBJECT: (Optional)				
FROM:			EXTENSION	NO.
Lyle L. Miller Deputy Legislative Counsel			4151	DATE 28 October 1976
TO: (Officer designation, room number, and building)	DATE		OFFICER'S	COMMENTS (Number seach comment to show from to whom. Draw a line across column after each com
	RECEIVED	FORWARDED		
1. Legislative Counsel	28	CT 1976	المراق المالة	
2. General Counsel - 7D07	0. 28-76		242	
3.			0//	From 3: While we should try to
Inspector General -2E24		2 4976	1978	forward leaning, it is realisti expect that we will probably be
4. DDO 21	IDV 1976	2 110		largely reactive. For instance
DDA BX-4	10	NOV 1976	X35	briefing that may anticipate in ests incorrectly could be injur
DDCI - Approval	1	/		and not helpful; our offer show
			\-	he to provide a briefing that n
DCI - Approval	-	 	+-	their perceived initial require for an introduction. We will d
6.				it to their specifications.
7. Scott Breckinridge - OI 2E24	G			The OLC should also press for management arrangements essent making things go smoothly. Spe
8.				and people should be arranged of priority basis; registry, read room, administrative space. S
9.				should be arranged for the Hou investigators to read the file occurred with the Senate.
10.				Re an inventory one is a underway.
11.				As DDO and DDA have an interes should coordinate on this too.
12.				John H. Waller
13.				Inspector General
14.				Inspector General Milli the Hellis
15.		 	+	

OLC 76-3094 27 October 1976

DD/026-8/66

GENERAL

MEMORANDUM FOR:

Scott D. Breckinridge

Deputy Inspector General

FROM:

Lyle L. Miller

Deputy Legislative Counsel

SUBJECT:

Relationships with House Select Committee

on Assassinations

1. This proposes a course of action to undertake with the Select Committee.

2. Discussion

- a. In looking to the road ahead, which may well eventually prove bumpy for reasons beyond our control, it is absolutely imperative that we fortify ourselves with the Committee so that they have the most positive attitude towards our desire to be cooperative. The simplest way to do this is to put ourselves in their shoes, anticipating what we can do for them to ease their work.
- b. Whatever merits there are to a passive course (waiting for specific requests), it is much less likely to create the positive attitude which can be of such significance in the long run.
- c. Although we can't anticipate the Committee's complete line of inquiry, certain lines can be, and if we do, and facilitate their work as a result, we will have earned their respect and proved our willingness to cooperate. More importantly, we will have created a climate conducive to their objective viewing of problems which will eventually arise. Finally, and practically, any other approach will only feed their apprehension over our real willingness to cooperate.

3. Proposal

a. Inventory - Provide the Committee an inventory of the kinds of material we may have on hand and in which we may safely presume they have an interest, e.g., collections on John Kennedy and Martin Luther King, material made available to the Senate Select Committee on Intelligence, the Warren Commission, the Rockefeller Commission, Agency responses to related Freedom of Information requests, and related IG and other conclusionary reports. (This inventory should be sized for them, how big, and recommendations

ER

- which do not present a security problem.
- c. Briefings Offer introductory background briefings on lines of inquiry concerning (a) above, as well as other areas where it could be helpful to them and could also help to place events and developments in perspective, e.g., the general purpose of our past involvement with anti-Castro groups.

With the preceding in hand, with suggestions on the size of the job (e.g., the number of man-hours required to review the material and recommendations on how to get into it, etc.), and with the offer of introductory briefings on our collections and some of the central issues, we should be in the strongest possible position for exacting from the Committee the prerequisite quid pro quos on security and other matters in which we have strong equities.

4. The credibility of our effort depends upon assurance that those who work on it have full access to all information. We must not operate on less than a full and complete informational base. Subject to the approval of the Director and the Deputy Director of Central Intelligence, it is requested that you seek to satisfy on an expeditious basis (through the Working Group established under your chairmanship for this purpose) the requirement set forth in paragraph 3 above.

Che Miller Lyle L. Miller

CONCURRENCE:

SIGNED

/s/ Anthony A. Lapham

John H. Walley No.

Legislative Counsel

General Counsel 2 9 OCT 1976

Inspector General

APPROVAL:

Director of Central Intelligence

Deputy Director of Central Intelligence

Distribution:

Orig - Add'e 1 - OLC Subject

1 - DCI 1 - OLC Chrono

1 - DDCI - ER

1 - OGC

1 - IG

OLC: LLM: nd1 (27 October 1976)

92. HY ST II OI NON

TRANSMITTAL SLIP DATE

TO:
ER
ROOM NO. BUILDING

REMARKS:

FROM:
ROOM NO. BUILDING EXTENSION

FORM NO. 241 REPLACES FORM 36-8 WHICH MAY BE USED. (47)

Executive de sietry
76-5608/

OLC 76-3094 27 October 1976

MEMORANDUM FOR THE RECORD

SUBJECT:

Relationships with House Select Committee on Assassinations

1. This proposes a course of action to undertake with the Select Committee.

2. Discussion

- a. In looking to the road ahead, which may well eventually prove bumpy for reasons beyond our control, it is absolutely imperative that we fortify ourselves with the Committee so that they have the most positive attitude towards our desire to be cooperative. The simplest way to do this is to put ourselves in their shoes, anticipating what we can do for them to ease their work.
- b. Whatever merits there are to a passive course (waiting for specific requests), it is much less likely to create the positive attitude which can be of such significance in the long run.
- c. Although we can't anticipate the Committee's complete line of inquiry, certain lines can be, and if we do, and facilitate their work as a result, we will have earned their respect and proved our willingness to cooperate. More importantly, we will have created a climate conducive to their objective viewing of problems which will eventually arise. Finally, and practically, any other approach will only feed their apprehension over our real willingness to cooperate.

3. Proposal

a. Inventory - Provide the Committee an inventory of the kinds of material we may have on hand and in which we may safely presume they have an interest, e.g., collections on John Kennedy and Martin Luther King, material made available to the Senate Select Committee on Intelligence, the Warren Commission, the Rockefeller Commission, Agency responses to related Freedom of Information requests, and related IG and other conclusionary reports. (This inventory should be sized for them, how big, and recommendations

Nov 23 2 13 PH 76

ER

THE THE THE THE

WITER WALL LIGHT ONLY

INTERNALLY

16-4608/1

OLC 76-3094 27 October 1976

MEMORANDUM FOR THE RECORD

SUBJECT:

Relationships with House Select Committee on Assassinations

1. This proposes a course of action to undertake with the Select Committee.

2. Discussion

- a. In looking to the road ahead, which may well eventually prove bumpy for reasons beyond our control, it is absolutely imperative that we fortify ourselves with the Committee so that they have the most positive attitude towards our desire to be cooperative. The simplest way to do this is to put ourselves in their shoes, anticipating what we can do for them to ease their work.
- b. Whatever merits there are to a passive course (waiting for specific requests), it is much less likely to create the positive attitude which can be of such significance in the long run.
- c. Although we can't anticipate the Committee's complete line of inquiry, certain lines can be, and if we do, and facilitate their work as a result, we will have earned their respect and proved our willingness to cooperate. More importantly, we will have created a climate conducive to their objective viewing of problems which will eventually arise. Finally, and practically, any other approach will only feed their apprehension over our real willingness to cooperate.

3. Proposal

a. Inventory - Provide the Committee an inventory of the kinds of material we may have on hand and in which we may safely presume they have an interest, e.g., collections on John Kennedy and Martin Luther King, material made available to the Senate Select Committee on Intelligence, the Warren Commission, the Rockefeller Commission, Agency responses to related Freedom of Information requests, and related IG and other conclusionary reports. (This inventory should be sized for them, how big, and recommendations

on how to approach its review.)

- b. Material Offer the Committee copies of those collections which do not present a security problem.
- c. Briefings Offer introductory background briefings on lines of inquiry concerning (a) above, as well as other areas where it could be helpful to them and could also help to place events and developments in perspective, e.g., the general purpose of our past involvement with anti-Castro groups.

With the preceding in hand, with suggestions on the size of the job (e.g., the number of man-hours required to review the material and recommendations on how to get into it, etc.), and with the offer of introductory briefings on our collections and some of the central issues, we should be in the strongest possible position for exacting from the Committee the prerequisite quid pro quos on security and other matters in which we have strong equities.

4. The credibility of our effort depends upon assurance that those in the Agency working in this area have full access to all relevant information. We must not operate on less than a full and complete informational base. It is requested that the Working Group established under the chairmanship of Scott Breckinridge, Deputy Inspector General, seek to satisfy on an expeditious basis the requirement set forth in paragraph 3 above.

Lyle L. Miller
Deputy Legislative Counsel

Distribution:

1 - DCI

1 - DDCI

1 - ER

1 - Scott Breckinridge

1 - OGC

1 - IG

1 - DDA

1 - DDO

1 - OLC Subject

1 - OLC Chrono

OLC:LLM:ndl (27 October 1976)

100 21 1. 62 21 26 37 M to 1 25 100 1

ER²

SMAL HSE ONLY